

Research & Reviews: Journal of Zoological Sciences

A review on Thermal Stress in Bovines and Equine Color Genetics during Biochemical Changes

Sandeep Mylavrabhatla*

JSS College of Pharmacy, Ooty, Tamil Nadu, India,

Review Article

Received:23-07-2016

Revised:25-07-2016

Accepted:29-07-2016

*Corresponding author: Sandeep Mylavrabhatla, JSS
College of Pharmacy, Ooty, Tamil Nadu, India;
Tel: 919032958918

E-mail: sandeepsmiley4ever@gmail.com

Keywords: Thermal stress; Bovines; Equine color;
Epigenetics; Biochemical changes.

ABSTRACT

Thermal stress is a noteworthy reason for creation of misfortunes in the dairy and meat commercial enterprises. The milk producing animals are more heat sensitive as the yield of normal milk has expanded. In the course of thermal stress physiological and biochemical changes happens in the in the body of the animals which specifically influences the production which may be direct or indirect. This review obviously portrays about biochemical and physiological changes happen in the course of thermal stress especially in the bovines.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Environmental change, characterized as the long haul lopsidedness of standard climate conditions, for example, temperature, radiation, wind and precipitation attributes of a specific district, is prone to be one of the primary difficulties for humanity in the course of the present century [1]. The world's atmosphere has warmed in the most recent century with the 1990s and 2000s being the hottest on instrumental record. Moreover, the world's atmosphere has been anticipated to change ceaselessly at rates remarkable in late mankind's history. Current atmosphere models demonstrated an expansion in temperature by 0.2 °C every decade and anticipated that the expansion in worldwide normal surface temperature would be between 2.0 °C to 4.2 °C by 2050 [2-4].

The varieties of climatic variables like temperature, humectation and radiations were perceived as the potential dangers in the development and generation of all residential domesticated animal species. High surrounding temperature joined by high air dampness brought on an extra inconvenience and upgraded the stress level which in turn brought about dispiritedness of the physiological and metabolic exercises of these animals.

Stress has been characterized by a few laborers. According to Dobson and Smith [5-7], it is uncovered by the powerlessness of a creature to adapt up to its surroundings, a wonder which is regularly reflected in an inability to accomplish hereditary potential. Rosales [8] characterized stress as the total adverse impact of different variables on wellbeing and execution of creatures. Stress speaks to the response of body to boosts that aggravate typical physiological harmony or homeostasis, regularly with adverse impacts as appeared [9,10]. As per the author research work, anxiety is the consequence of natural strengths persistently following up on creatures which disturb homeostasis bringing about new adjustments that can be unfavorable or invaluable to the animals [11].

Among the stressors, heat stress has been of significant worry in compressing the creature's efficiency in tropical, sub-tropical and arid regions [12]. The extent to which a creature opposes change in body temperature shifts with various species as a result of contrasts in their warmth controlling components [13-15]. Under thermals stress, various physiological and behavioral reactions differ in power and length in connection to the creature hereditary make-up and natural variables through the joining of numerous organs and frameworks viz. behavioral, endocrine, cardio-respiratory and safe framework [16,17]. Sweating, high breath rate, vasodilation with expanded blood stream to skin surface, high rectal temperature, diminished metabolic rate, diminished DM admission, effectiveness of food usage and adjusted water digestion system are the physiologic reactions that are connected with negative effects of heat stress on production and reproduction in dairy animals [18-20].

Wild oxen have poor heat resilience capacity contrasted with other household ruminants [9], and are more inclined to heat stress because of hardly appropriated sweat organs, dull body shading and inadequate hair on body surface [21,22]. The water wild ox has just 1/tenth the quantity of sweat organs per unit region of skin contrasted with zebu dairy cattle and must depend on floundering or wetting of the skin amidst warmth conditions to lessen heat load. Air temperature (10-16 °C), RH (45-70%) and wind speed (4-7 km/h) are the ideal conditions for wild oxen as recommended by Payne (1990). As far as THI, the estimations of THI>72 is considered as distressing and THI>78 is viewed as exceptionally serious heat stress to this wild buffalo [23-25].

Responses of homeotherms to direct climatic changes are compensatory and are coordinated at reestablishing heat balance [26]. In any case, when natural temperature gets to be close to the creature's body temperature, high encompassing relative moistness lessens dissipation, overpowers the creature's cooling limit and the body temperature rises. The expanding worry of thermal inconvenience of farm creatures is easy to refute for nations of tropical zones, as well as for countries of mild zones in which surrounding temperatures is expanding because of environmental change [27,28]. As far as adjustment measures, it is for the most part faster to enhance welfare, generation and propagation exhibitions of creatures by modifying their smaller scale environment [29]. In spite of its significance, there are couples of successful procedures for diminishing the impacts of heat weight on animal's wellbeing and execution. The real methodologies giving elaborate lodging including shade, sprinklers, fans, ventilation system and so forth are capital serious, not extremely proficient and is of restricted use for little and medium size dairies. There is hence requirement for exploration in creating elective ways to deal with lessens thermal stress [30-33].

OXIDATIVE ANXIETY

Oxidative anxiety results from expanded creation of free radicals and responsive oxygen species, and an abatement in cancer prevention agent barrier [34,35] reported that oxidation is key to almost all cells in the body to give vitality to fundamental capacities. Around 95 to 98% of the oxygen expended is decreased to water amidst vigorous digestion system, yet the rest of the division might be changed over to oxidative by-items - receptive oxygen species, that may harm the DNA of qualities and add to degenerative changes. One of the principle explanations behind oxidative anxiety in creatures amid summer in tropics is warmth stress. Heat stress happens when the center body temperature of a given animal categories surpasses its extent indicated for typical movement coming about because of an aggregate warmth load (inside warmth generation and warmth picked up from environment) surpassing the limit for warmth dissemination [36,37].

CELL REINFORCEMENTS

Cancer prevention agents are those supplements which are required to purify cells of ROS. Vitamin E, vitamin A and vitamin C are exemplary case of cancer prevention agents. Cell reinforcement in low fixations essentially defers or hinders oxidation of oxidizable substrates [38].

Cancer prevention agents can be separated into 3 noteworthy gatherings: Enzymatic (SOD, CAT, GPX), Non-enzymatic (Albumin, L-cysteine, homocysteine and Protein sulfhydryl gatherings) and Non-enzymatic low sub-atomic weight cell reinforcements (ascorbic corrosive, glutathione, uric corrosive α -tocopherol, β -carotene and retinol) [39,40].

Tissue protection components against free-radical harm for the most part incorporate vitamin C, vitamin E, and β carotene as the real vitamin cancer prevention agent sources. Moreover, a few metalloenzymes which incorporate glutathione peroxidase (Se), catalase (Fe) and superoxide dismutase (Cu, Zn, and Mn) are additionally basic in shielding the inward cell constituents from oxidative harm [41,42].

Equine shading hereditary qualities is a critical element when equine veterinarians and stallion proprietors examine individual shading inclination, registry rules, rearing results, and medicinal parts of equine practice. Amid a time of 6-7 years, roughly 1,500 markers were found with at least no less than 750 markers assigned as qualities [43]. The author's work in connection to coat shading distinguished qualities connected with coat shading and athletic execution, and discovered qualities connected with acquired issue [44].

Numerous trust that the coat shade of a stallion has little to do with execution; be that as it may, coat shading is an approach to distinguish the steed. A study conducted by researchers analyzed whether dashing execution was impacted by coat shading, especially in essential and dim hues. They found no connection between coat hues and hustling execution. In particular, hustling execution was not connected with the dim locus. On the

other hand, physiological characteristics connected with the brilliance of the hair and the activity of the expansion quality (MC1R) may add to the relationship between essential hues and hustling execution. Coat shading is additionally a valuable sign of faulty parentage. As a result of the variety of existing coat hues and designs and the conceivable destroying rearing results that could come about hereditarily, a comprehension of the science behind equine shading hereditary qualities is useful to the raiser. This article will quickly examine and outline central hereditary qualities and clarify the hereditary premise for the advancement of various coat shades of steeds. This is a route for veterinarians to pass on this data to reproducers [45-49].

DISCOURSE OF ESSENTIAL SHADING HEREDITARY QUALITIES

The shading of a stallion's jacket is because of the sulfur-containing shade, melanin, which is nitty gritty or mapped by shading alleles, or different types of a quality. One of two or more option types of a quality at the same site or area in every pair of chromosomes decides elective attributes in shading legacy [50-52]. Qualities have two or more alleles and a few alleles might be prevailing while others are latent. Homozygous means an individual's quality set contains two of the same allele [53-56]. Heterozygous means an individual's quality set contains two distinct alleles. Shading qualities decide the conveyance of red and dark shading pigmentation. Melanin is created by color cells known as melanocytes. One structure is pheomelanin which is yellow to red in shading while, eumelanin shows cocoa to dark. Changes of these two shades by the presence of particular qualities result in an assortment of steed coat hues seen today [57-60].

SCIENCE BEHIND EQUINE COAT HUES

Horse base hues start shading hereditary qualities with either red, otherwise called chestnut, or the base shading dark. Coat shading qualities amass upon chestnut, dark, and sound which is a blend of the base hues red and dark. Notwithstanding base shading, weakening properties come in with the general mish-mash. For instance, Kumar et al. found that some stallion phenotypes were portrayed as a polymorphism genotype at the MATP quality and a shade of coat shading weakening [61-65].

Equine base or establishment hues are additionally differed in three behavior. These are shade, dingy, and coarse. Shade depicts base hues differing from light to dull [66,67]. Diverse components of hereditary control result in varieties [68]. As indicated by Katiyar et al. [2] the alteration of base hues by the nearness or nonappearance of dark hair amongst the coat is termed dingy [69,70]. Katiyar et al. [2] additionally guaranteed that the hereditary control of "dirtiness" is not surely knew and is likewise subject to alterations by natural impacts. A few reproducers comprehend dinginess to be a particular quality impact instead of a technique for change [71-75]. At long last, the third way equine base or establishment hues are changed. This alteration results in steeds having yellowish or light red territories. These zones may incorporate and are not restricted to the ventral stomach area, the caudal part of the elbows, the flanks, and the average part of their appendages, the eyelids, and the gag. The coarse impact is a solitary quality impact, which is prevailing [76-80].

Modifiers can change the base shading to deliver the assortment of hues and examples acknowledged in steeds. The qualities talked about are the expansion quality [81-85] or the quality dispensing dark pigmentation or shading, the agouti quality or the quality restricting dark pigmentation, the white quality or the quality overseeing whether steeds will be completely pigmented or non-pigmented [86-90], the dim quality or the quality overseeing whether a steed will dim with age, the cream quality coordinating the measure of red pigmentation, and the dun quality dealing with the force of color in the coat [91-95]. The silver dapple quality blurs dark shade. Design qualities talked about are the roan quality with coats seeming secured with white dust or daintily snowed, and the tobiano and overo qualities, two of the paint or pinto designs [96-99]. The sabino quality shows up as paint or with roan-like markings while the appaloosa quality shows up as a spotting design. Likewise examined are the newfound weakening qualities champagne and pearl [100].

REFERENCES

1. Stephen S et al. Tracking Interfacial Adsorption/Desorption Phenomena in Polypropylene/Biofuel Media using Trace Cr³⁺/Cr⁶⁺ and As³⁺/As⁵⁺-A Study by Liquid Chromatography-plasma Mass Spectrometry. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol* 2015;6:239.
2. Katiyar P. Modified Fractionation Process via Organic Solvents for Wheat Straw and Ground Nut Shells. *J Fundam Renewable Energy Appl* 2015;5:178.
3. Banapurmath NR et al. Effect of Combustion Chamber Shapes on the Performance of Mahua and Neem Biodiesel Operated Diesel Engines. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol* 2015;6:230.

4. Hattab MA and Ghaly A. Microalgae Oil Extraction Pretreatment Methods: Critical Review and Comparative Analysis. *J Fundam Renewable Energy Appl* 2015;5:172.
5. Rahman MS et al. Aerobic Conversion of Glycerol to 2,3-Butanediol by a Novel *Klebsiella variicola* SRP3 Strain. *J Microb Biochem Technol* 2015;7:299-304.
6. Sajith V and Mohamed JP. Development of Stable Cerium Zirconium Mixed Oxide Nanoparticle Additive for Emission Reduction in Biodiesel Blends. *Research & Reviews: Journal of Engineering and Technology* 2015.
7. Bouaid A et al. Biodiesel Production from Babassu Oil: A Statistical Approach. *J Chem Eng Process Technol* 2015;6:232.
8. Rahman MS et al. Aerobic Conversion of Glycerol to 2,3-Butanediol by a Novel *Klebsiella variicola* SRP3 Strain. *J Microb Biochem Technol* 2015;7:299-304.
9. Yang J et al. The Optimization of Alkali-Catalyzed Biodiesel Production from *Camelina sativa* Oil Using a Response Surface Methodology. *J Bioprocess Biotech* 2015;5:235.
10. Praveen AH et al. Simarouba Biodiesel as an Alternative Fuel for CI Engine: Review. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology* 2015.
11. Olalekan A. The Effect of Palm Kernel Oil (PKO) Biodiesel-Contaminated Soil on Morphological and Biochemical Properties of Zea mays. *J Plant Biochem Physiol* 2014;2:138.
12. Liu M et al. Bacterial Isolation from Palm Oil Plantation Soil for Biodiesel Production: Isolation and Molecular Identification as Inferred by 16s RNA. *J Biotechnol Biomater* 2014;4:165.
13. Gomez-Mares M et al. Comparative Study of the Effects of Diesel and Biodiesel Over POM, PPA and PPS Polymers Used in Automotive Industry. *J Material Sci Eng* 2014;3:142.
14. Alemán-Nava GS et al. Bioenergy Sources and Representative Case Studies in Mexico. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol* 2014;5:190.
15. Azad AK et al. Production of Microbial Lipids from Rice Straw Hydrolysates by *Lipomyces starkeyi* for Biodiesel Synthesis. *J Microb Biochem Technol* 2014;S8:008.
16. Gautam G et al. A Cost Effective Strategy for Production of Bio-surfactant from Locally Isolated *Penicillium chrysogenum* SNP5 and Its Applications. *J Bioprocess Biotech* 2014;4:177.
17. Sahay S and Shyam M. Storage Conditions to Improve the Shelf Life of *Jatropha curcas* Seeds in Terms of Quality of Oil. *J Fundam Renewable Energy Appl* 2014;4:136.
18. Piechota G et al. Green Technologies in Polish Energy Sector - Overview. *J Fundam Renewable Energy Appl* 2014;4:133.
19. Swain KC. Biofuel Production in India: Potential, Prospectus and Technology. *J Fundam Renewable Energy Appl* 2014;4:129.
20. Saldivar RP et al. Algae Biofuels Production Processes, Carbon Dioxide Fixation and Biorefinery Concept. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol* 2014;5:185.
21. de Castro JS et al. Bioconversion of Commercial and Waste Glycerol into Value-Added Polyhydroxyalkanoates by Bacterial Strains. *J Microb Biochem Technol* 2014;6:337-345.
22. Nguyen PLT et al. In Situ Transesterification of Wet Activated Sludge under Subcritical Conditions. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol* 2014;5:182.
23. Dave D et al. Marine Oils as Potential Feedstock for Biodiesel Production: Physicochemical Characterization. *J Bioprocess Biotech* 2014;4:168.
24. Díaz L and Brito A. FFA Adsorption from Waste Oils or Non-Edible Oils onto an Anion-Exchange Resin as Alternative Method to Esterification Reaction Prior to Transesterification Reaction for Biodiesel Production. *J Adv Chem Eng* 2014;4:105.
25. Abd El Baky HH et al. Lipid Induction in *Dunaliella salina* Culture Aerated with Various Levels CO₂ and Its Biodiesel Production. *J Aquac Res Development* 2014;5:223.
26. Martin MZ et al. Genetic Improvement, Sustainable Production and Scalable Small Microenterprise of *Jatropha* as a Biodiesel Feedstock. *J Bioremed Biodeg* 2013;S4:002.
27. Ramakrishnan VV et al. Extraction of Oil from Mackerel Fish Processing Waste using Alcalase Enzyme. *Enz Eng* 2013;2:115.
28. Ragauskas AME and Ragauskas AJ. Re-defining the Future of FOG and Biodiesel. *J Phylogenetics Evol Biol* 2013;4:e118.
29. Joshi CP and Nookaraju A. New Avenues of Bioenergy Production from Plants: Green Alternatives to Petroleum. *J Phylogenetics Evol Biol* 2012;3:134.
30. Mansourpoor M and Shariati A. Optimization of Biodiesel Production from Sunflower Oil Using Response Surface Methodology. *J Chem Eng Process Technol* 2012;3:141.
31. West TP. Crude Glycerol: A Feedstock for Organic Acid Production by Microbial Bioconversion. *J Microbial Biochem Technol* 2012;4:e106.
32. Owolabi RU et al. Biodiesel from Household/Restaurant Waste Cooking Oil (WCO). *J Chem Eng Process Technol* 2011;2:112.
33. Li Q et al. Insect Fat, a Promising Resource for Biodiesel. *J Phylogenetics Evol Biol* 2011;S2:001.

34. Meng L and Salihon J. Conversion of Palm Oil to Methyl and Ethyl Ester using Crude Enzymes. *J Biotechnol Biomaterial* 2011;1:110.
35. Montasser MS et al. A Novel Eco-friendly Method of Using Red Algae (*Laurencia papillosa*) to Synthesize Gold Nanoprisms. *J Nanomed Nanotechnol* 2016;7:383.
36. Kurup GM and Jose GM. In Vitro Antioxidant Properties of Edible Marine Algae *Sargassum swartzii*, *Ulva fasciata* and *Chaetomorpha antennina* of Kerala Coast. *J Pharma Reports* 2016;1:112.
37. Oramary SOM et al. Feeding Common Carp Fish (*Cyprinus carpio*) on Natural Foods (Algae, Phytoplankton, Zooplankton and Others) on Tigris River in Mosul Dam / Duhok, Kurdistan Region of Iraq. *J Aquac Res Development* 2016;7:413.
38. Sano Y et al. Microalgal Culture for *Chlorella* sp. using a Hollow Fiber Membrane Module. *J Membra Sci Technol* 2016;6:147.
39. Pérez L. Biofuels from Microalgae, A Promising Alternative. *Pharm Anal Chem Open Access* 2016;2:e103.
40. Benmoussa M. Algomics for the Development of a Sustainable Microalgae Biorefinery. *Single Cell Biol* 2016;5:132.
41. Jana BB et al. Evidences of Manure Driven and C:N Regulated Enhanced Carbon Status and Microalgal Productivity in Managed Aquatic System under Simulated Green House Conditions. *J Earth Sci Clim Change* 2016;7:336.
42. Sarpal AS et al. Investigation of Biodiesel Potential of Biomasses of Microalgae *Chlorella*, *Spirulina* and *Tetraselmis* by NMR and GC-MS Techniques. *J Biotechnol Biomater* 2016;6:220.
43. Hong JW et al. Mass Cultivation from a Korean Raceway Pond System of Indigenous Microalgae as Potential Biofuel Feedstock. *Oil Gas Res* 2016;2:108.
44. Rotermund LM et al. A Submersible Holographic Microscope for 4-D In-Situ Studies of Micro-Organisms in the Ocean with Intensity and Quantitative Phase Imaging. *J Marine Sci Res Dev* 2016;6:181.
45. Qunju H et al. Evaluation of Five *Nannocfhloropsis* Sp. Strains for Biodiesel and Poly-Unsaturated Fatty Acids (PUFAs) Production. *Curr Synthetic Sys Biol* 2016;4:128.
46. Taucher J et al. Cell Disruption and Pressurized Liquid Extraction of Carotenoids from Microalgae. *J Thermodyn Catal* 2016;7:158.
47. Ouma SO et al. Seasonal Variation of the Physicochemical and Bacteriological Quality of Water from Five Rural Catchment Areas of Lake Victoria Basin in Kenya. *J Environ Anal Chem* 2016;3:170.
48. Lin X and Peter P. Cool Water Off-flavor Algae and Water Quality in Four Arkansas Commercial Catfish Farms. *J Fisheries Livest Prod* 2016;4:158.
49. Alassali A et al. Methods for Upstream Extraction and Chemical Characterization of Secondary Metabolites from Algae Biomass. *Adv Tech Biol Med* 2016;4:163.
50. Fenta AD and Kidanemariam AA. Assessment of Cyanobacterial Blooms Associated with Water Quality Status of Lake Chamo, South Ethiopia. *J Environ Anal Toxicol* 2016;6:343.
51. Nadeem F et al. Red Sea Microbial Diversity for Antimicrobial and Anticancer Agents. *J Mol Biomark Diagn* 2015;7:267.
52. Hayase S et al. Consumption of Bone Mineral Density-Associated Nutrients, and Their Food Sources in Pre-school Japanese Children. *Vitam Miner* 2015;4:133.
53. Rajkumar R and Takriff MS. Prospects of Algae and their Environmental Applications in Malaysia: A Case Study. *J Bioremed Biodeg* 2016;7:321.
54. Karthik R et al. Attenuation of Negative Impacts by Micro Algae and Enriched *Artemia Salina* on *Penaeus Monodon* and *Litopenaeus Vannamei* Larval Culture. *J Aquac Res Development* 2015;6:365.
55. Iturriaga R. Photo Adaptation Response of Microalgae to Environmental Changes. *Oceanography* 2015;3:e113.
56. Gautam K et al. A Method to Utilize Waste Nutrient Sources in Aqueous Extracts for Enhancement of Biomass and Lipid Content in Potential Green Algal Species for Biodiesel Production. *J Bioprocess Biotech* 2015;5:259.
57. Ghosh R and Mitra A. Suitability of Green Macroalgae *Enteromorpha intestinalis* as a Feed Form *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *J Fisheries Livest Prod* 2015;3:138.
58. El-Sharony TF et al. Effect of Foliar Application with Algae and Plant Extracts on Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Fruitful Mango Trees Cv. *Fagri Kalan*. *J Horticulture* 2015;2:162.
59. Stoyneva-Gärtner MP and Uzunov BA. An Ethnobiological Glance on Globalization Impact on the Traditional Use of Algae and Fungi as Food in Bulgaria. *J Nutr Food Sci* 2015;5:413.
60. Sankalp D and Savita D. Optimization and Fuel Properties of Water Degummed Linseed Biodiesel from Transesterification Process. *Chem Sci J* 2015;7:131.
61. Erinç Uludamar. Vibration Analysis of a Diesel Engine Fuelled with Sunflower and Canola Biodiesels. *Adv Automob Eng* 2016;5:137.
62. Dhan LF et al. Microbial Lipid Accumulation Capability of Activated Sludge Feeding on Short Chain Fatty Acids as Carbon Sources through Fed-Batch Cultivation. *J Bioprocess Biotech* 2016;6:275.

63. Sarpal AS et al. Investigation of Biodiesel Potential of Biomasses of Microalgae Chlorella, Spirulina and Tetraselmis by NMR and GC-MS Techniques. *J Biotechnol Biomater* 2016;6:220.
64. Tse H et al. Performances, Emissions and Soot Properties from a Diesel-Biodiesel- Ethanol Blend Fuelled Engine, *Adv Automob Eng* 2016;S1-005.
65. Hu Qunju et al. Evaluation of Five Nannocfhloropsis Sp. Strains for Biodiesel and Poly-Unsaturated Fatty Acids (PUFAs) Production. *Curr Synthetic Sys Biol* 2016;4:128.
66. Raquel R dos Santos et al. Assessment of Triacylglycerol Content in Chlorella vulgaris Cultivated in a Two-Stage Process. *J Biotechnol Biomater* 2015;5:212.
67. Gautam K et al. A Method to Utilize Waste Nutrient Sources in Aqueous Extracts for Enhancement of Biomass and Lipid Content in Potential Green Algal Species for Biodiesel Production. *J Bioprocess Biotech* 2015;5:259.
68. Luisa WM et al. Culture-Independent Analysis of Bacterial Diversity during Bioremediation of Soil Contaminated with a Diesel-Biodiesel Blend (B10)S. *J Bioremed Biodeg* 2015;6:318.
69. Saborimanesh N and Mulligan CN. Effect of Sophorolipid Biosurfactant on Oil Biodegradation by the Natural Oil-Degrading Bacteria on the Weathered Biodiesel, Diesel and Light Crude Oil. *J Bioremed Biodeg* 2015;6:314.
70. Vrushali HJ. Cellulose Hydrolysis: An Unsolved Problem. *Research & Reviews: Journal of Chemistry* 2015.
71. Sticklen M. Consolidating the Feedstock Crops Cellulosic Biodiesel with Cellulosic Bioethanol Technologies: A Biotechnology Approach. *Adv Crop Sci Tech* 2015;3:e133.
72. Ang GT et al. Supercritical and Superheated Technologies: Future of Biodiesel Production. *J Adv Chem Eng* 2015;5:e106.
73. Ammann AA. Hydroxyl Radical Production by Light Driven Iron Redox Cycling in Natural and Test Systems. *J Environ Anal Chem* 2016;3:182.
74. Eriksen NT. Research Trends in the Dominating Microalgal Pigments, β -carotene, Astaxanthin, and Phycocyanin Used in Feed, in Foods, and in Health Applications. *J Nutr Food Sci* 2016;6:507.
75. Garcia JS et al. Nutritional Potential of Four Seaweed Species Collected in the Barbate Estuary (Gulf of Cadiz, Spain). *J Nutr Food Sci* 2016;6:505.
76. Zhao Y et al. Identification of NaHCO₃ Stress Responsive Proteins in Dunaliella salina HTBS using iTRAQ-based Analysis. *J Proteomics Bioinform* 2016;9:137-143.
77. Jajnesniak P et al. Carbon Dioxide Capture and Utilization using Biological Systems: Opportunities and Challenges. *J Bioprocess Biotech* 2014;4:155.
78. Lai EPC. Biodiesel: Environmental Friendly Alternative to Petrodiesel. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol* 2014;5:e122.
79. Kumar S et al. Effectiveness of Enzymatic Transesterification of Beef tallow Using Experimental Enzyme Ns88001 with Methanol and Hexane. *Enz Eng* 2013;2:116.
80. Ghaly AE et al. Fish Processing Wastes as a Potential Source of Proteins, Amino Acids and Oils: A Critical Review. *J Microb Biochem Technol* 2013;5:107-129.
81. Feng Y et al. Wide geographic distribution of Cryptosporidium bovis and the deer-like genotype in bovines. *Veterinary parasitology* 2007;144:1-9.
82. Wieler LH et al. Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli strains from bovines: association of adhesion with carriage of eae and other genes. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 1996;34:2980-2984.
83. Autrup H et al. Metabolism of benzo [a] pyrene by cultured tracheobronchial tissues from mice, rats, hamsters, bovines and humans. *International Journal of Cancer* 1980;25:293-300.
84. Twort FW and Ingram GLY. A method for isolating and cultivating the Mycobacterium enteritidis chronicae pseudotuberculosis bovis, Johne, and some experiments on the preparation of a diagnostic vaccine for pseudo-tuberculous enteritis of bovines. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Containing Papers of a Biological Character* 1912; 84:517-542.
85. Dhama K et al. Rotavirus diarrhea in bovines and other domestic animals. *Veterinary research communications* 2009;33:1-23.
86. Silva RA et al. Outbreak of trypanosomiasis due to Trypanosoma vivax (Ziemann, 1905) in bovines of the Pantanal, Brazil. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 1996;91:561-562.
87. Hsü SY et al. Vaccination of bovines against schistosomiasis japonica with highly irradiated schistosomula in China. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*. 1984;33:891-898.
88. Chamoiseau G. Etiology of Farcy in African Bovines. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*. 1979;29:407-410.

89. Kadokawa H et al. Bovine C-terminal octapeptide of RFamide-related peptide-3 suppresses luteinizing hormone (LH) secretion from the pituitary as well as pulsatile LH secretion in bovines. *Domestic animal endocrinology* 2009;36:219-224.
90. Guo JG et al. A baseline study on the importance of bovines for human *Schistosoma japonicum* infection around Poyang Lake, China. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* 2001;65:272-278.
91. Von Blumröder D et al. Comparison and standardisation of serological methods for the diagnosis of *Neospora caninum* infection in bovines. *Veterinary parasitology* 2004;120:11-22.
92. Hedger RS and Condy JB. Transmission of foot-and-mouth disease from African buffalo virus carriers to bovines. *Veterinary record* 1985;117:205.
93. Sedki A et al. Toxic and essential trace metals in muscle, liver and kidney of bovines from a polluted area of Morocco. *Science of the total environment* 2003;317:201-205.
94. da Silva Vaz I et al. Immunization of bovines with an aspartic proteinase precursor isolated from *Boophilus microplus* eggs. *Veterinary immunology and immunopathology* 1998;66:331-341.
95. Bashir M et al. Evaluation of defined antigen vaccines against *Schistosoma bovis* and *S. japonicum* in bovines. *Tropical and geographical medicine* 1993;46:255-258.
96. Costa AJ et al. Experimental infection of bovines with oocysts of *Toxoplasma gondii*. *The Journal of parasitology* 1977;1:212-218.
97. Leal AT et al. Vaccination of bovines with recombinant *Boophilus* Yolk pro-Cathepsin. *Veterinary immunology and immunopathology* 2006;114:341-345.
98. Viljoen NF. Cysticercosis in swine and bovines, with special reference to South African conditions. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Science and Animal Industry* 1937;9:337-570.
99. Harris RL et al. Horn flies, stable flies, and house flies: development in feces of bovines treated orally with juvenile hormone analogues. *Journal of economic entomology* 1973;66:1099-1102.
100. Reinecke R. A field study of some nematode parasites of bovines in a semi-arid area, with special reference to their biology and possible methods of prophylaxis. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research* 1960;28:365-464.