ABSTRACT: In this paper a capacity fade and cycle-life estimation of lithium iron phosphate (LiFePO4) battery based on a two year measured field data is reported. The testing was done to complement the tests made by research institutes in a laboratory by cycling charge/discharge profiles that represent solar home systems scenario. The battery testing laboratory at further training institute (FTI) laboratory of Adama science & Technology University, Ethiopia is used for testing. Five Pico systems consisting of LiFePO4 (LFP), 18650 type batteries with a nominal capacity of 4200mAh were distributed in a neighboring village. Capacity fade and Cycle-life estimation analysis was done on the data collected over the course of two years where measurements were taken every month. Using the data collected, the capacity fade and the cycle-life of these batteries have been calculated, accordingly the result showed average capacity fade of 8% of the initial measured capacity and average cycle-life of about 6.5 years. These results exceed well above even that of what the manufacturers give as specification, which is in most cases is estimated to be 2000 cycles (5.5 years). The resulting conclusion is that the LiFePO4 battery could be the best alternative to solve one of the prominent pitfalls of solar home systems (SHS), specifically reliability and running cost. Therefore it is recommended to deploy this battery more often in new designs. In addition to that the result obtained from this work can be used as an input for further studies in the area of SHS.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The ever-increasing concern in global energy demand, fuelled by the depletion of fossil fuels, has driven the search for renewable energy as a potential alternative and/or as a main stream energy source. Renewable energy is generally produced using several methods and solar photovoltaic are among the most rapidly developing ones. Because the energy delivery through energy conversion from renewable sources is unpredictable and intermittent, combination with energy storage systems (ESSs) is required to improve the system reliability and efficiency [1]. Rechargeable electrochemical batteries have been dominating the field of renewable ESSs, where LFP battery that uses the Commercial-grade graphite and LiFePO4 were, respectively, used as negative and positive electrode. Long-term reliability is one of the key factors for the utilization of battery ESS. In terms of cycling stability and energy density, graphite remains the first choice among the Anode (-ve) electrode materials [2]. LiFePO4 has attracted much attention as a promising cathode (+ve) electrode material because of the low cost, Fe availability, good electrochemical cycling stability, and environmentally friendliness [3]. At present, the combination of the graphite negative electrode and the LiFePO4 positive electrode for use in Li-ion batteries is one of the most promising cell chemistry for ESS application on the basis of several factors such as cost, energy density, and cycling stability [4][5].

It is known that many battery research institutes have put together a lot of efforts to develop “Battery Cycle-Life Test Procedure” in their Laboratories and estimated accordingly the cycle-life of a battery. Probably this kind of study takes
several years to come to a conclusion. These tests have been made mainly in the areas of electric car, plug-in hybrid cars and stationary application with high rate of discharge 3C to 5C [6]. The general approach in this method, the unique operational profiles that ESS batteries are exposed to, and the testing requirements needed to simulate the realistic load cycle profile in a laboratory environment, using a variety of load profiles including constant current discharge, pulsed discharge, and varying depths of discharge is indeed a huge task [7][8]. In addition to this it is also a common practice to make a cycle test with a bit accelerated mode using climate chambers. Most of these tests have been carried upon disassembled fully discharged cell were the electrodes then analyzed using: high tech instruments such as X-ray diffraction (XRD), and field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, JEOL JSM-7000F) with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) for the observation of morphology and microstructure of the electrodes [6][9]. In this study an attempt has been done to evaluate the life cycle & capacity fades of LFP battery using standard laboratory equipments which is very typical for developing countries using terminal characteristics.

Batteries in PV systems continually suffer from limited power for recharge and extended periods when they are left in a partially charged condition[11]. Over the last few years there has been a significant effort by the PV Global Accreditation Program (PV GAP), the IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 21 (IEEE SCC21), and the International Electro technical Commission (IEC) to develop standardized test procedures for batteries used in standalone PV systems. Almost all of them are laboratory based studies using sample cycle-test and make predictions based on the results[12]. PV battery test procedures in general duplicate the shallow cycling, deficit-charge cycling, low charge and discharge rates, and limited recharge or finish-charge as found in PV systems. In this study, a two year field data is collected, and the data is statistically analyzed. Capacity fade and estimation of cycle-life have been calculated. Study Area: Five systems have been installed in a village called “Sekekello” which is 5-8km away from Adama, in the Oromia Regional state of Ethiopia. The location of the site is (08:32N, 039:16E) and average Elevation of 1627 meters. The village has got inhabitants of about 350 to 500 households and it is totally un-electrified (The study also takes this opportunity to introduce the PV- solar technology to the community).

II. AIM AND APPROACH

This study aims to study the natural capacity fade of a battery as used exactly there in the field for a period of 24 months. Based on the data collected over these periods, the capacity fades and cycle life of these batteries has been estimated. 

In order to achieve this aim the following approaches were followed.

i. Five systems (Pico) with LiFePO4, 18650 (cylindrical shape), nominal capacity of 42000mAh and a nominal voltage of 3.3 volt battery were distributed in the village.

ii. The initial Capacity of the batteries were measured and recorded prior to deployment

A. Details of the test:

I. Charge and discharge capacities were measured at 0.1C.

II. External environmental factors like Temperatures weren’t taken into account due to the limitation of measuring instrument and lab facilities

III. Another five replacement batteries were distributed to give service to the users when the samples were brought to lab for capacity testing at further training Institute Laboratory of Adama science and Technology University, Adama Ethiopia. (On the average this takes 2-3 days).

IV. Charge Professional ALC 850 Expert 2 was used for a capacity Measurement

V. Finally Excel 2010 is used to analyze the data (for Interpolation and extrapolation).

Table 1: Load connected to Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Battery</th>
<th>Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B001</td>
<td>1x180lm/1.56w lamp, 2-4 cell phone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. EVALUATION OF CYCLE-LIFE OF THE BATTERY

The most common quoted measure of cell or battery life is the cycle-life. It is usually defined as the number of cycles completed before the current capacity falls to less than 80% of the capacity when new \[13\]. Alternatively, for high power batteries, the useful cycle life sometimes is defined as the number of cycles completed before the internal impedance increases to double the value it was when new. However in this work the first definition has been used. Using simple equation

\[
C_{clt} = C_{init} - (20\% \text{ of } C_{init}) \quad (1)
\]

where \( C_{clt}: \text{cycle life limit} \)
\( C_{init}: \text{initial capacity} \)

The measured initial capacity and the cycle-life capacity limit are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Battery</th>
<th>Initial capacity</th>
<th>Cycle life limit (80%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B001</td>
<td>4217.70</td>
<td>3874.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B002</td>
<td>4359.63</td>
<td>3485.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>B003</td>
<td>4238.11</td>
<td>3593.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>B004</td>
<td>4525.66</td>
<td>3629.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>B006</td>
<td>4419.54</td>
<td>3537.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result from the capacity test is shown below in fig2.

A.1 The capacity fade

Battery capacity fade in this test procedure was defined by using equation (2)

\[
\text{Capacity fade} (\%) = \left( \frac{C_{final} - C_{init}}{C_{init}} \right) \times 100 \quad (2)
\]

Accordingly results from capacity fade are shown in Table (3)
Table 3: Capacity fades in (%) relative to Initial measured capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Initial capacity</th>
<th>Final capacity</th>
<th>Capacity Fade (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B001</td>
<td>4217.70</td>
<td>3871.85</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B002</td>
<td>4359.03</td>
<td>4032.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B003</td>
<td>4238.11</td>
<td>3818.53</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B004</td>
<td>4525.86</td>
<td>4168.31</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B005</td>
<td>4419.54</td>
<td>4132.27</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig2. Results from capacity test.

A.2 Estimation of Cycle-life

As it is depicted from the capacity test results in fig 2, the curves are not linear as can be expected. Correspondingly in this paper the life-cycle of these batteries was estimated using the following methods.

I. A good curve fitting were achieved using trend function of excel with polynomial of degree 4 to 5, however the estimation result from this test shows exponential increase in capacity, which couldn’t be explained theoretically, therefore, the curves were smoothed using moving average and center moving average methods to approximate a linear relationship. The estimation made based on this linearized trend line gave the results shown in table 4, which could be considered as roughly good estimation based on practical field data that supports the estimation made by most manufacturers in the laboratory (> 2000 cycles) [14].
Table 4: Estimated cycle-life (Service Years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>For casted Months</th>
<th>For casted years of service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B001</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B002</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B003</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B004</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B005</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Discussion

Fig. 1. Illustrates actual measured capacities of the Lithium iron phosphate (LFP). The data were collected over the course of two years. Percentage capacity degradation was calculated using equation (2) and shown in Table 3, the average capacity fade has been found to be 8% of the initial measure capacity. The life-cycle estimation of this battery has been shown in Table 4. The average cycle-life has been found to be 6 years and 5 months (6.5 yrs) which is almost double that of the lead acid (Pb) batteries.

C. Conclusion

Life cycle estimation is usually done using cycling (Charging & Discharging) under some specified condition, sometimes this is done with a slightly accelerated manner in the laboratories. In this paper, an actual field data has been collected for two years and analyzed for capacity fade and cycle-life estimation.

The result shows that the average capacity fade of 8% of the initial measured capacity observed, and the average cycle life of about 6.5 years, that complements well the experience so far from the laboratory and the specification given by the manufacturer.

The battery is said to be reached its end of life if it fails to deliver 80% of it rated capacity (or degradation of more than 20% of its rated capacity).

The result here can be used as a guide line in future works elaborated and collect the field data for several years for forecasting the cycle life of LFP batteries and to study the capacity fade.

Furthermore it might be used as basisto Life Cycle Cost evaluation (LCC) of this battery, to study the economic competitiveness against lead acid (Pb) or nickel metal hydride (NMH). Experience shows that Lithium based batteries so far incur high initial cost than similar capacity batteries employing other chemistries. However, Lithium batteries have high energy density, long cycle life and more readily recyclable. These factors contribute to less life cycle cost that needs to be studied next.
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