

**International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,
Engineering and Technology**

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2 , Issue 10 , October 2013

A Suzuki Type Unique Common Coupled Fixed Point Theorem in Metric Spaces

K.P.R.Rao¹, K.R.K.Rao², V.C.C.Raju³

Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar-522 510, A.P., India¹

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, VBIT, Ghatkesar-501 301, A.P., India²

Professor, Department of Mathematics, University of Botswana, Private Bag UB 00704, Gaborone, Botswana³

Abstract: In this article, we study a unique common coupled fixed point theorem of Suzuki type for Jungck type mappings in metric spaces. Our result generalize and modify several comparable results in the literature.

Keywords: Coupled fixed point, metric space, weakly compatible maps.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10.

I. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Banach contraction principle plays a very important role in nonlinear analysis and has many generalizations. Recently Suzuki [31] proved generalized versions of both Banach’s and Edelstein’s basic results and thus initiated a lot of work in this direction, for example refer [3,4,5,8,13,18-21,24-28,31,32] and the references in them .

In 2006, Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [29] introduced the notion of a coupled fixed point in partially ordered metric spaces, also discussed some problems of the uniqueness of a coupled fixed point and applied their results to the problems of the existence and uniqueness of a solution for the periodic boundary value problems. Later several authors obtained coupled fixed point theorems in various spaces, for example refer [1,2,6,7,9-12,14-17,22,23,29,30,33-36] and the references in them.

The aim of this paper is to combine the ideas of coupled fixed points and Suzuki type fixed point theorems to obtain a unique common coupled fixed point theorem for Jungck type mappings in a metric space.

First we give the following theorem of Suzuki [31].

Theorem 1.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a mapping on X , define a non-increasing

$$\text{function } \theta \text{ from } [0,1) \text{ into } (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \text{ by } \theta(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq r < \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} \\ \frac{1-r}{r^2} & \text{if } \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} \leq r < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{1+r} & \text{if } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq r < 1 \end{cases}$$

assume that $r \in [0, 1)$, such that $\theta(r) d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$ implies $d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then there

exists a unique fixed point z of T . Moreover, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = z$ for all $x \in X$.

Now we give some known definitions which are used to prove our main result.

Definition 1.2 (See [29]) Let X be a nonempty set. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $x = F(x, y)$ and $y = F(y, x)$.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2 , Issue 10 , October 2013

Definition 1.3 (See [17]) Let X be a nonempty set. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called

- (i) a coupled coincidence point of $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ if $fx = F(x, y)$ and $fy = F(y, x)$.
- (ii) a common coupled fixed point of $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ if $x = fx = F(x, y)$ and $y = fy = F(y, x)$.

Definition 1.4 (See [17]) Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$. The pair (F, f) is said to be W-weakly compatible if $f(F(x, y)) = F(fx, fy)$ whenever $fx = F(x, y)$ and $fy = F(y, x)$ for some $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Now we prove our main result.

II. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying the following :

(2.1.1) If there exists a constant $\theta \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\eta(\theta)d(fx, F(x, y)) \leq \max \{d(fx, fu), d(fy, fv), d(fx, F(x, y)), d(fy, F(y, x))\} \text{ implies}$$

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(fx, fu), d(fy, fv), d(fx, F(x, y)), d(fy, F(y, x)), \\ d(fu, F(u, v)), d(fv, F(v, u)), d(fu, F(x, y)), d(fv, F(y, x)) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $\eta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ defined by $\eta(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\theta}$ is a strictly decreasing function,

(2.1.2) $F(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$ and $f(X)$ is complete,

(2.1.3) F and f are W-compatible.

Then F and f have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$. Then from (2.1.2) there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $fx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$ and $fy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n)$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Case(i): Assume that $fx_n \neq fx_{n+1}$ or $fy_n \neq fy_{n+1}$ for all n . ----- (1)

Since

$$\eta(\theta)d(fx_0, F(x_0, y_0)) = \eta(\theta)d(fx_0, fx_1) \leq d(fx_0, fx_1)$$

$$\leq \max \{d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1), d(fx_0, F(x_0, y_0)), d(fy_0, F(y_0, x_0))\},$$

by (2.1.1), we have

$$d(fx_1, fx_2) = d(F(x_0, y_0), F(x_1, y_1))$$

$$\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1), d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1), \\ d(fx_1, fx_2), d(fy_1, fy_2), d(fx_1, fx_1), d(fy_1, fy_1) \end{array} \right\} \text{----- (2)}$$

$$\leq \theta \max \{d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1), d(fx_1, fx_2), d(fy_1, fy_2)\}$$

Since

**International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,
Engineering and Technology**

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2 , Issue 10 , October 2013

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\theta)d(fy_0, F(y_0, x_0)) &= \eta(\theta)d(fy_0, fy_1) \leq d(fy_0, fy_1) \\ &\leq \max\{d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1), d(fx_0, F(x_0, y_0)), d(fy_0, F(y_0, x_0))\}, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fy_1, fy_2) &= d(F(y_0, x_0), F(y_1, x_1)) \\ &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(fy_0, fy_1), d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1), d(fx_0, fx_1), \\ d(fy_1, fy_2), d(fx_1, fx_2), d(fy_1, fy_1), d(fx_1, fx_1) \end{array} \right\} \text{----- (3)} \\ &\leq \theta \max\{d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1), d(fx_1, fx_2), d(fy_1, fy_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

Now from (2) and (3), we have

$$\max\{d(fx_1, fx_2), d(fy_1, fy_2)\} \leq \theta \max\{d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1), d(fx_1, fx_2), d(fy_1, fy_2)\} \text{----- (4)}$$

If $\max\{d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1)\} \leq \max\{d(fx_1, fx_2), d(fy_1, fy_2)\}$ then from (4), we have $fx_1 = fx_2$ and $fy_1 = fy_2$. It is a contradiction to (1).

Hence from (4), we have $\max\{d(fx_1, fx_2), d(fy_1, fy_2)\} \leq \theta \max\{d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1)\}$.

Continuing in this way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}), d(fy_n, fy_{n+1})\} &\leq \theta \max\{d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n), d(fy_{n-1}, fy_n)\} \\ &\leq \theta^2 \max\{d(fx_{n-2}, fx_{n-1}), d(fy_{n-2}, fy_{n-1})\} \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \theta^n \max\{d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \leq \theta^n \max\{d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1)\}$ and

$$d(fy_n, fy_{n+1}) \leq \theta^n \max\{d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1)\}$$

For $m > n$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx_m, fx_n) &\leq d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) + d(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+2}) + \dots + d(fx_{m-1}, fx_m) \\ &\leq (\theta^n + \theta^{n+1} + \dots + \theta^{m-1}) \max\{d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1)\} \\ &\leq \frac{\theta^n}{1-\theta} \max\{d(fx_0, fx_1), d(fy_0, fy_1)\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{fx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $f(X)$. Similarly we can show that $\{fy_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $f(X)$.

Since $f(X)$ is complete, there exist $p, q, z_1, z_2 \in X$ such that $fx_n \rightarrow p = fz_1$ and $fy_n \rightarrow q = fz_2$.

Since $fx_n \rightarrow p$ and $fy_n \rightarrow q$, we may assume that $fx_n \neq p$ and $fy_n \neq q$ for infinitely many n .

Claim: $\max\{d(fz_1, F(x, y)), d(fz_2, F(y, x))\} \leq \theta \max\{d(fz_1, fx), d(fz_2, fy), d(fx, F(x, y)), d(fy, F(y, x))\}$
for all $x, y \in X$ with $fx \neq fz_1$ and $fy \neq fz_2$.

Let $x, y \in X$ with $fx \neq fz_1$ and $fy \neq fz_2$.

Then there exists a positive integer n_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$ we have $d(fz_1, fx_n) \leq \frac{1}{3}d(fz_1, fx)$ and

$$d(fz_2, fy_n) \leq \frac{1}{3}d(fz_2, fy).$$

Now for $n \geq n_0$,

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2 , Issue 10 , October 2013

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta(\theta)d(fx_n, F(x_n, y_n)) &\leq d(fx_n, F(x_n, y_n)) \\
 &= d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq d(fx_n, fz_1) + d(fz_1, fx_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{3}d(fx, fz_1) + \frac{1}{3}d(fz_1, fx) \\
 &= d(fx, fz_1) - \frac{1}{3}d(fx, fz_1) \\
 &\leq d(fz_1, fx) - d(fx_n, fz_1) \\
 &\leq d(fx, fx_n) \\
 &\leq \max \{ d(fx_n, fx), d(fy_n, fy), d(fx_n, F(x_n, y_n)), d(fy_n, F(y_n, x_n)) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1.1), we have

$$d(F(x_n, y_n), F(x, y)) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(fx_n, fx), d(fy_n, fy), d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}), d(fy_n, fy_{n+1}), \\ &d(fx, F(x, y)), d(fy, F(y, x)), d(fx, fx_{n+1}), d(fy, fy_{n+1}) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$d(fz_1, F(x, y)) \leq \theta \max \{ d(fz_1, fx), d(fz_2, fy), d(fx, F(x, y)), d(fy, F(y, x)) \}.$$

Similarly we can show that

$$d(fz_2, F(y, x)) \leq \theta \max \{ d(fz_2, fy), d(fz_1, fx), d(fx, F(x, y)), d(fy, F(y, x)) \}.$$

Thus

$$\max \{ d(fz_1, F(x, y)), d(fz_2, F(y, x)) \} \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(fz_1, fx), d(fz_2, fy) \\ &d(fx, F(x, y)), d(fy, F(y, x)) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{----- (5)}$$

Hence the claim. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(fx, F(x, y)) &\leq d(fx, fz_1) + d(fz_1, F(x, y)) \\
 &\leq d(fx, fz_1) + \theta \max \{ d(fz_1, fx), d(fz_2, fy), d(fx, F(x, y)), d(fy, F(y, x)) \} \text{ from (5)} \\
 &\leq (1 + \theta) \max \{ d(fz_1, fx), d(fz_2, fy), d(fx, F(x, y)), d(fy, F(y, x)) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\eta(\theta)d(fx, F(x, y)) \leq \max \{ d(fx, fz_1), d(fy, fz_2), d(fx, F(x, y)), d(fy, F(y, x)) \}.$$

Hence from (2.1.1), we have

$$d(F(x, y), F(z_1, z_2)) \leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(fx, fz_1), d(fy, fz_2), d(fx, F(x, y)), d(fy, F(y, x)), \\ &d(fz_1, F(z_1, z_2)), d(fz_2, F(z_2, z_1)), d(fz_1, F(x, y)), d(fz_2, F(y, x)) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{---- (6)}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(fz_1, F(z_1, z_2)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_{n+1}, F(z_1, z_2)) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(F(x_n, y_n), F(z_1, z_2)) \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(fx, fz_1), d(fy, fz_2), d(fx, F(x, y)), d(fy, F(y, x)), \\ &d(fz_1, F(z_1, z_2)), d(fz_2, F(z_2, z_1)), d(fz_1, F(x, y)), d(fz_2, F(y, x)) \end{aligned} \right\}, \text{ from (6)} \quad \text{Similarly,} \\
 &= \theta \max \{ d(fz_1, F(z_1, z_2)), d(fz_2, F(z_2, z_1)) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

we can have

$$d(fz_2, F(z_2, z_1)) \leq \theta \max \{ d(fz_1, F(z_1, z_2)), d(fz_2, F(z_2, z_1)) \}.$$

Thus $\max \{ d(fz_1, F(z_1, z_2)), d(fz_2, F(z_2, z_1)) \} \leq \theta \max \{ d(fz_1, F(z_1, z_2)), d(fz_2, F(z_2, z_1)) \}$

so that $fz_1 = F(z_1, z_2)$ and $fz_2 = F(z_2, z_1)$.

Thus (z_1, z_2) is a coupled coincidence point of F and f . Since the pair (F, f) is W-compatible, we have

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2 , Issue 10 , October 2013

$$fp = f^2 z_1 = f(F(z_1, z_2)) = F(fz_1, fz_2) = F(p, q) \text{ ----- (7)}$$

$$fq = f^2 z_2 = f(F(z_2, z_1)) = F(fz_2, fz_1) = F(q, p) \text{ ----- (8)}$$

Now

$$\eta(\theta)d(fp, F(p, q)) = 0 \leq \max\{d(fz_1, fp), d(fz_2, fq), d(fp, F(p, q)), d(fq, F(q, p))\}.$$

Hence from (2.1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fp, fz_1) &= d(F(p, q), F(z_1, z_2)) \\ &\leq \theta \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(fp, fz_1), d(fq, fz_2), d(fp, F(p, q)), d(fq, F(q, p)), \\ &d(fz_1, F(z_1, z_2)), d(fz_2, F(z_2, z_1)), d(fz_1, F(p, q)), d(fz_2, F(q, p)) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= \theta \max\{d(fp, fz_1), d(fq, fz_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$d(fq, fz_2) \leq \theta \max\{d(fp, fz_1), d(fq, fz_2)\}.$$

Thus

$$\max\{d(fp, fz_1), d(fq, fz_2)\} \leq \theta \max\{d(fp, fz_1), d(fq, fz_2)\}.$$

Hence $p = fz_1 = fp$ and $q = fz_2 = fq$.

Now from (7) and (8), we have (p, q) is a common coupled fixed point of f and F .

Suppose (p', q') is another common coupled fixed point of F and f . Now consider

$$\eta(\theta)d(p, F(p, q)) = 0 \leq \max\{d(fp, fp'), d(fq, fq'), d(fp, F(p, q)), d(fq, F(q, p))\}.$$

By (2.1.1), we have

$$d(p, p') = d(F(p, q), F(p', q')) \leq \theta \max\{d(p, p'), d(q, q')\}.$$

Similarly, we can show that $d(q, q') \leq \theta \max\{d(p, p'), d(q, q')\}$.

Thus $\max\{d(p, p'), d(q, q')\} \leq \theta \max\{d(p, p'), d(q, q')\}$.

Hence $p = p'$ and $q = q'$.

Thus (p, q) is the unique common coupled fixed point of F and f .

Case(ii): If $fx_n = fx_{n+1}$ and $fy_n = fy_{n+1}$ for some n then $fx_n = F(x_n, y_n)$ and $fy_n = F(y_n, x_n)$ so that (x_n, y_n) is a coupled coincidence point of F and f . Now proceeding as in case (i) with $fx_n = p$ and $fy_n = q$, we can show that (p, q) is the unique common coupled fixed point of F and f .

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Samet, "Coupled fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler Contraction in partially ordered metric spaces", *Nonlinear Anal.*, vol.72, 2010, pp. 4508-4517.
- [2] B.S. Choudhury, A. Kundu, "A coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings", *Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 73,no.8, 2010, pp.2524-2531.
- [3] D.Doric Rade, Lazovic, Some "Suzuki-type fixed point theorems for generalized multivalued mappings and applications", *Fixed point theory and Applications*, vol. 2011, 2011 , 1/40, 8 pages.
- [4] D.Doric, Z.Kadelburg, S.Radenovic, "Edelstein-Suzuki type fixed point results in metric and abstract metric spaces", *Nonlinear Analysis TMA*, vol. 75, 2012, pp. 1927-1932.
- [5] D.Paesano, Pasquale Vetro, "Suzuki's type characterizations of completeness for partial metric spaces and fixed points for partially ordered metric spaces", *Topology and its Applications*, vol. 159, 2012, pp. 911-920.
- [6] E. Karapinar, "Couple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces", *Comp. Math. Anal.*, vol. 59, 2010, pp. 3656 -3668.
- [7] E. Karapinar, "Couple fixed point on cone metric spaces", *Gazi Univ. J.Sci.*, vol. 24, no. 1, 2011, pp.51-58.
- [8] E.Karapinar, "Edelstein type fixed point theorems", *Ann. Funct. Anal.*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2011, pp.51-58.
- [9] F. Sabetghadam, H.P. Masiha, A.H. Sanatpour, "Some coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces", *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol. 2009, 2009, Article ID 125426, 8 pages.
- [10] H. Aydi, "Some coupled fixed point results on partial metric spaces". *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical*

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2 , Issue 10 , October 2013

- Sciences, vol. 2011, 2011, Article ID 647091, 11 pages.
- [11] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, W. Shatanawi, "Coupled fixed point results for $(\phi - \psi)$ - weakly contractive condition in ordered partial metric spaces", *Comput. Math. Appl.*, vol. 62 no. 12 2011, pp. 4449 – 4460.
- [12] H.S. Ding , L. Li, "Coupled fixed point theorems in partial ordered cone metric spaces", *Filomat*, vol. 25, no. 2, 2011, pp. 137-149.
- [13] I.Altun , Ali Erduran, "A Suzuki type fixed point theorem", *Internat. Math. Math. Sci.*, vol. 2011, Article ID 736063, 9 pages.
- [14] K.P.R.Rao, S.Hima Bindu, Md.Mustaq Ali, "Coupled fixed point theorems in d-Complete topological spaces", *J.Nonlinear Sci.Appl.* , vol. 5, 2012, pp. 186 -194.
- [15] K.P.R.Rao , K.R.K.Rao , Erdal Karapinar , "Common coupled fixed point theorems in d-complete topological spaces", *Ann. Funct. Anal.*, vol.3, no.2, 2012, pp. 107-114
- [16] K.P.R.Rao, G.N.V.Kishore, V.C.C.Raju, "A coupled fixed point theorem for two pairs of W-compatible maps using altering distance function in partial metric spaces," *Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Mathematics* , vol .4, Issue 4, 2012, pp. 96- 114.
- [17] M. Abbas, M. Ali Khan, S. Radenovic, "Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for w-compatible mappings", *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 217, 2010, pp. 195-202.
- [18] M.Agarwal, Renu Chugh, Raj Kamal, "Suzuki type fixed point results in G-metric spaces and Applications", *International Journal of Computer Applications*, vol. 47, no. 12 , 2012, pp. 14-17.
- [19] M.Kikkawa , T.Suzuki, "Some similarity between contractions and Kannan mappings, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol. 2008 , 2008, 8 pages.
- [20] M.Kikkawa, T.Suzuki, "Three fixed point theorems for generalized contractions with constants in complete metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis* , vol.69, 2008, pp. 2942-2949.
- [21] N.Hussain, D.Doric, Z.Kadelburg , S.Radenovic, "Suzuki type fixed point results in metric type spaces, *Fixed point theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, 2012:126, 15 pages.
- [22] N.V. Luong, N.X. Thuan, "Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces", *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 2, no. 4 , 2010, pp. 16-24.
- [23] N. V. Luong , N. X. Thuan, "Coupled fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces and application", *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol. 74, no. 3, 2011, 983 -992.
- [24] Popescu O, "Two fixed point theorems for generalized contractions with constants in complete metric spaces", *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, vol. 7 , 2009, pp., 529-538 .
- [25] Renu Chugh, Raj Kamal , Madhu Aggarwal, "Properties P and Q for Suzuki type fixed point theorems in metric spaces", *International Journal of Computer Applications*, vol. 50, no. 1, 2012, 44-48.
- [26] R.K.Bose, M.K.Roy Chowdhury, "Fixed point theorems for some generalized contractive multi valued mappings and fuzzy mappings", *Math Vesnik*, vol. 63, no. 1, 2011, pp. 7-26.
- [27] S.L.Singh, H.K.Pathak, S.N.Mishra, "On a Suzuki type general fixed point theorem with applications", *Fixed point theory and Applications*, vol.2010, Article ID 234717, 15 pages.
- [28] S.L.Singh, S.N.Mishra, Renu Chugh, Raj Kamal, "General common fixed point theorems and applications", *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2012, 2012, Article ID 902312, 14 pages.
- [29] T.G.Bhaskar , V.Lakshmikantham, "Fixed point theorems in partially ordered cone metric spaces and applications", *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, methods and Applications*, 65(7)(2006),825-832.
- [30] T. Gnana Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, "Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications", *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol. 65, no. 7, 2006, pp. 1379-1393.
- [31] T. Suzuki, "A generalized Banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness", *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 136, no. 5, 2008 , pp. 1861-1869.
- [32] T.Suzuki, "A new type of fixed point theorem in metric spaces", *Nonlinear Analysis TMA*, vol. 71, 2009, pp. 5313 -5317.
- [33] V. Lakshmikantham, L. C. Irin, "Coupled fixed point theorems for non-linear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces", *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol. 70, no. 12, 2009, pp. 4341-4349.
- [34] W. Shatanawi, "Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 40, no. 3, 2011, pp. 441-447.
- [35] W. Shatanawi, B. Samet, M. Abbas, "Coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in ordered partial metric spaces", *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 55 , 2012, 680 - 687.
- [36] W. Shatanawi, "On w-compatible mappings and common coupled coincidence point in cone metric spaces", *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25, no. 6, 2012, pp. 925 - 931.



ISSN: 2319-8753

**International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,
Engineering and Technology**

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2 , Issue 10 , October 2013