A Technique for DWT Image Fusion Using a Single Original Image
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Abstract — In today’s modern life, digital cameras and smartphones have been widely used to acquire photographs. However, digital cameras and smartphones have a limited dynamic range, which is much lower than that our eyes can perceive. So, the pictures taken in high dynamic range scenes often exhibit under-exposure or over-exposure artifacts in shadow or highlight regions. Here, an image fusion based approach, in which a single acquired image is used, is proposed for enhancement of image. First, a function following the F-stop concept in photography is used to generate several pseudo images having different intensity. Then image classification is done to distribute the whole image into three different classes according to the luminance value of each pixel to improve the exposure value of each pixel. After that, an image fusion method, which blends pixels in distinct luminance classes using different fusion functions, is proposed to produce a fused image in which every image region can be properly exposed and the contrast of an image is improved too. This technique improves the every pixel of an image because every calculation is done pixel-by-pixel.

Keywords — Image fusion using single image, contrast enhancement, exposure fusion and image fusion.

I. INTRODUCTION

Digital cameras and smartphones have been widely used to acquire photographs in our today’s modern life. However, digital cameras and smartphones have a limited dynamic range, which is much lower than that our eyes can perceive. Therefore, people are not always pleased with the photographs captured in high dynamic range scenes because they often exhibit under-exposure or over-exposure artifacts in shadow or highlight regions. The common problems found in live images are: 1) a normal image with proper illumination/exposure but some regions are slightly under-exposed, 2) a backlight image with over-exposed and/or under-exposed regions, 3) a low-contrast image due to insufficient illumination/exposure and 4) a dark scene image which were taken in the night without using a photoflash. If the exposure/contrast of the acquired image is improper, a post-processing procedure using an image enhancement method is needed in order to produce an image having better quality than before. Many image enhancement methods were developed to solve these problems. In general, image enhancement methods can be classified into four categories: 1) histogram-based methods [1]-[11], 2) transform based methods [1], [12], [13], 3) exposure-based methods [14], [15], and 4) image fusion based methods [16]-[18].

Histogram equalization (HE) [1] is the most well-known technique for image enhancement. This method uses a non-linear mapping function to produce an enhanced image with its histogram approximating a uniform distribution. But, HE fails to produce pleasing pictures because of three common drawbacks: 1) false contour 2) washed-out appearance 3) amplified noises. Pizer et al. [2] proposed a method called adaptive histogram equalization in which first an image is divided into several non-overlapping blocks. Then, HE is applied on each block independently. Finally, the enhanced blocks are fused using bilinear interpolation to reduce blocking artifacts. Some brightness preservation HE methods [3]-[11] have tried to preserve the original brightness to a limit, which is essential for consumer electronic products and these methods first divide the histogram into two [3]-[8] or more [9]-[11] sub-histograms and then apply HE on each sub-histogram independently. The main disadvantage of brightness preservation methods is that sometimes they may produce unnatural artifacts because some regions may be enhanced excessively.
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In transform-based methods [1], [12], [13], a transformation function (e.g., power-law or logarithmic function) is defined to map input luminance values into output one. These methods were widely provided in many consumer electronic products. Typically, some device-dependent parameters should be specified in advance. The transform-based methods can produce a properly enhanced image for either under-exposed or over-exposed images by selecting appropriate parameters [1]. But, if an image is affected by both under-exposed and over-exposed regions, these methods fail to produce proper contrast on both regions. Moroney [12] proposed an approach based on pixel-by-pixel gamma correction with a non-linear masking. The gamma correction of each pixel depends on the values of its neighboring pixels also. Nevertheless, it may produce halo effects near edges of image. Thus, Schettini et al. [13] proposed a local and image-dependent exponential correction function for contrast enhancement in which the bilateral filter is used as the mask of the exponential correction function to reduce the halo effect. However, the global contrast of the whole image was reduced by using this approach.

Exposure-based methods [14], [15] have tried to adjust the exposure level of an image using a mapping function between the light values and the pixel values of desired objects. Battiatto et al. [14] has proposed an exposure correction approach using the camera response curve to adjust the exposure levels. Since this approach was specifically designed for desired regions, it can produce pleasing results in desired regions; whereas it may lead to poor illumination in other regions. Safonov et al. [15] has developed a method for global and local correction of various exposure defects. This approach is based on contrast stretching and alpha-blending of the brightness of the original image and the estimated reflectance. The main problem with this approach is that it might exhibit insufficient illumination for some regions.

Image fusion based methods [16]-[18] aimed to combine relevant information from more than one images taken from the same scene to produce a fused image, which is more informative than individual image. In these methods, several “virtual images” have to be generated from a single original image before doing image fusion. Hsieh et al. [16] have used a linear function to fuse the input image and histogram equalized image to get a fused image. Pei et al. [17] generated two images, a histogram equalized image and a sharpened image using Laplacian operator, and then fused their discrete wavelet transform (DWT) coefficients (approximate and detailed) to generate a fused image which is having higher contrast and sharpness. Lim et al. [18] have applied an intensity mapping function to the input image to generate multiple images having different exposure. The intensity mapping function can be either estimated from a set of images captured by the same camera in order to imitate the camera response function or expressed explicitly in terms of a power-law function. In the first case, several images captured by the same camera should be provided for learning the camera response function. In the second case, parameters of the power-law function should be chosen carefully for getting a high contrast fused image.

In this study, an image fusion based approach, named classified exposure image fusion, will be proposed for image contrast enhancement. The major contributions are as follows. First, a function following the F-stop concept in photography is designed to generate several virtual or pseudo images having different intensity. Second, a classified image fusion method, which blends pixels in distinct luminance classes using different fusion functions, is proposed to produce a fused image in which every image region is well exposed.

II. PROPOSED APPROACH FOR IMAGE CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT AND IMAGE WELL-EXPOSEDNESS USING SINGLE ORIGINAL IMAGE

In this study, an image fusion based approach will be proposed for image contrast enhancement. Image fusion techniques have been widely developed for producing high quality images in many applications such as remote sensing [19]-[21], medical imaging [21], high dynamic range imaging (HDRI) [21]-[23], multi-focus imaging [21], [24], etc. In remote sensing and/or medical imaging, the input images taken from different sensors which is having different spatial and spectral properties, are combined to produce a high quality fused image. In HDRI, several input images captured with distinct exposure time are combined together to produce a wide dynamic range image. In multi-focus image fusion, some input images taken using variant foci, with each one containing some objects in focus, are fused together to produce an image with all relevant objects are in focus. Acquisition of several images having different exposure or foci is obviously a prerequisite for these applications. However, for image contrast enhancement, if only one input image is given, then several “pseudo images” or “virtual images” can be generated from the input image to realize an image fusion system.
Since the proposed approach works on grayscale image, each input color image is first converted to the gray scale image. In this study, the gray scale value of each pixel is converted from the red, green, and blue color values using the following function:

$$I(x, y) = 0.299 \times R(x, y) + 0.587 \times G(x, y) + 0.114 \times B(x, y)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where, \(R(x, y)\), \(G(x, y)\), and \(B(x, y)\) denote the red, green, and blue color values of a pixel at \((x, y)\) location. Then, several virtual images having different intensity value, realized by setting different F-stops, will be generated. At the same time, a fast algorithm for multilevel thresholding will be applied to classify all pixels of input image into different three luminance classes according to their intensity values. Then, weight-map generation procedure is done using JND based contrast measure and exposedness measure. Finally, a classified image fusion method, which fuses pixels in distinct luminance classes using different fusion functions, will be proposed to produce a fused image with appropriate exposure on every image region. The block diagram for the proposed approach is depicted in Fig. 2.

**a). Generation of Virtual Exposure Images**

While considering photography, exposure indicates that how much light will reach the image sensors on digital cameras. Therefore, to determine the proper exposure, we have to select an appropriate combination of shutter speed and F-stop. Shutter speed controls how long the shutter is open, indicating the exposure time that the light of the scene reaches to the image sensors. F-stop function value controls the size of the aperture, which is the hole the light of the scene passes through in a digital camera. At present, modern digital cameras use a standard F-stop scale, which is an approximately geometric sequence of numbers that corresponds to the sequence of the powers of the square root of 2. Specifically, the standard F-stop numbers are as follows: F1.4, F2, F2.8, F4, F5.6, F8, F11, F16, and so on. Every F-stop represents a doubling/halving of the amount of light from its immediate successor/predecessor. For example, F2.8 allows the double of light through than F4. In this study, we take a half-step along this scale to create an exposure difference of "half a stop". It means the generated luminance values associating with each pixel approximate a geometric sequence with a common ratio 2. Let \(I(x, y)\) denote the luminance value of the input image \(I\) at location \((x, y)\), and assume that each grayscale value is an integer value in the interval \([0, 255]\). The grayscale value of each pixel in the \(k^{th}\) virtual image \(I_k\) can be expressed as following function for variant F-stop value:

$$I_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} I(x, y) \times \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^i & \text{if } I(x, y) \times \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^i < 255 \\ 255, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$
Here, N high exposure brighter images (with k = N, -N + 1, …, -1) and N low exposure darker images (with k = 1, 2, …, N) will be generated first (see Fig. 3). From these generated pseudo exposure images, we can see here that, as the exposure increases, dark regions become clearer whereas brighter regions become saturated.

Among these 2N+1 virtual images, only those images having some relevant informative regions will be selected for image fusion. That is, those images which are completely under-exposed or completely over-exposed will not be used in the image fusion process in an attempt to get a high informative fused image. To this end, an anchor image (the image with the most appropriate exposure) among these 2N+1 virtual images will be selected first. The anchor image as well as its preceding M (M ≤ N) lower exposure images and its succeeding M higher exposure images resulting in a set of images consisting of 2M+1 images will be used for image fusion. The anchor image is found by evaluating the average luminance of each virtual exposure image. Let \( \mu_k \) denote the average luminance of all pixels in \( k \)th virtual image. The exposure image with its average luminance value closest to 128 (the middle value of the luminance interval [0, 255]) will be selected as the anchor image. That is, the index \( \text{anc} \) of the anchor image \( I_{\text{anc}} \) can be determined by the following equation:

\[
\text{anc} = \arg \min_{k = -N, \ldots, N} |\mu_k - 128| \tag{3}
\]

Besides \( I_{\text{anc}} \), its preceding \( M \) lower exposure images and succeeding \( M \) higher exposure images, denoted by \( I_{\text{anc}-M}, \ldots, I_{\text{anc}}, \ldots, I_{\text{anc}+M} \), will be equivalent to the set of virtual images for image fusion.

### b) Image Pixel Classification

In this study, the pixels in the input image will be classified into three different classes. That is, dim class (denoted by \( I_L \)), well-exposed class (denoted by \( I_M \)), and bright class (denoted by \( I_H \)), according to their luminance values. From the classification result, pixels in different classes will be blended using different fusion functions. To this end, the multilevel thresholding algorithm proposed by Liao et al. [25] is used to find two thresholds, denoted by \( Thd_0 \) and \( Thd_1 \) (\( Thd_0 < Thd_1 \)), such that the input image \( I \) can be decomposed into three sub-images:

\[
I = I_L \cup I_M \cup I_H \tag{4}
\]

Where, \( I_L, I_M \) and \( I_H \) respectively consist of pixels with luminance values smaller than \( Thd_0 \), in-between \( Thd_0 \) and \( Thd_1 \), and larger than \( Thd_1 \):

\[
I_L = \{ (x,y) \mid I(x,y) < Thd_0, I(x,y) \in I \} \tag{5}
\]

\[
I_M = \{ (x,y) \mid Thd_0 \leq I(x,y) \leq Thd_1, I(x,y) \in I \} \tag{6}
\]

\[
I_H = \{ (x,y) \mid I(x,y) > Thd_1, I(x,y) \in I \} \tag{7}
\]

Fig. 4 shows the image pixel classification result of the input image shown in Fig. 3(f). We can see that the pixels in the sky region belong to bright class, some of the pixels in the central building and the windows in the building belong to dim class, and the others are attributed to well-exposed class. To provide proper exposure on every region, the proposed CEIF approach aims to blend pixels in distinct classes using different fusion functions.
c). **Classified Image Fusion**

In this study, a weighted average approach will be employed to blend together the 2M+1 virtual images with weights computed from the proposed quality measure [26]. First, a weight map, determining the contribution of each pixel to the fused image, is generated for each virtual image to guide the fusion process. Mertens et al. [26] combined the information from different measures, including contrast, saturation, and well-exposedness, into a scalar weight value for each pixel. Since the proposed classified image fusion method is applied on the luminance image, the weight map considers only the contrast and well-exposedness measures. The contrast measure tries to preserve the detailed parts such as edge or texture information of an image. The well-exposedness measure is used to find proper exposure for each pixel. In this approach, we combine the concept of just-noticeable-distortion (JND) model of the human visual system (HVS) in the contrast measure in order to prevent from amplifying noises. Further, for each pixel, a classified well-exposedness measure is proposed to find its appropriate luminance value.

1) **JND-based Contrast Measure:** In this approach, the JND model of HVS is combined in the contrast measure to prevent from amplifying noises. For a pixel \( p \) located at \((x, y)\) in virtual image \( I_k \), we first compute the maximum, minimum, and average luminance values of its eight neighbors within the 3x3 window centered at \((x, y)\), denoted by \( I_k^{\text{max}}(x,y), I_k^{\text{min}}(x,y), \) and \( I_k^{\text{avg}}(x,y) \). Then, the difference between \( I_k^{\text{max}}(x,y) \) and \( I_k^{\text{min}}(x,y) \) is evaluated:

\[
I_k^{\text{dif}}(x,y) = I_k^{\text{max}}(x,y) - I_k^{\text{min}}(x,y) \tag{8}
\]

This difference value provides a measure of the contrast value around pixel \( p \). If this difference value is smaller than the visibility threshold of HVS, indicating that there exist no visible edges of texture information around pixel \( p \), we set the contrast value 0. Otherwise, the contrast value is set as \( I_k^{\text{dif}}(x,y) \). In addition, because different quality measures have distinct dynamic ranges and to prevent from the computed weight values being zero, we set the contrast value of pixel \( p \) as follows:

\[
C_k^{\text{t}}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 
1/256, & \text{if } I_k^{\text{dif}}(x,y) < \text{JND}(I_k^{\text{avg}}(x,y)) \\
(I_k^{\text{dif}}(x,y) + 1)/256, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \tag{9}
\]

Where, \( C_k^{\text{t}}(x,y) \) denotes the contrast value of pixel \( p \), \( \text{JND}() \) is the visibility threshold function providing the JND that HVS can perceive. In this study, the JND model proposed by Chou and Li [27] is implemented to design the visibility threshold function, which can be described by the following equation:

\[
\text{JND}(g) = \begin{cases} 
T_0(1 - (g/127)^\gamma) + 3, & \text{if } g \leq 127 \\
\gamma(g - 127) + 3, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \tag{10}
\]

Where, \( g \) is the luminance value in the interval [0, 255], the parameters \( T_0 \) and \( \gamma \) depend on the viewing distance between tester and monitor. In this study, \( T_0 \) and \( \gamma \) are set to be 17 and 3/128 according to the subjective experiments done by Chou and Li [27].

---

**Fig. 4 Image pixel classification result of the image shown in Fig. 2(f)**
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2) Classified Well-exposedness Measure: Well-exposedness evaluates how well a pixel is exposed. Traditionally, a luminance value close to the middle value of the luminance interval is considered as well-exposed, whereas a luminance value near the boundary of the luminance interval is regarded as poor-exposed. This way, the well-exposed measure is generally defined by the following Gaussian function [26]:

\[ E'_k(x, y) = \exp \left( -\frac{(I_k(x, y) - 128)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \]  

(11)

Where, 128 (the desired target luminance value) is the middle value of the luminance interval [0, 255], \( E'_k(x, y) \) denotes the well-exposedness value of the pixel located at \((x, y)\), \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation of the Gaussian curve (set as 0.2xluminance range). This definition gives those pixels with luminance value close to 128 a larger well-exposedness value and a smaller weight value is assigned to those pixels with luminance values close to 0 or 255. Nevertheless, such a definition does not consider the original brightness of the pixels in the selected image. That means, the luminance values of both dark and bright pixels will be moved toward 128 in the fused image. As a result, the global contrast of the resultant image will be reduced although all pixels are well exposed based on the well-exposedness measure defined in (11). To cope with this problem, the proposed classified well-exposedness measure defines distinct desired target luminance values for pixels belonging to different classes. Specifically, pixels in well-exposed class \((I_M)\) have a desired target luminance value of 128, whereas pixels in bright class \((I_H)\) and dim class \((I_L)\) will be assigned a desired target luminance value larger than 128 and smaller than 128 respectively. Let \( \mu_L \) and \( \mu_H \) denote the average luminance values of all pixels in \( I_L \) class and \( I_H \) class respectively. The desired target luminance value for pixels in \( I_L \), denoted by \( Y_L^t \), is defined by the following function:

\[
I'_L = \begin{cases} 
64, & \text{if } \mu_L > 64 \\
\mu_L, & \text{if } 32 \leq \mu_L \leq 64 \\
64, & \text{if } \mu_L < 32 \text{ and } r_L > 0.5 \\
r_L \times 128, & \text{if } \mu_L < 32 \text{ and } 0.25 \leq r_L \leq 0.5 \\
32, & \text{if } \mu_L < 32 \text{ and } r_L < 0.25 
\end{cases}
\]  

(12)

where, \( r_L \) is the proportion of the number of pixels in \( I_L \), that is,

\[ r_L = \frac{N_L}{N_L + N_M + N_H} \]  

(13)

Where, \( N_L, N_M, \) and \( N_H \) are the number of pixels in \( I_L, I_M, \) and \( I_H \) respectively. Here note that the desired target luminance value for pixels in \( I_L \) is defined in the interval [32, 64] according to the luminance distribution. If \( \mu_L > 64 \), indicating that the input image is a bright image, we set the desired target luminance value as 64. Whereas, if \( \mu_L < 32 \), indicating that the input image is a dark one, the desired target luminance value for class \( I_L \) will be defined according to the proportion of the number of pixels in \( I_L, r_L \). If \( r_L \) is large, indicating that the input image consists of many dark pixels, a large desired target luminance level is selected, and vice versa.

For pixels belonging to well-exposed class \( I_M \), the desired target luminance value is defined 128 as follows:

\[ I'_M = 128 \]  

(14)

Since the luminance values of dark pixels are often set toward higher ones, to preserve and even increase the global contrast of the fused image, the desired target luminance value in bright class \( I_H \), \( I_H^t \), is defined in a similar way. Specifically, \( I_H^t \) is defined as follows:

\[
I'_H = \begin{cases} 
\mu_H, & \text{if } \mu_H > 224 \\
224, & \text{if } 192 \leq \mu_H \leq 224 \\
192, & \text{if } \mu_H < 192 
\end{cases}
\]  

(15)
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According to the definition of desired target luminance values for different luminance classes, the classified well-exposedness measure can be defined as follows:

\[ E'_i(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
\exp \left( -\frac{(I_i(x, y) - I'_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2} \right), & \text{if } I(x, y) \in I_i \\
\exp \left( -\frac{(I_i(x, y) - I'_u)^2}{2\sigma_u^2} \right), & \text{if } I(x, y) \in I_u \\
\exp \left( -\frac{(I_i(x, y) - I'_u)^2}{2\sigma_u^2} \right), & \text{if } I(x, y) \in I_u 
\end{cases} \] (16)

where, \( \sigma_i \), \( \sigma_M \), and \( \sigma_H \) are the desired target standard deviation of the Gaussian curve for class \( I_i \), \( I_M \), and \( I_H \) (in this study, we set \( \sigma_L = 32 \), \( \sigma_M = 64 \), and \( \sigma_H = 32 \)).

3) Classified Weight Map Generation: Finally, the weight map, \( W_k^t \), associated with virtual image \( I_k \) \((k = \text{anc-M}, \ldots, \text{anc+M})\) is obtained by combining the information from both JND-based contrast measure and classified well-exposedness measure through multiplication:

\[ W_k^t (x, y) = C_k^t (x, y) \times E'_k (x, y) \] (17)

To obtain a consistent result, we normalize the weight values of the \( 2M+1 \) weight maps such that for each pixel location the sum of the \( 2M+1 \) weight values equals one:

\[ W_k^t (x, y) = \frac{W_k^t (x, y)}{\sum_{i=-M}^{M+1} W_i^t (x, y)} \] (18)

Fig. (5) Shows the result for weight maps of different virtual exposure images.

Fig. 5 Weight maps of different exposure images generated by using the proposed classified weight map generation method (a) \( I_1 \) (b) \( I_2 \) (c) \( I_3 \) (d)\( I_0 \) (e) \( I_{-1} \) (f) \( I_{-2} \) (g) \( I_{-3} \).

4) Classified Image fusion in DWT domain: In this study, the proposed classified image fusion is performed in the discrete wavelet transform (DWT) domain to avoid annoying seams at pixels having sharp weight value transitions [28].

This process accomplishes one level of decomposition and results in four low-resolution subimages, denoted by LL, LH, HL, and HH. The subimage LL corresponds to a coarse approximate image, whereas the subimages LH, HL, and HH correspond to vertical, horizontal, and diagonal details. For multi-resolution wavelet decomposition, the subimage LL can further be decomposed into the other four subimages using the same decomposition procedure. Such a decomposition process is repeated until the desired number of levels determined by the application is reached. If \( L \)-level of wavelet decomposition is performed, we can obtain \( 3L+1 \) subimages.
The reconstruction of the image can be carried out by reversing the above decomposition procedure level by level until the image is fully reconstructed.

Let $Y_{k,l\theta}$ denote the wavelet subimage with direction $\theta$ ($\theta \in \{LL, LH, HL, HH\}$) at level $l$ ($1 \leq l \leq L$) of the wavelet transform of virtual image $Y_k$. Let $W_k$ denote the subimage at level $l$ of the Gaussian pyramid of the weight map $W_k$ associated with exposure image $Y_k$. Blending is carried out independently for every wavelet subimage with direction $\theta$ and level $l$, with the subimage at the $l$th level of the Gaussian pyramid of the weight map serving as the weights:

$$F^{l\theta}(x, y) = \sum_{k=-M}^{M} I^{l\theta}_k(x, y) W_k(x, y)$$

where, $F^{l\theta}(x,y)$ is the fused wavelet coefficient located at $(x,y)$ in the wavelet subimage with direction $\theta$ and level $l$. By applying inverse DWT on these wavelet subimages, we can reconstruct the fused image $F$.

From the fused luminance image $F$, the R, G, B color values of each pixel can be reconstructed by using the following formula in order to prevent relevant hue shift and color desaturation:

$$R_f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{F(x, y)}{I(x, y)} \left( R(x, y) + I(x, y) \right) + R(x, y) - I(x, y) \right)$$

$$G_f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{F(x, y)}{I(x, y)} \left( G(x, y) + I(x, y) \right) + G(x, y) - I(x, y) \right)$$

$$B_f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{F(x, y)}{I(x, y)} \left( B(x, y) + I(x, y) \right) + B(x, y) - I(x, y) \right)$$

III. CONCLUSION

In this study, contrast will be enhanced by doing fusion but here we are having single image and we will generate pseudo images for doing fusion. It is useful not only for low contrast image but it will make better even normal image and especially over-exposed and under-exposed images. Results for Normal image, Low contrast image, Under-exposed image and Over-exposed image will prove efficiency of this approach. Here smooth variation is required in cloud like regions so by making this region smooth the image can be made more natural with enhanced contrast as well as exposure and this approach will be very efficient for overall image enhancement.
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