



# **An IaaS Cloud System with Federation Threshold**

B. Sundarraaj<sup>1</sup>, K.G.S. Venkatesan<sup>2</sup>, M. Sriram<sup>3</sup>, Vimal Chand<sup>4</sup>

Assistant Professor, Dept. of C.S.E., Bharath University, Chennai, India<sup>1</sup>

Associate Professor, Dept. of C.S.E., Bharath University, Chennai, India<sup>2</sup>

Assistant Professor, Dept. of C.S.E., Bharath University, Chennai, India<sup>3</sup>

Dept. of C.S.E., Bharath University, Chennai, India<sup>4</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** Cloud information center management may be a key downside as a result of the various and heterogeneous methods which will be applied, starting from the VM placement to the federation with different clouds. Performance analysis of Cloud Computing infrastructures is needed to predict and quantify the cost-benefit of a method portfolio and therefore the corresponding Quality of Service (QoS) toughened by users. Such analyses aren't possible by simulation or on-the-field experimentation, as a result of the good variety of parameters that have to be compelled to be investigated. During this paper, we have a tendency to gift an analytical model, supported random Reward Nets (SRNs), that's each ascendable to model systems composed of thousands of resources and versatile to represent totally different policies and cloud-specific methods. Many performance metrics are outlined and evaluated to research the behavior of a Cloud information center: utilization, convenience, waiting time, and responsiveness. A resiliency analysis is additionally provided to require under consideration load bursts. Finally, a general approach is given that, ranging from the thought of system capability, will facilitate system managers to opportunely set the info center parameters below totally different operating conditions.

**KEYWORDS:** Performance evaluation, Cost-benefit, Quality of service, Cloud-specific, Resiliency analysis

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Cloud computing is that the use of computing resources (hardware and software) that area unit delivered as a service over a network (typically the Internet). The name comes from the common use of a cloud-shaped image as an abstraction for the advanced infrastructure it contains in system diagrams. Cloud computing entrusts remote services with a user's knowledge, package and computation [2]. Cloud computing consists of hardware and package resources created offered on the web as managed third-party services. These services usually give access to advanced package applications and high-end networks of server computers.

The goal of cloud computing is to use ancient supercomputing or high-performance computing power, usually utilized by military and analysis facilities, to perform tens of trillions of computations per second, in consumer-oriented applications like money portfolios, to deliver customized info, to produce knowledge storage or to power massive, immersive pc games [5]. The cloud computing uses networks of huge teams of servers usually running affordable shopper laptop technology with specialised connections to unfold data-processing chores across them. This shared IT infrastructure contains massive pools of systems that area unit connected along. Often, virtualization techniques area unit wont to maximize the ability of cloud computing [9].

## **II. LITERATURE SURVEY**

Cloud computing is an emerging infrastructure paradigm that promises to eliminate the need for companies to maintain expensive computing hardware. Through the use of virtualization and resource time-sharing, clouds address with a single set of physical resources a large user base with diverse needs. Thus, clouds have the potential to provide their owners the benefits of an economy of scale and, at the same time, become an alternative for both the industry and



# International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 3, March 2015

the scientific community to self-owned clusters, grids, and parallel production environments. For this potential to become reality, the first generation of commercial clouds needs to be proven to be dependable. In this work we analyze the dependability of cloud services. Towards this end, we analyze long-term performance traces from Amazon Web Services and Google App Engine, currently two of the largest commercial clouds in production [12].

Advanced computing on cloud computing infrastructures can only become viable alternative for the enterprise if these infrastructures can provide proper levels of non functional properties (NPFs). A company that focuses on service-oriented architectures (SOA) needs to know what configuration would provide the proper levels for individual services if they are deployed in the cloud. In this paper we present an approach for performance evaluation of cloud computing configurations. While cloud computing providers assure certain service levels, this is typically done for the platform and not for a particular service instance [15].

Live migration of virtual machines (VM) across physical hosts provides a significant new benefit for administrators of data centers and clusters. Previous memory-to-memory approaches demonstrate the effectiveness of live VM migration in local area networks (LAN), but they would cause a long period of downtime in a wide area network (WAN) environment [9]. This paper describes the design and implementation of a novel approach, namely, CR/TR-Motion, which adopts checkpointing/recovery and trace/replay technologies to provide fast, transparent VM migration for both LAN and WAN environments. With execution trace logged on the source host, a synchronization algorithm is performed to orchestrate the running source and target VMs until they reach a consistent state. CR/TR-Motion can greatly reduce the migration downtime and network bandwidth consumption [8].

Cloud computing aims to power the next generation data centers and enables application service providers to lease data center capabilities for deploying applications depending on user QoS (Quality of Service) requirements. Cloud applications have different composition, configuration, and deployment requirements. Quantifying the performance of resource allocation policies and application scheduling algorithms at finer details in Cloud computing environments for different application and service models under varying load, energy performance, and system size is a challenging problem to tackle [11].

Cloud Computing is emerging today as a commercial infrastructure that eliminates the need for maintaining expensive computing hardware. Through the use of virtualization, clouds promise to address with the same-shared set of physical resources a large user base with different needs. Thus, clouds promise to be for scientists an alternative to clusters, grids, and supercomputers. However, virtualization may induce significant performance penalties for the demanding scientific computing workloads. In this work we present an evaluation of the usefulness of the current cloud computing services for scientific computing. We analyze the performance of the Amazon EC2 platform using micro-benchmarks and kernels. While clouds are still changing, our results indicate that the current cloud services need an order of magnitude in performance improvement to be useful to the scientific community [18].

### III. EXISTING SYSTEM

In order to integrate business needs and application level wants, in terms of Quality of Service (QoS), cloud service provisioning is regulated by Service Level Agreements (SLAs) : contracts between purchasers and suppliers that categorically the value for a service, the QoS levels needed throughout the service provisioning, and also the penalties related to the SLA violations. In such a context, performance analysis plays a key role permitting system managers to gauge the results of various resource management methods on the information center functioning and to predict the corresponding costs/benefits [29].

Cloud systems disagree from ancient distributed systems. 1st of all, they're characterised by a really sizable amount of resources that may span completely different body domains [37]. Moreover, the high level of resource abstraction permits to implement explicit resource management techniques like VM multiplexing or VM live migration that, even though clear to final users, got to be thought of within the style of performance models.

n-the-field experiments are chiefly targeted on the offered QoS, they're supported a recording equipment approach that produces troublesome to correlate obtained knowledge to the inner resource management methods enforced by the



# International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 3, March 2015

system supplier. Simulation doesn't permit conducting comprehensive analyses of the system performance owing to the good range of parameters that got to be investigated [39].

## IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM

Here is that the projected system based mostly on Stochastic Reward Nets (SRNs), that exhibits the higher than mentioned options permitting capturing the key ideas of associate degree IaaS cloud system [40]. The projected model is scalable enough to represent systems composed of thousands of resources and it makes potential to represent each physical and virtual resources exploiting cloud specific ideas like the infrastructure physical property. With relevance the prevailing literature, the innovative facet of the current work is that a generic and comprehensive read of a cloud system is bestowed. Low level details, like VM multiplexing, square measure simply integrated with cloud based mostly actions like federation, permitting to research completely different mixed methods. Associate degree complete set of performance metrics is outlined concerning each the system supplier (e.g., utilization) and therefore the final users (e.g., responsiveness) [45].

## V. COMPARTIVE STUDY

We have analyzed the various research works on several parameters and presented their comparison in the table below.

S.NO.	TITLE	AUTHER	ISSUES
1.	Cloud computing and emerging it platforms: Vision, hype, and reality for delivering computing as the 5 <sup>th</sup> utility	R. Buyya <i>et al</i>	<i>Future Genre. Compute. Syst.</i> , vol. 25, pp. 599–616, June 2009.
2.	Live virtual machine migration via asynchronous replication and state synchronization	J H. Liu <i>et al</i>	<i>Parallel and Distributed Systems, IEEE Transactions on</i> , vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1986 – 1999, dec.2011.
3.	Modelling and simulation of scalable cloud computing environments and the cloudsimtoolkit: Challenges and opportunities	R. Buyya, R. Ranjan, and R. Calheiros	<i>High Performance Computing Simulation, 2009. HPCS '09. International Conference on</i> , june2009, pp. 1 –11.
4.	On the performance variability of production cloud services	A. Iosup, N. Yigitbasi, and D. Epema	<i>Cluster, Cloud and Grid Computing (CCGrid), 2011 11th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on</i> , may 2011, pp. 104 –113
5.	Performance evaluation of cloud computing offerings	V. Stantchev	<i>Advanced Engineering Computing and Applications in Sciences, 2009. ADVCOMP '09. Third International Conference on</i> , oct. 2009, pp. 187 –192
6.	A Performance Analysis of EC2 Cloud Computing Services for	S. Ostermann <i>et al</i>	<i>Cloud Computing</i> , ser. Lecture Notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences, Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering. Springer Berlin Heidelberg,



# International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 3, March 2015

	Scientific Computing		2010, vol. 34, ch. 9, pp. 115–131
7.	Performance analysis of cloud computing centres using m/g/m/m+r queuing systems	H. Khazaei, J. Mistic, and V. Mistic	<i>Parallel and Distributed Systems, IEEE Transactions on</i> , vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 936–943, may 2012
8.	End-to-end performance analysis for infrastructure-as-a-service cloud: An interacting stochastic models approach	R. Ghosh, K. Trivedi, V. Naik, and D. S. Kim	<i>Dependable Computing(PRDC), 2010 IEEE 16th Pacific Rim International Symposium on</i> , dec. 2010, pp. 125 –132
9.	Enforcing Performance Isolation across Virtual Machines in Xen	D. Gupta, L. Cherkasova, R. Gardner, and A. Vahdat	Proc. ACM/IFIP/USENIX Int’l Conf. Middleware, pp. 342-362, 2006
10.	“On Theory of VM Placement: Anomalies in Existing Methodologies and Their Mitigation Using a Novel Vector Based Approach	M. Mishra and A. Sahoo	Proc. IEEE Fourth Int’l Conf. Cloud Computing (CLOUD ’11), pp. 275-282, July 2011
11.	On Modeling Performance of Real-Time Systems in the Presence of Failures	J.K. Muppala, K.S. Trivedi, and S.P. Woollet	Readings in Real-Time Systems, pp. 219-239, IEEE CS Press, 1993

## VI. CONCLUSION

A random model was given here to gauge the performance of associate IaaS cloud system. Many performance metrics are outlined, like handiness, utilization, and responsiveness, permitting investigation the impact of various methods on each supplier and user point-of-views. in a very market-oriented space, like the Cloud Computing, associate correct analysis of those parameters is needed so as to quantify the offered QoS and opportunely manage SLAs. Future works can embody the analysis of involuntary techniques ready to modification on-the fly the system configuration so as to react to a modification on the operating conditions. This may conjointly extend the model so as to represent PaaS and SaaS Cloud systems and to integrate the mechanisms required to capture VM migration and information centre consolidation aspects that cowl an important role in energy saving policies.

## VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank the Vice Chancellor, Dean-Engineering, Director, Secretary, Correspondent, HOD of Computer Science & Engineering, **Dr. K.P. Kaliyamurthie**, Bharath University, Chennai for their motivation and constant encouragement. The author would like to specially thank **Dr. A. Kumaravel, Dean School of Computing**, for his guidance and for critical review of this manuscript and for his valuable input and fruitful discussions in completing the work and the Faculty Members of Department of Computer Science & Engineering. Also, he takes privilege in extending gratitude to his parents and family members who rendered their support throughout this Research work.



# International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 3, March 2015

## REFERENCES

1. G. Ciardo et al., "Automated Generation and Analysis of Markov Reward Models Using Stochastic Reward Nets," Linear Algebra, Markov Chains, and Queuing Models, Vol. 48, PP. 145-191, Springer, 1993.
2. D. Gupta, L. Cherkasova, R. Gardner, and A. Vahdat, "Enforcing Performance Isolation across Virtual Machines in Xen," Proc. ACM/IFIP/USENIX Int'l Conf. Middleware, PP. 342-362, 2006.
3. M. Armbrust et al., "A View of Cloud Computing," Comm. ACM, Vol. 53, PP. 50-58, April - 2010.
4. J.N. Matthews et al., "Quantifying the Performance Isolation Properties of Virtualization Systems," Proc. Workshop Experimental Computer Science (ExpCS '07), 2007.
5. M. Mishra and A. Sahoo, "On Theory of VM Placement: Anomalies in Existing Methodologies and Their Mitigation Using a Novel Vector Based Approach," Proc. IEEE Fourth Int'l Conf. Cloud Computing (CLOUD '11), pp. 275-282, July 2011.
6. A.V. Do et al., "Profiling Applications for Virtual Machine Placement in Clouds," Proc. IEEE Int'l Conf. Cloud Computing (CLOUD '11), pp. 660-667, July 2011.
7. A. Verma et al., "Server Workload Analysis for Power Minimization Using Consolidation," Proc. USENIX Ann. Technical Conf., pp. 28-28, 2009.
8. G. Balbo et al., Modelling with Generalized Stochastic Petri Nets. John Wiley & Sons, 1995.
9. R. Sahner, K.S. Trivedi, and A. Puliafito, Performance and Reliability Analysis of Computer Systems: An Example Based Approach Using the SHARPE Software Package, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995.
10. J.K. Muppala, K.S. Trivedi, and S.P. Wooley, "On Modeling Performance of Real-Time Systems in the Presence of Failures," Readings in Real-Time Systems, pp. 219-239, IEEE CS Press, 1993.
11. A. Puliafito, S. Riccobene, and M. Scarpa, "Evaluation of Performability Parameters in Client-Server Environments," The Computer J., vol. 39, no. 8, pp. 647-662, 1996.
12. K.G.S. Venkatesan and M. Elamurugaselvam, "Design based object oriented Metrics to measure coupling & cohesion", International journal of Advanced & Innovative Research, Vol. 2, Issue 5, PP. 778 – 785, 2013.
13. Teerawat Issariyakul • Ekram Hoss, "Introduction to Network Simulator NS2".
14. S. Sathish Raja and K.G.S. Venkatesan, "Email spam zombies scrutinizer in email sending network Infrastructures", International journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Vol. 4, Issue 4, PP. 366 – 373, April 2013.
15. G. Bianchi, "Performance analysis of the IEEE 802.11 distributed coordination function," IEEE J. Sel. Areas Communication., Vol. 18, No. 3, PP. 535–547, Mar. 2000.
16. K.G.S. Venkatesan, "Comparison of CDMA & GSM Mobile Technology", Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 13 (12), PP. 1590 – 1594, 2013.
17. P. Indira Priya, K.G.S. Venkatesan, "Finding the K-Edge connectivity in MANET using DLTRT, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, Vol. 9, Issue 22, PP. 5898 – 5904, 2014.
18. K.G.S. Venkatesan and M. Elamurugaselvam, "Using the conceptual cohesion of classes for fault prediction in object-oriented system", International journal of Advanced & Innovative Research, Vol. 2, Issue 4, PP. 75 – 80, April 2013.
19. Ms. J.Praveena, K.G.S. Venkatesan, "Advanced Auto Adaptive edge-detection algorithm for flame monitoring & fire image processing", International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, Vol. 9, Issue 22, PP. 5797 – 5802, 2014.
20. K.G.S. Venkatesan. Dr. V. Khanna, "Inclusion of flow management for Automatic & dynamic route discovery system by ARS", International Journal of Advanced Research in computer science & software Engg., Vol.2, Issue 12, PP. 1 – 9, December – 2012.
21. Needhu. C, K.G.S. Venkatesan, "A System for Retrieving Information directly from online social network user Link ", International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, Vol. 9, Issue 22, PP. 6023 – 6028, 2014.
22. K.G.S. Venkatesan, R. Resmi, R. Remya, "Anonymizing Geographic routing for preserving location privacy using unlinkability and unobservability", International Journal of Advanced Research in computer science & software Engg., Vol. 4, Issue 3, PP. 523 – 528, March – 2014.
23. Selvakumari. P, K.G.S. Venkatesan, "Vehicular communication using Fvmr Technique", International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, Vol. 9, Issue 22, PP. 6133 – 6139, 2014.
24. K.G.S. Venkatesan, G. Julin Leeya, G. Dayalin Leena, "Efficient colour image watermarking using factor Entrenching method", International Journal of Advanced Research in computer science & software Engg., Vol. 4, Issue 3, PP. 529 – 538, March – 2014.
25. K.G.S. Venkatesan. Kausik Mondal, Abhishek Kumar, "Enhancement of social network security by Third party application", International Journal of Advanced Research in computer science & software Engg., Vol. 3, Issue 3, PP. 230 – 237, March – 2013.
26. Annapurna Vemparala, Venkatesan.K.G., "Routing Misbehavior detection in MANET'S using an ACK based scheme", International Journal of Advanced & Innovative Research, Vol. 2, Issue 5, PP. 261 – 268, 2013.
27. K.G.S. Venkatesan. Kishore, Mukthar Hussain, "SAT : A Security Architecture in wireless mesh networks", International Journal of Advanced Research in computer science & software Engineering, Vol. 3, Issue 3, PP. 325 – 331, April – 2013.
28. Annapurna Vemparala, Venkatesan.K.G., "A Reputation based scheme for routing misbehavior detection in MANET'S ", International Journal of computer science & Management Research, Vol. 2, Issue 6, June - 2013.
29. K.G.S. Venkatesan, "Planning in FARS by dynamic multipath reconfiguration system failure recovery in wireless mesh network", International Journal of Innovative Research in computer & comm. Engineering, Vol. 2, Issue 8, August - 2014.
30. R. Ghosh, K. Trivedi, V. Naik, and D. S. Kim, "End-to-end performability analysis for infrastructure-as-a-service cloud: An interacting stochastic models approach," in Dependable Computing (PRDC), 2010 IEEE 16th Pacific Rim International Symposium on, PP. 125 – 132, December – 2010.
31. K.G.S. Venkatesan, AR. Arunachalam, S. Vijayalakshmi, V. Vinotha, "Implementation of optimized cost, Load & service monitoring for grid computing", International Journal of Innovative Research in computer & comm. Engineering, Vol. 3, Issue 2, PP. 864 – 870, February - 2015.
32. R. Karthikeyan, K.G.S. Venkatesan, M.L. Ambikha, S. Asha, "Assist Autism spectrum, Data Acquisition method using Spatio-temporal



## International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 3, March 2015

- Model”, International Journal of Innovative Research in computer & communication Engineering, Vol. 3, Issue 2, PP. 871 – 877, February -2015.
33. K.G.S. Venkatesan, B. Sundar Raj, V. Keerthiga, M. Aishwarya, “Transmission of data between sensors by devolved Recognition”, International Journal of Innovative Research in computer & comm. Engineering, Vol. 3, Issue 2, PP. 878 – 886, February -2015.
34. K.G.S. Venkatesan, N.G. Vijitha, R. Karthikeyan, “Secure data transaction in Multi cloud using Two-phase validation”, International Journal of Innovative Research in computer & comm. Engineering, Vol. 3, Issue 2, PP. 845 – 853, February -2015.
35. K.G.S. Venkatesan, “Automatic Detection and control of Malware spread in decentralized peer to peer network”, International Journal of Innovative Research in computer & comm. Engineering, Vol. 1, Issue 7, PP. 15157 – 15159, September -2013.
36. Sathish Raja, S K.G.S. Venkatesan, “Electronic Mail spam zombies purify in email connection”, International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science Engineering & Information Technology, Vol. 1, Issue 1, PP. 26 – 36, June – 2013.
37. K.G.S. Venkatesan, Dr. V. Khanna, S.B. Amarnath Reddy, “Providing Security for social Networks from Inference Attack”, International Journal of Computer Science Engineering & Scientific Technology, March – 2015.
38. K.G.S. Venkatesan, Dr. Kathir. Viswalingam, N.G. Vijitha, “ Associate Adaptable Transactions Information store in the cloud using Distributed storage and meta data manager”, International Journal of Innovative Research in computer & communication Engineering, Vol. 3, Issue 3, PP. 1548 – 1555, March -2015.
39. K.G.S. Venkatesan, Dr. V. Khanna, Dr. A. Chandrasekar, “Autonomous system ( AS ) for mesh network by using packet Transmission & Failure detection”, International Journal of Innovative Research in computer & comm. Engineering, Vol. 2, Issue 12, PP. 7289 - 7296, December – 2014..
40. K.G.S. Venkatesan, Dr. V. Khanna, Jay Prakash Thakur, Banbari Kumar, “Mining User profile Exploitation cluster from computer program Logs”, International Journal of Innovative Research in computer & communication Engineering, Vol. 3, Issue 3, PP. 1557 – 1561, March -2015.
41. Ms.J.Praveena, K.G.S.Venkatesan, “Advanced Auto Adaptive edge-detection algorithm for flame monitoring & fire image processing”, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, Vol. 9, Issue 22, PP. 5797 – 5802, 2014.
42. K.G.S.Venkatesan, “Planning in FARS by dynamic multipath reconfiguration system failure recovery in wireless mesh network”, International Journal of Innovative Research in computer & comm. Engineering, Vol. 2, Issue 8, August -2014.
43. K.G.S. Venkatesan, Dr. V. Khanna, Dr. A. Chandrasekar, “Reduced path, Sink failures in Autonomous Network Reconfiguration System ( ANRS ) Techniques”, International Journal of Innovative Research in computer & comm. Engineering, Vol. 3, Issue 3, PP. 2566 - 2571, March -2015.
44. H. Liu et al., “Live Virtual Machine Migration via Asynchronous Replication and State Synchronization,” IEEE Trans. Parallel and Distributed Systems, Vol. 22, No. 12, PP. 1986-1999, Dec. 2011.
45. B. Rochwerger et al., “Reservoir—When One Cloud Is Not Enough,” Computer, Vol. 44, No. 3, PP. 44-51, March -2011.
46. R. Buyya, R. Ranjan, and R. Calheiros, “Modeling and Simulation of Scalable Cloud Computing Environments and the Cloud sim Toolkit: Challenges and Opportunities,” Proc. Int’l Conf. High Performance Computing Simulation (HPCS ’09), pp. 1-11, June 2009.