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Abstract: Software refactoring is the process of transformation that preserves the external behavior of a program and improves its internal quality. The importance of the refactoring leads to the constant improvement of the software quality through maintainability and extendibility. In practice, refactoring engine developers commonly implement refactoring in an unplanned manner and there is no guidelines are available for evaluating the correctness of refactoring implementations. As a result, the use of available mainstream refactoring engines such as Eclipse JDT, Net Beans etc., may contain critical bugs in their refactored codes. The existing system for refactoring such as SAFE-REFACTOR performs delayed refactoring which increases the cost and may result in poor quality software. So, the automated test case generation system for behavioral testing of refactored code is proposed to instantly monitor and evaluate the correctness of refactoring.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Refactoring is a transformational process that changes the internal structure of the program without affecting its external behavior. We refactor because we understand getting the design as well as the code right the first time is hard and you get many benefits from refactoring:

- Code size is often reduced.
- Complex codes are restructured into simpler code.
- Both of these greatly improve maintainability which is required because requirements always change.

The preconditions are used while refactoring. Most of the IDE’s such as Eclipse, Net-Beans, IntelliJ and JBuilder includes refactoring which automates precondition checking and transformation of programs.

The tasks of refactoring processes are mostly non-trivial and also the proof of correctness for the refactoring process is the major challenge of this task. Generally, the refactoring engine developers may uses informal preconditions for implementing the refactoring. As a result, the compilation errors have been detected but the behavioral changes have been left unnoticed. Even though the utilization of the test suites may be considered as trustworthy resources for preventing such issue but the tests may be inappropriate for the outcomes of the refactoring. Also, some of the refactoring tools have been reported as passive as well as human driven. They also have been developed under developer’s spontaneity. This may leads to the production of poor software quality and costs huge for the delayed refactorings.

Here, a new technique has been proposed to test the java refactoring engines. It includes two main components: JDOLLY for generation of the java programs and SAFEREFACCTOR for detecting the behavioral changes in those generated java programs.
JDOLLY generates the Java programs exhaustively according to the given Java declaration scope (packages, classes, fields and methods). JDOLLY utilizes the ALLOY specification language that constructs the Java meta-model. This uses the ALLOY Analyzer that analyses the ALLOY models and generates the solution for the ALLOY models.

These generated ALLOY Java models are subjected to the refactoring process. This technique uses SAFEREFACTOR in order to evaluate the correctness of the transformation. It promotes the use of an instant monitor that invokes the smell detection tool while at the instant of refactoring process. It analyses the changes brought by the SAFEREFACTOR and reports them through SMELL VIEW. The SMELL-VIEW delivers the information regarding the type of smell that has been introduced. By rectifying the smell at that instant of detection the total defects have been reduced by a huge amount. Also the lifespan of smells have been reduced drastically. The process of resolving the code smells have also been improved.

II. TECHNIQUE

The three step process starts with (Step-1) an automated generator that generates programs as a yield of test inputs using JDOLLY. (Step-2) These programs have been subjected to the refactoring process in which the transformation process has been adopted using SAFEREFACTOR. (Step-3) These transformations are analyzed instantly using INSREFACTOR and detected for any behavioral modifications. These modifications are corrected and evaluated against the existing refactored codes in order to verify the preservation of the correctness of the code.

The JDOLLY generates the Java program according to the ALLOY specifications prescribed by the developers. These Java programs are served as the test inputs for the process of the refactoring. The translation of the test inputs (Java programs) were done by Alloy Analyzer. The Alloy specifications are the sequence of paragraphs containing Signatures (set of
The SAFEREFACTOR proposes the refactoring strategy for the test inputs. It utilizes the Pre-Conditions to evaluate the correctness of the refactorings. These Pre-Conditions guarantees the behavioral preservation of the refactored code. In previous methodologies the test cases only compares the program for any behavioral changes. In case of SAFEREFACTOR, it generates the tests that can compare the program’s behavior. In case of varying results, the behavioral change has been reported instantly by the tool and displayed as an unsuccessful test.

The INSREFACTOR is made up of a monitor, set of smell detectors associated along with the refactoring tools, and a smell view. Normally, the monitor monitors for the changes made in the source code. Typically, the monitor has been set to be run in the background of the IDE in order to avoid the blocking of main threads. The smell detectors are invoked by the monitor once if the change has been introduced. It analyzes the change and reports to the smell view.

The smell view reports the change’s type, explanation and suggestions that would be more helpful for the developer’s to rectify the smells that have been introduced. The result of the evaluation will be made based on the amount of smells that has been detected, acknowledged and rectified. Besides, the quality of the source codes has been gradually increased by rectifying the bad smells. A threshold value has been maintained for the performance analysis.

\[
\text{Precision rate } P = \frac{S_D}{S_D + (S_A + S_R)}
\]

- \(S_D\) - Number of smells detected,
- \(S_A\) - Number of smells Acknowledged,
- \(S_R\) - Number of smells Resolved.

III. FRAMEWORK

Instead of manually writing input programs, a developer writes a generator whose execution produces thousands of programs with structural properties that are relevant for the specific refactoring being tested.

The required plug-ins has been installed and they are meant to be configured into the Eclipse IDE. These can work according to the framework which has been depicted below. After the installations and the configurations have been completed, the refactoring engine (Eclipse IDE) will deploy the refactoring works accordingly.
The developers are enabled to implement the source codes via Eclipse IDE. Once the program has been implemented, the monitor which runs on the background monitors the implementation of the source code. The behavioral changes of the source codes have been monitored instantly while under the refactoring process undergoes. The Refactoring tools have been utilized for the process of refactoring.

The SAFEREFACCTOR uses pre-condition during the process of refactoring in order to maintain the behavioral consistency. Once the changes have been introduced, the Monitor invokes the SMELL-DETECTOR to analyze the changes. The changes have been analyzed and reported instantly. The bug report includes information such as type, explanation and suggestions.

The bug information will be reported through SMELL-VIEW. Using the SMELL-VIEW detail, the bugs have been rectified and reported immediately to the developer. The suggestions made by the SMELL-VIEW will be useful in rectifying the changes that have been introduced into the code. This process continues in order to obtain the better quality code with a minimal amount of changes/Bugs.
The performance graph shows that the process of instant refactoring system has been proved at a greater extent of quality and performance than the existing normal refactoring system.

The process of applying the refactoring to the codes is quite difficult. With the help of JDOLLY and SAFERFACTOR plug-ins over the Eclipse IDE, it is possible to make refactoring easier and more accurate. In addition, the usage of the INSREFACTOR promotes the generation of better quality codes. The following graph shows the betterment of existing refactoring works compared with current refactoring measures.
In general, many of the software refactoring works on the basis of the smell detection algorithms like feedback control algorithm for optimization of smell detection threshold. Sometimes a feedback controller is also set to work parallel with refactoring engines. This may also be utilized to optimize the process of detection of the bad smells that are introduced into the program source codes.

**IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS**

The Automated testing for the refactored codes proves that the quality of the program codes have been increased much more than using the normal refactoring and testing system. Due to the instant monitoring and analysis, the source code changes have been rectified instantly by the developer to improve the production of the quality program codes. It has been analyzed that the quality of the source code after refactoring has been gradually increased over the instant modifications done by the developer.

Future work will be carried over on other kinds of IDE’s such as Net-Beans, IntelliJ etc. Also the semi-automated change modification will also be fully automated under the system that facilitates the developer for clear refactoring process. This may be useful for the people who do not much aware about the refactoring process.
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