

Common Denominator in Liver Disease: A communication report; Focus on Reversing the Scarring that's Common to Liver Diseases

Indranil C*

*Bharat Technology, Maulana Abul Kalam Azad University of Technology, West Bengal, India

Commentary

Received: 17/09/2016
Accepted: 23/09/2016
Published: 30/10/2016

*For Correspondence

Indranil C, Bharat Technology,
Maulana Abul Kalam Azad
University of Technology, west
Bengal, India.

E-Mail: indranil.chax@gmail.com

Keywords: Pharmacology,
disease, fibrosis, skin, liver
patients

ABSTRACT

Collette Thain took a cruise to Ireland from her home in Edinburgh, Scotland to watch a rugby clash in 1994; she became ill all of a sudden and was accepted with abstruse signs of alarmist failure. Months later, Collette was diagnosed with a attenuate alarmist ache alleged primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC1). Her doctor said there were no accustomed treatments available, and she had about 5 years to live. About 40% of PBC patients do not respond. For them, the ache progresses and adversity continues. Ultimately, the alone advantage is alarmist transplantation, which isn't consistently accessible due to the curtailment of agency donors. In addition, it's an austere action with abiding implications. Researchers are alive on another for these patients. Testing of a new admixture has amorphous in patients with PBC who do not acknowledge to the accepted therapy. The admixture ability accept an added reach, however, because lab studies advance that it combats alarmist scarring, which occurs in all accepted forms of alarmist disease. Researchers in Discovery Pharmacology at the Genomics Institute of the Novartis Research Foundation has apparent a new admixture that could advice in PBC patients to do acknowledge.

REPORT OVERVIEW

When Collette Thain took a trip to Ireland from her home in Edinburgh, Scotland to watch a rugby tournament in 1994, she never expected to end up in the hospital. She became ill suddenly and was admitted with mysterious signs of liver failure.

Months later, Collette was diagnosed with a rare liver disease called primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC1). Her doctor said there were no approved treatments available, and she had about five years to live. Collette, then 37 and a mother of young children, was flabbergasted. "I asked the doctor, 'Do you at least have a leaflet?'" she says. "But he wasn't aware of any resources for me."

A few years later, Collette began taking ursodeoxycholic acid after it was approved for the treatment of PBC. She responded well and is still taking it today. But about 40% of PBC patients do not respond. For them, the disease progresses and suffering continues. Ultimately, the only option is liver transplantation, which isn't always possible due to the shortage of organ donors. In addition, it's a serious procedure with long-term implications.

Researchers are working on an alternative for these patients. Testing of a new compound has begun in patients with PBC who do not respond to the standard therapy. The compound might have a wider reach, however, because lab studies suggest that it combats liver scarring, which occurs in all common forms of liver disease.

"Unless the disease is caused by a hepatitis B or C virus, we are generally relying on archaic treatments for it," says Nikolai Naoumov, a seasoned hepatologist and Therapeutic Area Head of the liver program at Novartis Pharmaceuticals [1-19].

Take non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), or fatty liver disease, which is increasingly common due to the worldwide obesity epidemic. As fat builds up in the liver, the organ becomes inflamed and damaged. There are no approved therapies for NASH. It is on the rise in Asia, the Middle East and Latin America and has become the primary cause of chronic liver disease in North America and Europe. In the United States, it is now the second leading cause of liver transplantation [20-50].

“There is a tidal wave of metabolic liver disease sneaking up on us,” says Michael Badman, Translational Medicine Expert at the Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research. “We want to provide patients with treatment options beyond liver transplants.”

SCARRING: THE COMMON PATH OF LIVER DISEASE PROGRESSION

Most forms of liver disease chase the aforementioned trajectory. It starts with a trigger, such as a virus or alcohol abuse. In PBC, the trigger is an autoimmune attack that destroys bile ducts in the liver, and in NASH, it is an accumulation of fat in the liver. The trigger initiates a cascade of events leading to deepening and liver scarring, also known as fibrosis. If untreated, fibrosis becomes cirrhosis, which can advance to liver failure and, barring a transplant, death.

“Whatever the trigger is, the accepted pathobiology of liver disease follows the aforementioned pathway,” says Naoumov.

For a long time, the notion that an aching liver could be alleviated (link is external) was advised by a non-starter to liver specialists, but in recent years, there is growing evidence suggesting that treatment is accessible even in late-stage disease. In studies in mice, the new treatment had an anti-scarring effect, suggesting that it may be accessible to accelerate liver healing with medicine.

Scarring in the liver resembles the scarring of the skin. Injuries are repaired with coarse tissue rather than normal cells. This scar tissue blocks the flow of bile and adds biochemical stress to the liver, initiating an alternate pathway that causes damage.

For instance, the liver produces bile to aid digestion. Bile dissolves fats in the digestive tract and is washed away by bile acids. But in a liver with scarring, bile gets stuck and causes more scarring. “Instead of washing away fats, bile gets trapped in the liver and will damage things like cell membranes and could cause cells to die,” says Bryan Laffitte, Director of Discovery Pharmacology at the Genomics Institute of the Novartis Research Foundation.

The new compound, which was discovered by Laffitte’s group, is designed to break this cycle. It harnesses the body’s natural mechanisms for dealing with bile acid, which builds up in the liver in both PBC and NASH. These mechanisms are controlled by a receptor in the liver called FXR that detects bile acid and launches protective measures. The body turns these processes on naturally, but we’re aggravating them.

One way to mimic the natural process that turns on the FXR receptor is to use a treatment that is derived from a bile acid. But bile acids can also cause side effects, such as itchy skin. The Novartis treatment is not derived from a bile acid and is designed to relieve the itch.

Itch is a major concern for PBC patients. As evidence of PBC, some patients experience a maddening crawling all over the body, “like an army of ants beneath the skin,” says Collette, who directs the PBC Foundation. The treatment is designed to reduce this symptom and improve quality of life [51-80].

CLINICAL TESTING BEGINS

Researchers plan to test their new experimental compound in diseases in which bile acid accumulation causes fibrosis and disease progression, such as PBC and NASH. For instance, a clinical trial has begun and will recruit up to 95 PBC patients who have not responded to standard therapy.

This trial follows the strategy of focusing first on a small and specific group of patients who are likely to benefit and are also in need of therapeutic options, says Dr. Badman, who is designing the trials to test the new compound. The knowledge gained from this initial trial can be put to use in future trials of more complex diseases, like NASH, which progresses in less predictable ways [81-100].

CONCLUSION

Collette still suffers from intense fatigue from PBC, and she still lives day-to-day, despite ongoing treatment. “For so many years there has been little progress for PBC,” she says. “This new compound gives us hope.” Itch is a major concern for PBC patients. As evidence of PBC, some patients experience a maddening crawling all over the body, “like an army of ants below the skin,” says Collette, who directs the PBC Foundation. The treatment is designed to reduce this symptom and improve quality of life. The action of the treatment on a patient and the specific accumulation of patients who are acceptable to account and are as well in charge of therapeutic options, this treatment can be put to use in future trials of more complex diseases, like NASH, which progresses in less predictable ways.

REFERENCES

1. Loomba, R. and Sanyal, A.J. The global NAFLD epidemic. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2013; 10:686–690.
2. Wong, R.J., Aguilar, M., Cheung, R. et al, Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is the second leading etiology of liver disease among adults awaiting liver transplantation in the United States. *Gastroenterology.* 2015;148:547–555.
3. Cooper CL and Cameron DW. Effect of alcohol use and highly active antiretroviral therapy on plasma levels of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in patients coinfecting with HIV and HCV. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2005;41:S105-S109.
4. Lin W, et al. HIV increases HCV replication in a TGF-beta1-dependent manner. *Gastroenterology.* 2008;134:803-811.
5. Rizza SA, et al. TRAIL dependent fratricidal killing of gp120 primed hepatocytes by hcv core expressing hepatocytes. *PLoS One.* 2011;6:e27171.
6. Danta M, et al. Impact of HIV on host-virus interactions during early hepatitis C virus infection. *J Infect Dis.* 2008;197:1558-1566.
7. Kim AY, et al. Impaired hepatitis C virus-specific T cell responses and recurrent hepatitis C virus in HIV coinfection. *PLoS Med.* 2006;3:e492.
8. Benhamou Y, et al. Liver fibrosis progression in human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis C virus coinfecting patients. The Multivirc Group. *Hepatology.* 1999;30:1054-1058.
9. Monga HK, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection-related morbidity and mortality among patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2011;33:240-247.
10. Martinez-Sierra C, et al. Progression of chronic hepatitis C to liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients co-infected with hepatitis C virus and human immunodeficiency virus. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2003;36:491-498.
11. Goutagny N, et al. Evidence of viral replication in circulating dendritic cells during hepatitis C virus infection. *J Infect Dis.* 2003;187:1951-1958.
12. Blackard JT, et al. Extrahepatic replication of HCV: Insights into clinical manifestations and biological consequences. *Hepatology.* 2006;44:15-22.
13. Lanford RE, et al. Lack of detection of negative-strand hepatitis C virus RNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and other extrahepatic tissues by the highly strand-specific rTth reverse transcriptase PCR. *J Virol.* 1995;69:8079-8083.
14. Blackard JT, et al. Detection of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in serum and peripheral-blood mononuclear cells from HCV-monoinfected and HIV/HCV-coinfecting persons. *J Infect Dis.* 2005;192:258-265.
15. Laskus T, et al. Hepatitis C virus in lymphoid cells of patients coinfecting with human immunodeficiency virus type 1: evidence of active replication in monocytes/macrophages and lymphocytes. *J Infect Dis.* 2000;181:442-448.
16. Minosse C, et al. Possible compartmentalization of hepatitis C viral replication in the genital tract of HIV-1-coinfecting women. *J Infect Dis.* 2006;194:1529-1536.
17. Corrado Pedrazzani, et al. update on laparoscopic treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *J Integr Oncol.* 2016;S1:004.
18. Sang Ngoc Nguyen. Case report: wilm's tumor. *adv oncol res treat.* 2016;1:1.
19. Bo Na Lee, et al. Leiomyosarcoma of the ovary mimicking gastrointestinal stromal tumor originating from small bowel: a case report and literature review. *Gynecol Obstet.* 2016;6:359.
20. Guozheng Liu. A clearance step will become increasingly crucial for pretargeted tumor therapy when tumor accumulation is improved. *J Cancer Clin Trials.* 2006;3:54-55.

21. Vlad Teodor Berbecar, et al. Large borderline ovarian tumor: a case report. *surgery curr res.* 2016;3:5.
22. Taha MS and Alnemari HH. Skull base reconstruction with titanium mesh for benign complex anterior skull base tumors: case series and review of the literature. *J Brain Tumors Neurooncol.* 2016;1:104.
23. Paul J Akhenblit and Mark D Pagel. Recent advances in targeting tumor energy metabolism with tumor acidosis as a biomarker of drug efficacy. *J Cancer Sci Ther.* 2016;8.1:20-29.
24. Manjul Tiwari. adenomatoid odontogenic tumor: an extra follicular variant in the mandible of 12 years old pediatric female patient. *Oral Health Case Reports.* 2016;3:56-58.
25. Heissner K, et al. Treatment associated interstitial pulmonary toxicity of temozolomide plus bevacizumab for locally advanced solitary fibrous tumor. *J Pulm Respir Med.* 2016;6:314.
26. Shunsuke Sakuraba, et al. A case of p16 negative gastrointestinal stromal tumor (gist), metastasized to the liver five years after surgery: a surgical challenge. *J Mol Biomark Diagn.* 2016;8:014.
27. Salomao-Junior A, et al. Evaluation of tumor growth in treatment of murine melanoma by transdermal infusion of etoposide by radiofrequency. *J Clin Exp Dermatol Res* 2016; 7:325.
28. Emre Demirci, et al. Intraindividual Tumor heterogeneity in neuroendocrine tumors revealed with 18F-FDG and 68Ga-DOTA-TATE PET/CT. *J Nucl Med Radiat Ther.* 2016;7: 277.
29. Shaoli Song, et al. Giant solitary fibrous tumor of posterior mediastinum: a case report. *J Nucl Med Radiat Ther.* 2016;7:276.
30. Zhijun Wang and Qing Wang. Numerical simulation of a tumor growth dynamics model using particle swarm optimization. *J Comput Sci Syst Biol.* 2015;9:01-05.
31. Daohong Chen and Xiaoshi Zhang. Tipping tumor microenvironment against drug resistance. *Oncol Trans Res.* 2015;3:56-58.
32. Tamara Aleksic, et al. Improved immunohistochemical detection of type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor in human tumors. *immunochem immunopathol.* 2016;2:114.
33. Florence Lai Tiong. About the rare case of a pelvic primitive neuro-ectodermal tumor in a 37 year old patient. *J Clin Case Rep.* 2015;5:664.
34. Malik S, et al. Recurrent malignant phylloides tumor: a rare entity. *J Cytol Histol.* 2006;3:5-8.
35. Vishnuvarthanan Govindaraj, et al. Short notes on unsupervised learning method with clustering approach for tumor identification and tissue segmentation in magnetic resonance brain images. *J Clin Exp Neuroimmunol.* 2016; 1:101.
36. Linda Ziani, et al. Cancer-associated fibroblasts and modulation of the antitumor immune response. *J Mol Genet Med.* 2015;9:193.
37. Michael Lam, et al. Systemic inflammation impact on tumor biology and outcomes in colorectal cancer. *J Clin Cell Immunol.* 2015;6:377.
38. Jyotshna Kanungo. Tumor suppressors and endodermal differentiation of p19 embryonic stem cells. *Cell Dev Biol.* 2015;4:E138.
39. Alexander Lu and Lijuan Zhang. Tumor-dependent and -independent serum/plasma biomarkers for early diagnosis of lung cancer. *Transl Med (sunnyvale).* 2016;6:160.
40. Xiaoyi Wang and Weiyue Lu. Active targeting liposomes: promising approach for tumor-targeted therapy. *J Bioequiv Availab.* 2016;5:161.
41. Rios WM, et al. fusion of glioblastoma tumor antigens to herpes simplex virus-1 glycoprotein d enhances secondary adaptive immune responses in a dna vaccine strategy. *J Vaccines Vaccin.* 2016;5:11.
42. Alzoobae Saif, et al. A 16 year old girl with atypical bronchial carcinoid tumor. *Neonat Pediatr Med.* 2016;5:101.

43. Timothée Jacquesson and Emmanuel Jouanneau. An unusual giant brain tumor: from where is it starting?. *Anat Physiol*. 2016;1:192.
44. Emily Hinchcliff. Surgical management of an extragonadal trabecular carcinoid tumor: a case report and review of the literature. *Arch Surg Oncol*. 2015;1:1.
45. Qader MM , et al. Production of antitumor antibiotic gkk1032b by penicillium citrinum, an endophytic fungus isolated from garcinia mangostana fruits. *Med Aromat Plants*. 2016;5:225.
46. Kim H, et al. Identification of tumor subtypes of endometrial carcinoma by integration of heterogeneous datasets. *J Med Diagn Meth*. 2016;5:225.
47. Lei Zouet al. Size Effects of nanocomplex on tumor associated macrophages targeted delivery for glioma. *J Nanomed Nanotechnol*. 2015;6:339.
48. Hançerlioğlu, et al. Our three year clinical experience at appendiceal incidental neoplasms and management of appendiceal tumors. *J Clin Exp Pathol* 2015;5:260.
49. Chakraborty PP, et al. A Look Inside the Pancreas: The “Endocrine-Exocrine Cross-talk”. *Endocrinol Metab Syndr*. 2015;4:160.
50. Pezzilli R. Pancreatic Exocrine Insufficiency Following Pancreatic Resection. *Pancreatic Dis Ther*. 2011; 1:e102.
51. Zippi M, et al. Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasm Associated to Pancreas Divisum. *J Gastroint Dig Syst*. 2014;4:171.
52. Dima AC, et al. Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasm of the Pancreas; Up-to-Date. *Journal of Surgery [Jurnalul de chirurgie]*. 2015;11:99-101.
53. Goh BKP. Current Guidelines for the Management of Branch Duct Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasms. *Pancreat Disord Ther*. 2014;4:e134.
54. Kimura W, et al. Acute Pancreatitis is a Predictive Factor for Malignancy in Mixed or Main Duct Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasms. *Pancreat Disord Ther*. 2015;5:148.
55. Mathias R and Weid VD PY. Immunity and gastrointestinal disease: a role for lymphatic vessels. *J Clin Cell Immunol* 2014;5:262.
56. Chua CS, et al. PTEN hamartoma tumour syndrome: gastrointestinal manifestations of two cases diagnosed in singapore. *Hereditary Genet* 2105;4:148.
57. Gonzalez RG, et al. A rare case of gastrointestinal obstruction: bouveret syndrome. *J Gastrointest Dig Syst* 2015;5:277.
58. Serrato JAT, et al. Gastrojejunal stenosis of gastric bypass in laparoscopic bariatric surgery: report of a case. *J gastrointest Dig Syst* 2015;5:275.
59. Eisapour M, et al. Comparative radular morphology in some intertidal gastropods along hormozgan province, iran. *J Aquac Res Development* 2015;6:322.
60. Gimenez-Sanchez F, et al. A matched case-control study measuring the effectiveness of the rotavirus vaccines to prevent gastroenteritis hospitalizations . *J Vaccines Vaccin* 2015;6:275.
61. Muelbert M, et al. Fruit and vegetable consumption in patients with gastrointestinal cancer. *J Nutr Food Sci* 2015;5:356.
62. Gaba M and Mohan C. Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of novel 1, 2, 5-substituted benzimidazole derivatives as gastroprotective antiinflammatory and analgesic agents. *Med chem* 2015;5:058-063.
63. Gerlach H, et al. Oral application of charcoal and humic acids influence selected gastrointestinal microbiota, enzymes, electrolytes, and substrates in the blood of dairy cows challenged with glyphosate in gmo feeds. *J Environ Anal Toxicol* 2014;5:256.
64. Huynh D and Nguyen NQ. Gastrointestinal dysfunction in chronic liver disease. *J Gastrointest Dig Syst* 2015;5:257.
65. Jumpertz S, et al. Role of the cop9 signalosome in gastrointestinal cancers. *J Carcinog Mutagen* 2105;6:210.

66. Moldovan B, et al. Left side first approach in nissen procedure for gastroesophageal reflux disease;how we do it. *Journal of Surgery [Jurnalul de chirurgie]* 2014;10:255-259.
67. Iwai K, et al. Utility of upper gastrointestinal endoscopy for management of patients with roundup® poisoning. *J Clin Toxicol* 2014;4:218.
68. Moe TO, et al. Thyrotoxicosis in an elderly patient simulating infectious gastroenteritis. *J Clin Case Rep* 2014;4:454.
69. Mengoli MC, et al. Epidemiologic, clinicopathological, immunohistochemical and molecular analysis of gastrointestinal glomus tumors. *J Clin Exp Pathol* 2014;4:189.
70. Serefettin M. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors, interstitial cells of cajal and their nomenclature. *J Gastroint Dig Syst* 2014;4:231.
71. Al-Jashamy KA. *Colorectal Cancer*. OMICS Group eBooks, Foster City, USA, In press.
72. Ahmad A, et al. *Endocrine Tumors*. OMICS Group eBooks, Foster City, USA, 2015.
73. <https://www.pancan.org/section-facing-pancreatic-cancer/learn-about-pan-cancer/types-of-pancreatic-cancer/>
74. Moyana TN, et al. An Analysis of Prognostic Factors in Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors. *J Clin Exp Pathol*. 2016;6:284.
75. <https://www.omicsonline.org/endocrinology-metabolic-syndrome/endocrine-oncology.php>
76. Pop Radu C. [Pulmonary Neuroendocrine Tumor with Thyroid Gland Metastasis: Case Report]. *Journal of Surgery [Jurnalul de chirurgie]* 2015;10:307-310.
77. Hood S, et al. A Patient with a Pancreatic Endocrine Tumor develops Chronic Schizophrenia: Report of a Case. *J Clin Case Rep*. 2013;4:328.
78. Soltermann A, et al. Lung neuro-endocrine tumors: Correlation of ubiquitinylation and sumoylation with nucleocytoplasmic partitioning of PTEN. *Transl Med*. 2015.
79. Sasaki K. Duodenal Gastrinoma Associated with Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Type 1 (MEN1) Detected by Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD), which was buried under Ulcer. *J Gastrointest Dig Syst*. 2016;6:418.
80. <https://www.omicsonline.org/references/endoscopic-diagnosis-and-removal-of-a-duodenal-wall-gastrinoma-1227265.html>
81. <http://research.omicsgroup.org/index.php/Glucagonoma>
82. <https://www.omicsonline.org/pancreatic-disorders-and-therapy/insulinoma-top-journals-journals.php>
83. Karim N, et al. Prolonged Survival in a Patient with Metastatic Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide Producing Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors. *J Clin Case Rep*. 2012;2:210.
84. <http://research.omicsgroup.org/index.php/Somatostatinoma>
85. <https://www.omicsonline.org/references/regression-of-a-large-malignant-gastrinoma-on-treatment-withsandostatin-lar-a-case-report-649700.html>
86. http://research.omicsgroup.org/index.php/Neuroendocrine_tumor
87. http://research.omicsgroup.org/index.php/Pancreatic_neuroendocrine_tumor
88. Basso D, et al. Pancreatic Cancer Fostered Immunosuppression Privileges Tumor Growth and Progression. *J Clin Cell Immunol*. 2014;5:278.
89. Patel GK, et al. Pancreatic Cancer Exosomes: Shedding Off for a Meaningful Journey. *Pancreat Disord Ther*. 2016;6:e148.
90. 2016;6:e148.
91. Yamabe A, et al. Endosonographic Diagnosis of Chronic Pancreatitis. *J Gastroint Dig Syst*. 2013;S2:005.
92. Pongprasobchai S, et al. Surveillance of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma in Chronic Pancreatitis: An Ongoing Challenge. *Pancreat Disord Ther*. 2015;5:149.

93. Research and Reviews Journal of Medical and Health Sciences 6 e-ISSN: 2319-9865 p-ISSN:2322-0104 RRJMHS | Volume 6 | Issue 1 | February, 2017
94. Turner R. Acute Pancreatitis is a Chronic Disease. *Pancreatic Dis Ther.* 2013;3:118.
95. Machado NO. Groove Pancreatitis: What is its Relevance to Surgeons? *Pancreatic Dis Ther.* 2013;3:110.
96. <http://www.cancer.org/cancer/pancreaticcancer/detailedguide/pancreatic-cancer-risk-factors>
97. Yang F, et al. Diabetes Mellitus: A Risk or Protective Factor for Pancreatic Fistula after Pancreatic Resection? *Pancreat Disorders Ther.* 2012;2:e115.
98. Nauli AM. Pancreatic Disorders in Cancer, Diabetes, and Obesity. *Pancreat Disorders Ther.* 2012;2:e126.
99. Toki MI. Risk determination for pancreatic cancer. *JOP.* 2014;15:289-91.
100. Sellam F, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors for exocrine pancreatic cancer in a Northern African population. *OMICS J Radiol.* 2015;4:81.