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ABSTRACT: Understanding the cognitive states of human brain and hence deduce the thinking patterns of human beings has been an area of greater interest since the development of neuro-imaging technologies. With fMR images the accuracy of the features extracted provide us with a clear understanding of the brain state. Currently, numerous Machine Learning approaches, for fMRI feature extraction, are available, such as color, histogram, texture features and Machine Learning Classifiers are available, such as Gaussian Naïve Bayes (GNB), k-Nearest Neighbor (kNN), Generalized Linear Models (GLM), Artificial Neural Networks classifiers such as Self Organizing Maps (SOMs) and Kernel based approaches. In this paper, we adapted a novel technique that uses Coiflet wavelet transform for feature extraction and a Support Vector Classifier for classifying various brain states. The main objective of this work is to infer the cognitive state of the subject under study by comparing his/her fMR image with stored classes of the brain states from the training data set. The results obtained were 90% accurate. Coiflet transform plays a vital in yielding accurate results for feature extraction. The literature shows that Support Vector Machine Classifiers are better than GNB, k-NN and Artificial Neural Network classifiers.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of human brain function has received a tremendous rigor in recent years from the advent of functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI), a brain imaging method that dramatically improves our ability to observe correlates of brain activity in human subjects. This fMRI technology has now been used to conduct hundreds of studies that identify which regions of the brain are activated when a human performs a particular cognitive function e.g., reading, visualizing, remembering etc. The wide variety of published research summarizes average fMRI responses when the subject responds to repeated stimuli of some type e.g., reading sentences. The most common results, of such studies are statements of the form “fMRI activity in brain region R is on average greater when performing task T than when in control condition C”. Other results describe the effects of varying stimuli on activity, or correlations among activity indifferent brain regions. In all these cases the approach is to report descriptive statistics of effects averaged over multiple trials, and often over multiple voxels and / or multiple subjects.

In this paper, we propose a different way to utilize fMRI data, based on machine learning methods. Our goal is to automatically classify the instantaneous cognitive state of a human subject, given his/her observed fMRI activity at a single time instant or time interval. We describe initial results, in which we have successfully trained classifiers to distinguish between instantaneous cognitive states such as “the subject is reading a sentence” versus “the subject is seeing a picture”. We are interested in learning the mapping from observed fMRI data to the subject’s instantaneous mental state, instead of the mapping from a task to brain locations typically activated by this task. In addition, we seek classifiers that must make decisions based on fMRI data from a single time instant or interval, rather than statements about average activity over many trials.
The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we brief about fMRI, in section 3, prior works that have been carried out in this area, in section 4 we discuss our proposed system, in section 5 the schematic work and diagrams are shown, in section 6 we give a discussion about our proposed work and its expected results and in section 7 we conclude this paper.

II. fMRI

An fMRI experiment produces time-series data that represent brain activity in a collection of 2D slices of the brain. Multiple 2D slices can be captures, forming a 3D image, that may contain on the order of 15,000 voxels, each of which can measure the response of a 3x3x5 mm$^3$ region of the brain. Images of 15,000 voxels can be acquired at the rate of one or two per second with high field (3 Tesla) echo-planar imaging. The resulting fMRI time series thus provides a high-resolution 3D movie of the activation across a large fraction of the brain.

The actual “activation” we consider at each voxel is called the Blood Oxygen Level Dependent (BOLD) response, and reflects the ratio of oxygenated to deoxygenated hemoglobin in the blood at the corresponding location in the brain. Neural activity in the brain leads indirectly to fluctuations in the blood oxygen level, which are measured as the BOLD response by the fMRI device.

III. RELATED WORK

The approach commonly used to analyze fMRI data is to test hypotheses regarding the location of activation, based on the information gathered from the signal on stimuli and behavior of the subject. One widely used package for doing this is Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM).

Haxby and colleagues [7] showed that different patterns of fMRI activity are generated when a human subject views a photograph of a face versus a house, versus a shoe, versus a chair. While they did not specifically use these discovered patterns to classify subsequent single-event data, they did report that by dividing the fMRI data for each photograph category into two samples, they could automatically match the data samples related to the same category. Others [9] reported that they have been able to predict whether a verbal experience will be remembered later, based on the magnitude of activity within certain parts of left prefrontal and temporal cortices during that experience.

IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM

The automatic medical image classification is useful in building a content-based medical image retrieval system. In this paper, a classification system for CT Medical Images is presented. Coiflet wavelets are used to extract feature from the fMRI images. The extracted features are then classified using Support Vector Machine (SVM).

Our approach to classifying instantaneous cognitive states is based on a machine learning approach in which we train classifiers to predict the subject’s cognitive state given their observed fMRI data. The trained classifier represents a function of the form:

$$f : \mathcal{fMRI}(t,t+n) \rightarrow Y$$

where $\mathcal{fMRI}(t,t+n)$ is the observed fMRI data during the interval from time $t$ to $t+n$, $Y$ is a finite set of cognitive states to be discriminated, and the value of $\mathcal{fMRI}(t,t+n)$ is the classifier prediction regarding which cognitive state gave rise to the observed fMRI data $\mathcal{fMRI}(t,t+n)$. The classifier is trained by providing examples of the above function i.e., fMRI observations along with the known cognitive state of the subject. The input $\mathcal{fMRI}(t,t+n)$ is represented as a feature vector, where each feature may correspond to the observed fMRI data at a specific voxel at a specific time. In some cases, we use features computed by averaging the fMRI activations over several voxels, or by selecting a subset of the available voxels and times.

Our learning method in these experiments was a Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier. The SVM classifier uses the training data to estimate the linearly separate boundaries over fMRI observations given the subject is in cognitive state $Y_i$, for each cognitive state $Y_i$ under consideration.
Our work consists of mainly two phases, i) Training and ii) Testing. In the training phase fMRI images are input and they undergo a preprocessing for denoising and region based segmentation. Bilateral filter is used for noise removal and Region growing segmentation is used for segmenting the Regions of Interest (ROI) from the fMRI images.

A. Feature extraction using Coiflet wavelet

Coiflets are discrete wavelets designed to have scaling functions with vanishing moments. The wavelet is near symmetric with N/3 vanishing moments and N/3-1 scaling functions. The function $\Psi$ has 2N moments equal to 0 and the function $\phi$ has 2N-1 moments equal to 0. The support length of the two functions is 6N-1 [13]. The coifN $\Psi$ and $\phi$ are considerably more symmetric than the dbNs. The coifN are compared to db3N or sym3N when considering the support length. When number of vanishing moments of $\Psi$ is considered, coifN is compared to db2N or sym2N. If s is a sufficiently regular continuous timesignal, for large j the coefficient $\langle s, \Phi_j, k \rangle$ is approximated by $2^{-j/2} s(2^{-j} k)$ If s is a polynomial of degree d, $d \leq N - 1$, then the approximation becomes equality.
B. Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Given a set of features that can be represented in space, SVM maps features non-linearly into n-dimensional feature space when provided with features set that can be represented in space. When a kernel is introduced with high computation, the algorithm uses inputs as scalar products with classification being solved by translating the issue into a convex quadratic optimization problem with a clear solution being obtained by convexity.

In SVM, an attribute is a predictor variable and a feature is a transformed attribute. A set of features describing an example is a vector. Features define the hyperplane. SVM aims to locate an optimal hyperplane separating vector clusters with a class of attributes on one side of the plane with the on the other side. The margin is the distance between hyperplane and support vectors. SVM analysis orients the margin that space between it and support vectors is maximized. Figure 3 shows a simplified SVM process overview.

Using Coiflet transform we extract features and linear Support Vector Machine Classifier is used for classifying the ROIs into various classes. These classes group the fMRI images into various classes based on the cognitive states.

In the testing phase we present a test fMR image of the subject under test and it is preprocessed and the features extracted from it are analyzed for a similarity measure against the classes from the training set. Finally we infer from the similarity measure, what is the current state of the subject under test.

VI. RESULTS DISCUSSION

The previous works in the literature have considered Coiflet transform for extracting features from EEG or CT scan imageries. We have used Coiflet wavelet transform for feature extraction from the fMRI images of different actions by the subject.
We have focused mainly on only three actions, viz., eating, seeing, and thinking. We trained the system with ten images in each category of actions. The obtained results are shown below. The following figures Fig – 5, 6, and 7 show the input images, the features extracted in the form of cluster values and the segmented Regions of Interest (ROI) of these three actions viz., eating, seeing and thinking respectively.

Fig 5: Eating action Input image, its features in the form of clusters and the segmented ROI.
Fig-6: Seeing action Input image, its features in the form of clusters and the segmented ROI.

Fig-7: Thinking action Input image, its features in the form of clusters and the segmented ROI.
We tested the system by giving an input test fMRI image and the system predicted correct output and the result is displayed in the form of text.

In this proposed system Support Vector Machine Classifier is used for classification which outperforms other machine learning classifiers such as Gaussian Naïve Bayes, kNN and Neural Network Classifiers. The system is implemented using MATLAB 7 and we obtained favourable results. The results obtained were 90% accurate and we are in a process of fine tuning the system for improving its accuracy. The outcome of the system will be compared with using GNB, and kNN classifiers.

VII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Feature extraction with Coiflet Wavelet has found to be a better way of extracting ROIs from the fMR images, as it addresses the dimensionality reduction problem well. Literature shows that SVM classifiers outperform when compared with Neural classifiers and other machine learning classifiers. This paper has utilized the combination of Coiflet wavelet for feature extraction and Support Vector Machine classifier for classification and found to be more effective. This combination can be applied to many applications in the future, such as, diagnosing brain tumors and behavioral patterns of abnormal persons.

The support vector classifier can be further analyzed for performance enhancement by combining various kernel functions with it. It can also be combined with other adaptive classifiers and studied. Once the system is implemented completely, it can be extended for lie detection, finding out the IQ levels of individuals and evaluating the rational thinking level of individuals.
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