ABSTRACT— The corrosion resistant coatings used conventionally are having some limitations like degradation of the coatings and improper coatings which may lead to pitting corrosion. The nanocomposite corrosion resistant coating can overcome this problem. The SiO$_2$ nanoparticles are synthesized by ball milling process. The nanoparticles are mixed micron sized copper powder and converted into a composite. The composite materials are made into pellets using UTM. The pellets are used as targets to coat the mild steel substrate using sputtering process. SEM analysis indicated that the elements in the copper based nanocomposites are homogeneously distributed and agglomeration was observed. The SiO$_2$ and copper based nanocomposites are characterized using AFM, XRD and SEM. The corrosion resistance of nanocomposite coatings is tested using weight loss method and electrochemical method.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The corrosion behavior of materials can be A and for further studies to improve the quality of materials corrosion resistance composite coating is finding greater application in almost every field such as mechanical infrastructures, aeronautical industries, and marine industries and even in automobile industries. classified into several types such as oxidation, chlorination, sulfuration, hydrogenation, nitration and carbonation. However these different corrosion mechanisms can be overcome by the application of the corrosion resistance coatings. This study would be helpful both for application in needed areas.[1-5].

There are many techniques that had been already employed to produce protective coatings, such as, plasma spraying, dip coating, electroplating and physical vapour deposition methods [1-4]. Nowadays, nano materials have many applications due to their versatile characteristics. These characteristics are further improved by means of obtaining uniform dispersion of nano coatings using various techniques [5].

Sputtering process is a well-established surface modifying process that involves deposition of a variety of materials either metal or ceramic or combination of both of any in nanometric level over various substrates [6-9]. Although a variety of materials were coated, the coating of Cu-SiO$_2$ using sputtering process has received wide spread attention as it provides high corrosion resistance and increases the hardness due to homogeneity. The sputter coated Cu- SiO$_2$ coatings are widely used for corrosion protection in a variety of environments. It is a barrier coating, protecting the substrate by sealing it off from the corrosive environments, rather than by sacrificial action [10-12].

Recent developments involved in the increase of the corrosion resistance includes the use of Cu-composites reinforced with SiO$_2$, SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$ and other ceramic materials in nanometric size [13-15]. Copper coated steel shows better corrosion resistance when compared to bare steel. Since the Cu has a lower corrosion potential than steel, the copper coated steel plate is widely used for a variety of applications [5]. SiO$_2$ is an excellent anticorrosion material since of its excellent corrosion resistance, thermal stability and less conductivity because of very less free electrons with them. Recently the applications of the SiO$_2$ coatings for corrosion protection of metals have been studied especially because they can
Experimental investigation of corrosion resistant properties of Copper based nanocomposite coatings

prevent migration of electrolytes such as NaCl to the coated films and high chemical stability [1-3]. They reported that Cu-composite coatings with a low concentration of ceramic reinforcements are able to provide big improvements in corrosion resistance on steel.

This report deals with the preparation of Cu-SiO$_2$ nano composites coatings using sputtering process on mild steel and evaluating their corrosion resistance using polarization resistance test, electrochemical impedance studies and weight loss method.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

2.1 Materials

The copper and SiO$_2$ powders employed were of research grade with a purity level of 99.5% and 99% respectively and were used without further purification.

2.2 Preparation of pellets and substrates

The micron sized SiO$_2$ powders were reduced to nano size by employing high energy ball milling technique (HEBM). About 40g of SiO$_2$ powder was fed into the ball mill (Fritsch pulverisette, Germany) comprising of balls and vial made of tungsten carbide (WC). The weight of the balls to that of powder was maintained at a ratio of 20:1. The grinding process was carried out for 5h at a speed of 300rpm to obtain the nano SiO$_2$ powder. The dwell period was 5 minutes for every 15 minutes of milling to avoid heat / unwanted reactions inside the vial. Then, the micron sized copper and nano SiO$_2$ powders were intimately mixed by grinding in the ball mill for 30 minutes in the weight ratios of 80:20 and 75:25 respectively to arrive Cu-20SiO$_2$ and Cu-25SiO$_2$ nano composites. The nanocomposites were then compacted into a 2” diameter circular pellet of 3mm thickness by applying a pressure of 8 MPa in a universal testing machine and then sintered to about 850°C and cured at room temperature to obtain a target preform.

The mild steel substrate to be used was cut into proper dimension (75mm x 25mm), so as to properly fit it into the substrate holder of the sputtering machine. The surface of the mild steel was polished and smoothened with emery sheets of various fineness followed by cleaning with acetone. The respective prepared composite pellets were then placed in the sputtering machine (Hind Hivac, Bengaluru) as targets and the mild steel substrates were coated using RF sputtering in argon atmosphere.

2.3 Characterization

The Cu-SiO$_2$ composite powders used for making preforms (Cu-20SiO$_2$ and Cu-25SiO$_2$) were subjected to X-Ray Diffraction analysis. The XRD patterns were obtained at ambient temperature by step scanning on an X-ray powder diffractometer (Xpert-Pro) using a monochromatic CuK$_\alpha$ radiation (\(\lambda = 1.54056\) Å) in the range of \(2\theta = 0°—90°\) with a step size of 0.05°. The surface topography of the coatings was acquired using Atomic force microscopy (XE70, Park System, South Korea) operating in non-contact mode, in air at ambient conditions, using a Si$_3$N$_4$ tip with a radius of curvature of less than 10 nm and a spring constant of about 40 N/m, at a scan size of 10 x 10 μm. The surface morphology of the composites and coatings were characterized using SEM (Hitachi SUI510, Japan operating in secondary electron mode, at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV, emission current of 96 μA). SEM images of powder mixture used for making the targets after milling process is shown in the Fig.1 . These images are recorded at an acceleration voltage of 10 kV in secondary electron mode. From the figure, it is clear that the particles are irregular in shape and appeared like an agglomerated state. The average particle size of the composites is found in the range of 3-5μm.

![SEM image of Cu-SiO$_2$ nano composite coatings](image)

Fig.1 SEM image of Cu-SiO$_2$ nano composite coatings

2. Potentiometric polarization test:

Potentiodynamic polarization measurements were carried out in an electrochemical workstation (CHI 660C, USA) consisting of platinum wire counter electrode, Ag/AgCl reference electrode and the cermet coated steel substrates taken in turn as working electrode. All the electrochemical tests were performed at 25°C with 5% NaCl solution as electrolyte. Polarization phenomena of the steel and the coated steel structures were studied at a scan rate of 5mV/s. Before starting measurements; all the specimens were immersed in the 5% NaCl solution for 1h to reach steady state condition. The open circuit potential (OCP) was measured after reaching a steady state and then the polarization measurements were done. The corrosion potential (E$_{corr}$) and corrosion current density (i$_{corr}$) were calculated from the intersection of the cathodic and anodic Tafel curves using the Tafel extrapolation method. The polarization resistance (R$_p$) was determined using Stren–Geary equation [1].

\[
R_p = \frac{\beta_a \beta_c}{2.303 i_{corr}(\beta_a + \beta_c)}
\]  
(1)
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where $\beta_a$ and $\beta_c$ are the anodic and cathodic Tafel slopes. The corrosion rate ($r_{corr}$ in millimeter per year) was calculated through the following equation.

$$r_{corr} = \frac{0.00327(i_{corr}M)}{nd}$$

(2)

where $M$, $n$, and $d$ are molar mass, electronic charge number, and density of tested metal respectively.

### 2.5 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements of the coated samples were performed between the frequency range of 10 mHz and 100 kHz using CH660C electrochemical workstation at the room temperature. The amplitude of the initial sinusoidal voltage signal was 10 mV. The measurements were done against open circuit potential. The equivalent circuit was used to determine the results by fitting using software available in the workstation and the Nyquist plot is obtained [1, 7].

### 2.6 Weight loss method

The weight loss method is used to find the rate at which the material is getting depleted in different corrosive media. For this, three corrosive media namely 0.1N solutions of HCl and H$_2$SO$_4$; 10% NaCl solution were taken and the substrates were immersed in each one of the said corrosive media respectively for 24 h. After that the substrate was removed, washed with distilled water and dried at room temperature. The change in weight of the substrates was measured, from which the percentage of material loss is calculated.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 XRD analysis

The structural features of Cu-SiO$_2$ and is studied from XRD data. XRD of Cu-SiO$_2$ nano composites is shown in Fig.2. The XRD patterns reveal well developed reflections of Cu-SiO$_2$ nanocomposites (JCPDS.No.81-1611). Strong Bragg reflections were seen in the XRD which correspond to the reflection of Cu-SiO$_2$ composite. The XRD patterns of the samples exhibit the characteristic peak at $2\theta=43.3^\circ$, which corresponds to the (111) plane of Cu. They also exhibit peak at $2\theta=50.38^\circ$ indexed as planes (200). It also exhibits peaks at $2\theta=75.38^\circ$ which corresponds to the (240) plane confirms the presence of SiO$_2$.

### 3.2 SEM analysis

Surface morphology of Cu-SiO$_2$ films obtained after RF sputtering were examined using SEM analysis. Fig.3 is the image of Cu-SiO$_2$ nanocomposites coatings recorded in the secondary electron mode. From the figure it is clear that, the mild steel substrate has been covered with the nano structured Cu-SiO$_2$ particles. The particle size found over the specimen were approximately in the range of about 100-150 nm, shows good dispersion throughout the cross section.

### 3.3 AFM analysis

AFM is a tool used to evaluate the surface topography of the sputter coated steels. Fig.4 is the 3D AFM images of the Cu-SiO$_2$ nano composite coating. The shape and thickness of the coatings can be found from the AFM images. The image reveals that the thickness of the coating lies in the range of 100 - 150 nm. Fig.5 (a) is the 2D AFM image of Cu-SiO$_2$ coating in which a line is drawn vertically at a point around 7.5$x$µm. Figs. 5 (b) and 5(c) are the corresponding line diagram and histogram got with regard to the afore said vertical line. In the line diagram in Fig.5 (b) the line lying on 100nm shows that the coating is evenly distributed around 100nm thickness. Similarly Fig. 5(c) reveals that the coating thickness is around 0 - 200nm.
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3.4 Polarization resistance test

Generally, the corrosion resistance coatings developed using sputtering are inert to chemical attacks due to their higher position in the electrochemical series. However, these coatings exhibit galvanic and crevice corrosion between the coatings and the metal substrate because of the presence of defects such as micropores and the potential difference between coatings and the metal substrate. The electrolyte penetrates inside the substrate through these defects present between the coating and metal interface. If there is less porosity, then the sample behaves like the good coating. Moreover, if there is more porosity in the coatings, then the galvanic corrosion occurs, in which the steel substrate acts as anode and the coating material as the cathode, hence the corrosion current is a good measure of the coating porosity [1].

The corrosion behaviour of Cu-SiO₂ nano composite coatings on mild steel substrates were investigated using potentiometric polarization in 5% NaCl solution at room temperature. Tafel plots obtained for mild steel substrate coated with Cu-20SiO₂ and Cu-25SiO₂ nano composite are shown in Fig.6. The corrosion potential (E₉₀), the corrosion current density (I₉₀) and polarization resistance (Rₚ) obtained from the measurements are given in (Table I).

From the data, it is clear that the corrosion potential (E₉₀) of uncoated mild steel (-0.413V vs. Ag/AgCl) is shifted towards positive side while the surface of steel has been coated with the composite films of Cu-20SiO₂ (150nm: -0.380V) and Cu-25SiO₂ (150nm: -0.372V). While comparing the E₉₀ values of these two coatings Cu-25SiO₂ coated steel shows more positive E₉₀ values than those of Cu-20SiO₂ coated steel. The open circuit potential values do not change sensibly before and after the testing. It is evident that with increasing of SiO₂ nanoparticle content in coating decreases the corrosion current and the corrosion potential shifts to a more positive potential.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness of coating</th>
<th>E₉₀ (V)</th>
<th>Polarization resistance, Rₚ (Ω)</th>
<th>I₉₀ (mA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu-20SiO₂</td>
<td>-0.413</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>77.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-25SiO₂</td>
<td>-0.380</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>76.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-20SiO₂</td>
<td>-0.382</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>76.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-25SiO₂</td>
<td>-0.378</td>
<td>2786</td>
<td>73.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncoated</td>
<td>-0.380</td>
<td>2498</td>
<td>76.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150nm</td>
<td>-0.372</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125nm</td>
<td>-0.386</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100nm</td>
<td>-0.389</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I Corrosion characteristics obtained from potentiodynamic polarization measurements

The data further clearly reveals that the improvement in anti corrosive properties is due to the presence of SiO₂ nanoparticles in the composite coatings. It shows that the SiO₂ nanoparticles in Cu matrix increase the corrosion resistance in NaCl, HCl and H₂SO₄ solutions. Obviously, the SiO₂ nanoparticles have more positive potential than the copper and steel, hence the presence of SiO₂ in the Cu matrix improves the corrosion resistance [1]. The Cu-20SiO₂ nano composites coating shows increase in corrosion resistance with an increase in
coating thickness. The $E_{corr}$ value has been shifted to more positive side from -0.413V to -0.380V with increase in thickness, which shows the increase in corrosion resistance. Similarly the $i_{corr}$ value decreases from 7.731 (A) to 7.620 (A) which indicates the increase in corrosion resistance; the polarization resistance ($R_p$) increases from 2005Ω to 2400Ω which is also an evidence of increase in corrosion resistance for the increase of coating thickness. The Cu-25SiO$_2$ nano composites coatings exhibits good corrosion resistance for the increased coating thickness, since the $E_{corr}$ value of steel has been shifted from -0.413V to -0.372V and $i_{corr}$ value has been reduced from 7.731 (A) to 7.320 (A) which shows the increase in corrosion resistance. Further, the polarization resistance ($R_p$) increases from 2005Ω to 2898Ω. By using the electrochemical data, the corrosion rates ($i_{corr}$) of various coatings are evaluated and tabulated in Table II.

Table II Corrosion rate (mpy) for Cu-20TiO$_2$ and Cu-25SiO$_2$ nano composite coatings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating thickness</th>
<th>Corrosion rate (mpy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu-20TiO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncoated steel</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100nm</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125nm</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150nm</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the data, it is clear that the corrosion rate decreases from 4.45 to 3.14 mpy for Cu-25SiO$_2$ when compared to 3.56 mpy for Cu-20SiO$_2$ nano composite coatings. By having these outputs, comparatively the Cu-25SiO$_2$ nano composites coatings shows more corrosion resistance when compared to Cu-20SiO$_2$.[1, 6].

3.5 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

The Nyquist diagrams for the Cu-SiO$_2$ nano composites obtained using electro chemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) in 5%NaCl solution is shown in Fig.7 (a&b). The Nyquist diagram was obtained by fitting the experimental data to the proposed equivalent circuit model. The capacitive loop between $R_{ct}$ and $C_{dl}$ can be recognized to the charge transfer reaction. $R_{ct}$ is the charge transfer resistance which is a measure of electron transfer across the coating surface and $R_{ct}$ is inversely proportional to rate of corrosion. The proposed equivalent circuit to obtain Nyquist diagram is shown in the Fig.8. The equivalent circuit consists of solution resistance ($R_s$), double layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$) and charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$), in which the $C_{dl}$ and $R_{ct}$ are parallel to each other has been used to simulate the coated steel/solution interface. The Charge transfer resistances in 5% NaCl solution is listed in Table III.

Table III Charge transfer resistance obtained from EIS data fitting by equivalent circuit model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness of coating</th>
<th>Charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$) Ω</th>
<th>Double layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$) F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50nm</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100nm</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125nm</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150nm</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.6 Weight loss method

The weight loss method is used to analyze the extent of corrosion of uncoated steel and the coated structures in acidic and in brine solutions. In this method the coated substrate is immersed in the acid environments such as HCl, H$_2$SO$_4$ and NaCl solutions. The substrate is immersed by keeping the substrate in 0.1N HCl /0.1NH$_2$SO$_4$/10%NaCl solutions for 24 hours. Then the substrate was washed and dried at room temperature. The weights of the substrates are measured before and after the immersion, the difference in weight shows the amount of weight loss for particular substrate. The percentage of weight loss was calculated using the following equation.

$$\text{Percentage of weight loss} = \frac{W_i - W_f}{W_i} \times 100$$

(3)

where, $W_i$ = Initial weight, $g$ ; $W_f$ = Final weight, $g$

Quantity of material lost in different corrosion environment is given in Table IV.
IV. CONCLUSIONS

Cu–SiO₂ nano composite coating on steel was prepared by sputtering process. The corrosion resistance nature of Cu–SiO₂ nano composite coating is studied in different corrosive media. The conclusions derived from the experimentation are as below:

- With increasing of SiO₂ nano particle content in the composite coatings, the corrosion current (Icorr) decreases to the much lesser values and the corrosion potential (Ecorr) shifts to more positive values than the uncoated steel.
- The potentiometric polarization test reveals that the Cu-25SiO₂ has higher corrosion resistance.
- The EIS spectra show that the corrosion rate was controlled by charge transfer resistance. Rct increases with increasing of SiO₂ nano particle content in coating which is an indication of anti-corrosive behaviour.
- The weight loss method shows that the Cu-25SiO₂ nano composite coating provides better corrosion resistance than the Cu-20SiO₂ coatings.
- From this study it is concluded that the Cu-25SiO₂ nano composite coatings shows better corrosion resistance, than Cu-20SiO₂ nanocomposite coatings in steel.
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Table IV Weight loss data of Cu-SiO₂ coated steel in different media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating Thickness</th>
<th>% of Weight Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1N H₂SO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu₂TiO₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu₂TiO₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncoated</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100nm</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125nm</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150nm</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>