ABSTRACT— A distributive multiple-input multiple output (MIMO) system is taken, in which multiple transmitters co-operatively serve a common receiver under the assumption of imperfect and individual channel state information. Due to practical constraint, in this paper, the individual channel state information is assumed to be imperfect. It leads to performance degradation when compared to a channel with perfect channel knowledge. It is usually very costly to acquire full channel state information at the transmitter (CSIT). In such a case, especially for large-scale antenna systems, it is assumed to have individual CSIT (I-CSIT), i.e., each transmitter has perfect CSI of its own link but only slow fading factors of the others. A linear Hermitian precoding is used for transforming the equivalent channel, including a physical channel and a precoder, into a Hermitian matrix form. The performance analysis and optimization of this Hermitian precoding scheme for an imperfect channel is presented in this paper.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In a distributive MIMO system, multiple transmitters at different places cooperatively send common message to a single receiver. Transmitter and receiver are equipped with multiple antennas. In a co-operative cellular system, several adjacent base stations simultaneously serve a mobile terminal in the downlink transmission [1]. The wireless channels capacity is reduced by an imperfect knowledge of the channel state information (CSI) at the receiver [2],[3]. The transmitter optimization is considered for a point-to-point communication system with multiple base-stations cooperating to transmit to a single user [6]. In distributive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems, with no Channel State Information at the transmitter (CSIT) and imperfect CSI at the receiver (CSIR), capacity degradations due to channel estimation errors may significantly compromise performance, and set severe limits to the capacity growing with the increasing signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and number of transmit and receive antennas. Block diagonalization (BD) is a linear precoding technique that eliminates the inter-user interference in downlink multiuser MIMO systems [7]-[9]. A MIMO channel with a set of transmit power constraints corresponding to individual BSs in the multi-cell system is considered [10]. Assumed to have no channel state information at the transmitter, distributed space-time coding is being proposed for efficient and fast transmission [12]-[14]. In full CSIT every transmitter perfectly knows all the channel state information (CSI). But in practice it is too expensive to acquire full CSIT. In this paper, individual and imperfect CSIT (I-CSIT) is studied, in which each transmitter has CSI of its own link but only the slow fading factors of the others. The performance of the I-CSIT is very close to the system capacity with full CSIT as referred in [1].
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An important aspect to be considered in this paper is imperfect channel state information at the base station which has a significant effect on precoding performance. The impact of CSI accuracy on the precoding performance both analytically and numerically via the average achievable rate is analyzed.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the system model with Channel state information and different power constraints are presented. The hermitian precoding scheme is presented in Section 3 and its performance is analyzed and optimized in Section 4. Simulation results and conclusions are provided in Sections 5 and 6, respectively.

The notation $\| a \|$ is a shorthand of $a a^H$; and $\| a \|^2$ represents the Euclidean norm of vector $a$.

II. SYSTEM MODEL
A. System Model

Consider a distributed MIMO system, in which $K$ transmitters cooperatively transmit common messages to a single receiver. A multi-user communication system operating in the downlink is considered. Each transmitter has $N$ antennas and the receiver has $M$ antennas. We assume i.i.d. Rayleigh flat fading channels $H_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, K$, from the base station to the individual users. The $k^{th}$ user receives

$$r = H_k x_k + n$$

where $r$ is an $M$-by-1 received signal vector, $x_k$ is an $N$-by-1 signal vector sent by the transmitter $k$, $H_k$ is an $M$-by-$N$ channel transfer matrix for link between the transmitter $k$ and the receiver, and $n \sim CN(0, \sigma^2 I)$ is an additive white Gaussian noise vector. It is assumed that each transmitter $k$ has an individual power constraint of $P_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, K$, where $P_k$ is the maximum transmission power of transmitter $k$. Assuming that the receiver perfectly knows $\| H_k \|_{2} = P_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, K$.

Assuming that all channels between the transmitters and the receivers are Rayleigh fading, so that the entries of the $M$-by-$N$ channel matrix $H_k$ are i.i.d. drawn from $CN(0, 1)$. $H_k H_k^H$ is a unitarily invariant and central Wishart matrix. $H_k H_k^H$ is decomposed as

$$H_k H_k^H = U_k D_k V_k^H$$

with $U_k$ is a Haar matrix independent of the $M$-by-$N$ diagonal matrix $D_k$. For a diagonal matrix of size $M$-by-$N$, the non-zero entries are located at the $(i, i)^{th}$ position with $i = 1, \ldots, \min(M,N)$. A random square matrix $U$, is a Haar matrix if it is uniformly distributed on the set of all the unitary matrices of same size as $U$.

The singular value decomposition (SVD) of $H_k$ can be given as

$$H_k = U_k D_k V_k^H$$

B. Channel State Information

Assuming that the base station has imperfect CSI and is given below:

$$H_k = \hat{H}_k + E_k$$

where $\hat{H}_k$ is the estimated individual channel state information(I-CSIT) and $E_k$ is the channel estimated error variance.

III. TRANSMISSION STRATEGY WITH I-CSIT

Linear precoding is used to shape the channel input covariance matrix, in order to achieve MIMO capacity [15]. The conventional SVD water filling (SVD-WF) approach [15] can be seen as a special case of distributed linear precoding technique.

A. Modeling of the Transmit Signal

Assuming that the transmitters share the same data to be transmitted. The transmitters use the same or different codebooks in channel coding. The transmitted signals from different transmitters may be either correlated or uncorrelated. $a_0$ is used to represent the correlated signal.

Fig. 1. System model for a distributed perfect MIMO channel.

The elements of the CSI matrix $H_k$ are hence i.i.d. $CN(0, 1 - \sigma^2)$. The perfect CSI at the base station corresponds to $\sigma^2 = 0$. $\sigma^2$ behave like an interference channel.

$$\hat{H}_k = U_k (D_k + \delta) V_k^H$$
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component which is shared by all the transmitters, and \( a_k \) is used to represent the uncorrelated signal component in each transmitter \( k \) (for \( k = 1, \ldots, K \)), where \( \{a_k\} \) are \( M \times 1 \) random vectors with the entries independently drawn from \( \mathcal{CN}(0, 1) \). By definition, \( \mathbb{E}[a_k a_k^H] = I \) and \( \mathbb{E}[a_k a_j^H] = 0, \ k, j = 0, 1, \ldots, K, \ k \neq j \). The transmitted signal of transmitter \( k \) can be given as

\[
x_k = F_k a_k + G_k a_k, \quad k = 1, \ldots, K,
\]

where \( F_k \) and \( G_k \) are \( N \times M \) precoding matrices of transmitter \( k \) designed to exploit the available CSI. With (5), the received signal in (1) is rewritten as

\[
r = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \tilde{H}_k \{F_k a_k + G_k a_k\} + n_k.
\]

Further in this paper \( \{F_k\} \) and \( \{G_k\} \) is designed to enhance the system performance.

### B. Distributive Precoder Design

Consider a transmitter \( k \), which has individual CSIT (I-CSIT) that is, if it knows only \( \tilde{a}_k \). A precoding design is **distributive** if it has individual CSIT only, which shows that both \( F_k \) and \( G_k \) in (6) are determined by \( \tilde{a}_k \), i.e.,

\[
F_k = f_k(\tilde{a}_k) \quad \text{and} \quad G_k = g_k(\tilde{a}_k), \quad k = 1, \ldots, K,
\]

where \( f_k(\cdot) \) and \( g_k(\cdot), \ k = 1, \ldots, K, \) are precoding functions to be optimized. The distributive precoding functions must be optimized \( \{f_k(\cdot)\} \) and \( \{g_k(\cdot)\}, \ k = 1, \ldots, K, \) so that the average achievable rate of the system is maximized in (6) under the individual transmitter power constraints in (2). This problem can be formulated as

\[
\max_{\{f_k(\cdot), \{g_k(\cdot)\}\}} \mathbb{E}[\log \det \{I + \sum_{k=1}^{K} H_k f_k^2 + \Sigma_k^N U_k^2 \}]
\]

\[
s.t. \quad \text{tr} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Sigma_k^N U_k^2 \leq 2^{R_k}
\]

(8a)

(8b)

where the expectation is taken over the joint distribution of \( \{\tilde{a}_k\} \), and the power constraint is for every realization of \( \{\tilde{a}_k\} \).

### IV. HERMITIAN PRECODING

In this section, a distributive Hermitian precoding technique for the system in (6) is discussed and its local optimality is proved. Further the power control problem for the proposed Hermitian precoder is studied and its asymptotic performance is analyzed for large-scale MIMO systems.

#### A. Basic Precoder Structure

Let \( U_k \) and \( V_k \) be given by the SVD of \( \Sigma_k \) in (4b). As \( U_k \) and \( V_k \) are invertible, the precoders in (7) can be written as follows, \( k = 1, \ldots, K \),

\[
F_k = f_k(\tilde{a}_k) = V_k W_k U_k^H \quad \text{and} \quad G_k = g_k(\tilde{a}_k) = V_k \Sigma_k U_k^H
\]

(9a)

(9b)

the sizes of \( W_k \) and \( \Sigma_k \) are both \( N \times M \) and they depend on \( \tilde{a}_k \) due to the I-CSIT assumption. The optimal \( \Sigma_k \) is given by \( \Sigma_k = \Sigma_k Q_k \), where \( \Sigma_k \) is a real diagonal matrix and \( Q_k \) is a unitary matrix and \( Q_k \) has no impact on the achievable rate in (8a), it is always assumed that \( \{W_k\} \) and \( \{\Sigma_k\} \) are real diagonal matrices and their optimization techniques are discussed.

#### B. Power Allocation: Determining \( \{\Sigma_k\} \)

Due to the symmetry of the transmitters, the optimization problem with \( k = 1 \) is performed. Specifically, we assume that \( f_k(\tilde{a}_k) = V_k W_k U_k^H \\) and \( g_k(\tilde{a}_k) = V_k \Sigma_k U_k^H \\) for every \( k', k = 1, \ldots, K \). Then the objective function is given by:

\[
\max_{\{W_k, \Sigma_k\}} \mathbb{E}[\log \det \{I + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} W_k U_k^H U_k + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Sigma_k U_k^2 \}]
\]

(10a)

\[
\max_{\{W_k, \Sigma_k\}} \mathbb{E}[\log \det \{I + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} W_k U_k^H U_k + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Sigma_k U_k^2 \}]
\]

(10b)

where (10) follows fact that \( U_k (D+E) \Sigma_k U_k^H \) and \( U_k (D+E) \Sigma_k U_k^H \) are Hermitian matrices and that \( \{U_k\} \) is a Haar matrix independent of \( \{W_k\} \) and \( \{\Sigma_k\} \). \( \{U_k (D+E) \Sigma_k U_k^H \} \) have the same distribution as \( \{U_k (D+E) \Sigma_k U_k^H \} \) and \( \{U_k (D+E) \Sigma_k U_k^H \} \).

#### C. Power Allocation: Determining \( \{W_k\} \)

Optimization problem of \( W_k \) For transmitter \( i(i.e., k = 1) \) is given by,

\[
\max_{\{W_k\}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (d_k^i \omega_k^2 + d_k) \right)
\]

(11)

The maximum in (11) is achieved when all \( \{W_k\} \) have the same sign of \( \mu \), which shows that the optimal \( W_k \) must be either positive semi-definite or negative semi-definite. Noting the symmetry, it is assumed that \( W_k \) is positive semi-definite, (11) is solved using the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions [15].

The associated Lagrangian is
\( L(w_1, \ldots, w_m, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \log (1 + \frac{\lambda}{\sigma^2} (d_i^2 w_i^2 + 2 \mu d_i w_i v_1) - \lambda (\sum_{i=1}^{M} w_i^2 - P_i) ) \)

(12)

The corresponding KKT conditions are given by,

\[ (13a) \lambda d_i^2 w_i^2 + 2 \mu d_i w_i v_1 + (\lambda \sigma^2 + \lambda v - d_i^2) - \mu = 0 \]

(13b) \( \lambda = 0 \) and \( \lambda \geq 0 \)

(13c)

The above KKT conditions are easy to solve since, for any given \( \lambda, (13a) \) is a univariate cubic equation of \( w_i \) with at most three different solutions. \( \lambda \) can be found by a bisection method. \( \lambda \) is a monotonically decreasing function with respect to each \( w_i \). The KKT conditions in (13) can be numerically solved, which yields the optimal \( W_i \) to (11).

**D. Intuitions and Discussions**

Given \( x_k = U_k D_k V_k^H, \) then precoding strategy is,

\[ F_k = f_k(\lambda) = V_k W_k U_k^H \]

\[ G_k = g_k(\lambda) = 0. \]

(14)

Then the transmitted and received signals in (6) and (7) can be written as

\[ x_k^* = F_k a_0 = V_k W_k U_k^H a_0 \]

(15a)

\[ r = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_0^H n = A a_0 + n, \]

(15b)

where \( A = U_k D_k W_k U_k^H \). Since \( A_k \) is a positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix, (14) is referred as a **Hermitian precoding scheme**.

**E. Asymptotic Performance in Large-Scale MIMO Systems**

Consider system in which \( N \) is sufficient large but \( M \) remains small, thus \( N > M \). This arises in practice when multiple base stations jointly serve a common mobile terminal. The latter typically has a limited physical size and thus a small \( M \). For simplicity, we assume in this subsection that all transmitters have the same power constraint \( P \) (i.e. \( P_k = P \) \( \forall k \)). Let \( R_H \) be the achievable rate of the Hermitian precoding scheme. Denote by \( I_{\text{CSIT}} \) an \( m \times n \) matrix with the only nonzero elements being 1s located at \( (i,j) \)th position for \( i = 1, \ldots, \min(m,n) \).

The hermitian precoder \( F_k \) in (14) reduces to

\[ F_k = V_k W_k U_k^H = \]

Substituting \( D_k = 0 \) and \( F_k \) in (16) into (8a). The achievable rate of the hermitian precoder given by

\[ R_H = M \log (1 + \lambda) = M \log (\lambda) \]

(17)

**V. NUMERICAL RESULTS**

In this section, numerical results used to demonstrate the performance of the proposed Hermitian precoding technique in large scale distributed MIMO channels. For comparison, we list below a variety of alternative choices of \( F_k \) and \( G_k \). Full CSIT capacity with total power constraint is also included as an upper bound. For simplicity of discussion, we assume \( N = M \).

![Performance comparison among different precoding schemes](image.png)

**Fig. 2.** Performance comparison among different precoding schemes with \( M = N = K = 2 \) in Rayleigh-fading distributed MIMO Gaussian channels SNR = \( (P_1 + P_2)/\sigma^2 \) with \( P_1 = P_2 \). (i) Full CSIT capacity with total power constraint (Full CSIT): The input covariance matrix is determined by standard SVD water-filling method [16] over

\[ n = [H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_K]. \]

(ii) Hermitian Precoding with Optimized Power Allocation (HP-OPA):

\[ F_k = V_k W_k U_k^H \] and \( G_k = 0 \).

Here \( W_k \) is obtained using the technique proposed in Section IV.
(iii) No-CSIT with Independent Signaling (No-CSIT-IS):

\[ F_i = 0 \text{ and } G_i = 0. \]

Fig. 2 shows the performance of a Hermitian precoder. In this figure, equal power constraints is assumed for all transmitters (i.e., \( P_k = P \) \( \forall k \)) due to the symmetry property among them. The performance of various precoding schemes are compared with \( K = M = N = 2 \).

Fig. 3 shows the comparison of performance of hermitian precoder with and without perfect channel knowledge (with \( K = M = N = 2 \)). It is seen that HP-OPA performs very close to the upper bound obtained by assuming full CSIT. This implies that the potential performance loss due to the I-CSIT assumption is marginal. Note that the performance of No-CSIT-IS is relatively poor in both Figs. 2 and 4.

Fig. 4 shows that there is a performance degradation for an imperfect channel with individual channel state information when compared a perfect channel but it is enhanced when compared with a perfect channel with no-CSIT(channel state information).

VI. CONCLUSION

A Hermitian precoding technique for distributed MIMO channels with imperfect and individual CSIT is analyzed and its local optimality is proved. Numerical results show that the proposed scheme with imperfect I-CSIT has degraded performance compared to the channel capacity with perfect CSIT. Also the imperfect channel knowledge has enhanced system performance compared with no CSIT case. The proposed technique can be used to reduce the overhead related to acquiring CSIT in distributed MIMO channels, especially for large-scale antenna systems.
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