

# Research and Reviews: Journal of Medical and Health Sciences

## Importance of Ethics in Today's Society: Special Emphasis on Medical Ethics

Kumar Babu G\*

Department of Pharmacy, Scient Institute of Pharmacy, Hyderabad.

### Review Article

Received: 08/05/2015

Accepted: 20/05/2015

Published: 05/06/2015

#### \*For Correspondence

Kumar Babu G  
Department of Pharmacy,  
Scient Institute of Pharmacy,  
Hyderabad.

Ethics, Clinical Research, Ethical  
dilemma, Bioethics, Health care  
workers.

#### ABSTRACT

Ethics is a philosophical discipline relating to concepts of good and bad in our moral life in community whereas bioethics is the application of ethics to the field of medication and healthcare. It is the analysis of the ethical issues in health care, health policy and health science. Bioethics is an activity; it is a shared, reflective analysis of ethical concerns in health care, health policy, and health science. Professional groups, hospitals, and certifying or accrediting boards such as the Joint Commission on the Accreditation of Health Care Organizations have emphasized the importance of ethical principles through their mission statements and procedures. Hence, in this context this review articles talks about various prevailing issues in the field of health care ethics and their importance for all the involved parties like patients, health care professionals and regulatory groups.

#### INTRODUCTION

Ethics, also known as moral philosophy, is the branch of philosophy that involves systematizing, defending, and recommending concepts of right and wrong conduct. The term ethics derives from the Ancient Greek word ethos which means habit or custom. Ethics in the broadest sense alludes to the worry that people have dependably had for making sense of how best to live. The logician Socrates is cited as saying in 399 B.C., "the most essential thing is not life, but rather the great life." We might all want to keep away from an existence that is dishonorable and dismal, entirely ailing in accomplishment, love, thoughtfulness, excellence, delight or effortlessness [1]. Yet what is the most ideal approach to accomplish the inverse of this – an existence that is satisfactory, as well as even magnificent and deserving of adoration? This is the issue that the investigation of ethics endeavors to reply [2,3]. This is additionally how we consider the lives of the individuals who have abandoned us: when we grieve our companions and friends and family, we comfort ourselves by recollecting the special solaces and delights they conveyed to our lives, and the lives of others; we recall the imaginative work they deserted, the issues they helped us explain, and the lovely demonstrations they performed, awesome and little [4]. Great specialists contribute wellbeing and essentiality to their patients and medicinal information to their assistants and partners [5]; fabulous educators develop learning, knowledge, aptitude and trust in their understudies and contribute the advantages of their examination to the more extensive group; astounding legal advisors contribute parity, decency and scholarly force to a bigger arrangement of equity [6,7].

#### What Does Practicing Ethics Mean?

The three major areas of study within ethics are: meta-ethics, normative ethics, and applied ethics. Numerous individuals have a tendency to liken ethics with their sentiments. At the same time, being moral is unmistakably not a matter of taking after one's sentiments [8,5]. An individual after his or her sentiments may pull back from doing the right thing. Truth be told, sentiments oftentimes digress from

what is moral <sup>[9]</sup>. Anyhow, ethics apply as much to the conduct of the nonbeliever as to that of the passionate religious individual. Religion can set high moral models and can give extreme inspirations to moral conduct. Ethics, then again, can't be kept to religion nor is it the same as religion <sup>[10-12]</sup>. Being moral is likewise not the same as taking after the law. The law frequently fuses moral benchmarks to which most residents subscribe <sup>[13]</sup>. Yet, laws, similar to sentiments, can veer off from what is moral. Our own particular pre-civil War subjugation laws and the old politically-sanctioned racial segregation laws of present-day South Africa are oddly evident samples of laws that stray from what is moral <sup>[14]</sup>.

At last, being moral does not imply doing "whatever society acknowledges." In any general public, the vast majority acknowledge measures that are, truth be told, moral. In any case, measures of conduct in the public eye can digress from what is moral <sup>[15]</sup>. A whole society can turn out to be morally degenerate. Nazi Germany is a decent case of an ethically degenerate society. In addition, if being moral were doing "whatever general public acknowledges," then to discover what is moral, one would need to figure out what society acknowledges <sup>[16,17]</sup>. To choose what I ought to think about fetus removal, for instance, I would need to take a review of American culture and after that adjust my convictions to whatever general public acknowledges. At the same time, nobody ever tries to choose a moral issue by doing a review. Further, the absence of social agreement on numerous issues makes it difficult to compare ethics with whatever general public acknowledges. A few individuals acknowledge fetus removal yet numerous others don't. In the event that being moral were doing whatever general public acknowledges, one would need to discover a concession to issues which does not, indeed, exist <sup>[18,19]</sup>.

What, then, is Ethics? Ethics is two things. To begin with, ethics alludes to very much established guidelines of good and bad that endorse what people should do, as a rule regarding rights, commitments, advantages to society, decency, or particular ideals <sup>[20]</sup>. Ethics, for instance, alludes to those measures that force the sensible commitments to shun assault, taking, homicide, ambush, criticism, and misrepresentation. Moral guidelines likewise incorporate those that charge ethics of genuineness, sympathy, and reliability <sup>[21]</sup>. Ethics additionally implies, then, the ceaseless exertion of examining our own ethical convictions and our ethical lead, and endeavoring to guarantee that we, and the foundations we help to shape, satisfy benchmarks that are sensible and emphatically based <sup>[22]</sup>.

## Introduction to Medical Ethics

Bioethics is the investigation of questionable ethics realized by advances in science and pharmaceutical <sup>[23]</sup>. Bioethicists are concerned with the moral inquiries that emerge in the connections among life sciences, biotechnology, prescription, governmental issues, law, and logic <sup>[24-27]</sup>. It additionally incorporates the investigation of the more typical inquiries of qualities (the ethics of the customary) that emerge in essential consideration and different branches of drug. Bioethics additionally needs to address developing biotechnologies that influence essential science and future people. These improvements incorporate cloning, quality treatment, human hereditary designing, astroethics and life in space, and control of fundamental science through changed DNA, XNA and proteins. Correspondingly, new bioethics additionally need to address life at its center <sup>[23,28,29]</sup>. Case in point, biotic ethics esteem natural quality/protein life itself and look to proliferate it. With such life-focused standards, ethics may secure a cosmological future forever <sup>[30,31]</sup>. Bioethics is multidisciplinary. It combines philosophy, theology, history, and law with medicine, nursing, health policy, and the medical humanities <sup>[32-34]</sup>. Bioethics covers the following areas: Biotech, Clinical/Medical, disability ethics, emerging tech, end of life, suicide, genetic ethics, global bioethics, healthcare, human enhancement, mental health, neuroethics, organ transplantation, public health, public policy, reproductive ethics, research ethics, Stem Cell research, women's health, cloning, culture, disaster ethics, eugenics, human dignity, global bioethics, etc <sup>[35]</sup>.

This comprehension perceives that ethics is a procedure, a procedure for making great, legitimized, solid choices, actually, professionally, and in the general population square—ethics is not a destination and the moral voyage never closes <sup>[36]</sup>. Eyes and ears are interested in change yet not only to change for change's purpose eyes and ears stay caution toward change in the administration of equity, change that improves something of the world <sup>[37]</sup>. Regularly we react to change with hesitation from one perspective and a feeling of inescapability on the other. Particularly in science and solution, the default

position rapidly and frequently unreflectively—turns into that we consider what we can do as the format for what we will—or put all the more firmly, must—do. It appears like, for example, modest stride from can, to will and we are effortlessly allured by the innovative basic [38]. Regardless of the attraction of the innovative basic, it will do nothing to vindicate us as researchers and worldwide nationals from the obligation to choose deliberately and brilliantly about innovative work, security and adequacy, showcasing and value focuses [39-41]. In this change-perplexed environment, it is no amaze that we discover ourselves battling by and by and professionally—with inquiries of ethics, inquiries regarding what is correct making and wrong-production about activities, intentions, and aims [42,43].

Advances in biosciences make eyes to shimmer, brains to race, and plans to take off. Be that as it may, alongside the "Stunning!" come inquiries, for example, "why this boulevard of examination?"[44] and "who will advantage?" and "at what cost?" indeed, it was these inquiries of "why" and "what for" that drove experts to leave their work on the impact of insusceptible reactions on blood platelet collection to seek after a vocation in bioethics [45].

### Prevailing Issues Involving Ethical Dilemmas

**Surrogacy:** Surrogacy is the procedure in which a lady conveys and conceives a child for the couple craving a youngster [46]. There are two fundamental sorts of surrogacy, gestational surrogacy and conventional surrogacy [47,48]. In gestational surrogacy, the incipient organism made by in vitro preparation, is exchanged is the reason for pregnancy though in conventional surrogacy the surrogate is impregnated actually or misleadingly however the subsequent tyke is hereditarily identified with the surrogate [49,50].

**End of Pregnancy:** The restorative procedure for completion pregnancy by evacuation of incipient organism before it has the capacity get by of its own so it doesn't bring about the conception of a child, is termed as premature birth [51]. It is otherwise called "end" or an "end of pregnancy" [52,53]. In the event that the fetus removal happens suddenly, it is termed as "premature delivery" or if the fetus removal is created deliberately, then it is known as "incited premature birth" [54]. In the event that the comparable procedure is done when the baby has the capacity get by and by, the term is therapeutically known as "late end of pregnancy" [55]. Contingent upon the development of embryo, the pregnancy is finished either by taking drug or by having a surgical strategy [56].

**Biobanks:** As an epistemic group, bioethics is partitioned by the diverse expert foundations of its individuals and united by the regular legitimating capacity they may be called upon to perform in the thought of moral issues encompassing the administration of biobanks [57]. As bioethicists have been given pride of spot in the administrative contraption of biobanks and supplanted the inexorably old technocratic model of experimental self-regulation. Troublesome issues of citizenship rights connected with the accumulation and capacity of hereditary information as far as educated assent, secrecy and obscurity, withdrawal, access and proprietorship have been brought inside of the transmit of worldwide and national bioethics boards, rightfully examined and approach proposals delivered and actualized [58,59].

**Child Deaths:** Unhealthiness is a basic reason for more than 2.6 million children passing consistently, 33% of the aggregate of kid passing. Each hour of each day, 300 youngsters bite the dust due to hunger however this is not recorded on death endorsements and, therefore, its not successfully tended to [60]. Around the world, an expected 171 million youngsters are hindered due to poor sustenance in the soonest months of life. More than 33% of youngsters in Asia are hindered, which represents very nearly 100 million of the worldwide aggregate. In Africa, very nearly two in five youngsters are hindered that is an aggregate of 60 million kids [61].

**Euthanasia:** Killing is the act of deliberately closure an existence with a specific end goal to calm torment and enduring. There are distinctive killing laws in every nation. The British House of Lords Select Committee on Medical Ethics characterizes willful extermination as "an intentional mediation embraced with the express expectation of completion an existence, to soothe obstinate enduring". Willful killing is

lawful in a few nations, U.S. states, and Canadian Provinces. Non-willful killing is unlawful in all nations. Automatic willful extermination is generally viewed as homicide. Starting 2006, killing is the most dynamic territory of exploration in contemporary bioethics [62].

**The stem cell Research:** The immature microorganism contention is the thought of the ethics of exploration including the improvement, use, and devastation of human incipient organisms. Most regularly, this contention concentrates on embryonic foundational microorganisms [63]. Not all undeveloped cell exploration includes the creation, use and devastation of human fetuses. Case in point, grown-up foundational microorganisms, amniotic undifferentiated cells and instigated pluripotent immature microorganisms don't include making, utilizing or obliterating human developing lives and in this manner are negligibly, if by any means, questionable [64]. The status of the human developing life and human embryonic undifferentiated cell examination is a dubious issue as, with the current situation with innovation, the formation of a human embryonic immature microorganism line requires the pulverization of a human incipient organism. The basic declaration of the individuals who restrict embryonic undifferentiated cell exploration is the conviction that human life is sacred, consolidated with the conviction that human life starts when a sperm cell prepares an egg cell to shape a solitary cell. A part of undifferentiated cell analysts use fetuses that were made yet not utilized as a part of vitro fruitfulness medicines to determine new immature microorganism lines. Spinal line wounds and Parkinson's ailment are two illustrations that have been championed by prominent media identities. The foreseen health advantages of undeveloped cell exploration add desperation to the civil arguments, which has been engaged by defenders of embryonic foundational microorganism research [65].

**End of Life Patients:** Individuals drawing closer the end of life experience some physical and passionate changes. In case you're caring for somebody with a terminal sickness you may think that it helpful to comprehend what's in store, and what should be possible to make things as serene and quiet as would be prudent [66,67]. In case you're not prepared to peruse the data on these pages yet you can return to them whenever. It can be exceptionally hard to consider your own particular needs when a companion or relative is nearing the end of their life [68]. Be that as it may, getting help with things like suppers and shopping, and approaching individuals for backing, can make it simpler to adapt [69]. The proposals underneath are gone for relatives and companions of individuals who are being tended to in a hospice, nursing home or healing facility. So, as a matter of first importance, plan to put one's ordinary life on hold.

**Nanomedicine:** Nanomedicine not just can possibly change medicinal science drastically however to open another field of human improvements that is ready to include a significant and complex arrangement of moral inquiries for social insurance experts. The subject of whether nanotechnology ought to be utilized to roll out deliberate improvements in or to the body when the change is not medicinally vital is only one interesting issue in an extensive rundown of concerns [70]. The uplifting news is that these inquiries are being asked, however there is still much work to be done, yet in spite of the colossal guarantee of nanomedicine, and the impressive subsidizing going into the handle, the exploration into the moral, lawful and social ramifications of nanomedicine is relatively minute [71].

**Biological Weapons:** Biological Weapons are complex frameworks that scatter sickness creating living beings or poisons to mischief or execute people, creatures or plants. They for the most part comprise of two sections – a weaponized specialists and a conveyance system. Notwithstanding key or strategic military applications, natural weapons can be utilized for political deaths, the contamination of domesticated animals or agrarian produce to bring about nourishment deficiencies and financial misfortune, the production of ecological disasters, and the presentation of far reaching sickness, trepidation and doubt among general society [72]. Any infection bringing on living being, (for example, microscopic organisms, infections, parasites, prions or rickettsiae) or poison (toxins got from creatures, plants or microorganisms, or comparable substances delivered artificially) can be utilized as a part of natural weapons.

**Medical Futility:** The objective of prescription is to help the debilitated. Doctors have no commitment to offer medicines that don't advantage patients. Purposeless intercessions may expand a persistent's torment and uneasiness in the last days and weeks of life; give patients and family false trust; delay palliative and solace mind; and exhaust limited therapeutic assets [73,74]. Be that as it may, figuring out which mediations are gainful to a patient can be troublesome, since the patient or surrogate may see a mediation as helpful while the doctor does not. Doctors ought to take after expert benchmarks, and ought to consider observational studies and their own clinical experience when making uselessness judgments [75]. They ought to likewise demonstrate affectability to patients and families in doing choices to withhold or withdraw pointless mediations. Despite the fact that the moral necessity to regard understanding self-governance qualifies a patient for browse among therapeutically satisfactory treatment choices (or to reject all alternatives), it doesn't qualifies patients for get whatever medications they request [76]. Rather, the commitments of doctors are constrained to offering medications that are reliable with expert measures of consideration and that present advantage to the patient [77].

**Nursing Ethics:** Albeit a lot of nursing ethics can seem like therapeutic ethics, there are a few elements that separate it. Brier-Mackie recommends that nurture's attention on consideration and sustains, as opposed to cure of ailment, results in unmistakable ethics [78]. Moreover, nursing ethics underscores the ethics of ordinary practice as opposed to good quandaries. Nursing ethics is more concerned with adding to the minding relationship than more extensive standards, for example, beneficence and equity [79]. The refinement can be analyzed from diverse hypothetical edges. In spite of the move toward more deontological subjects by some, there keeps on being an enthusiasm for goodness ethics in nursing ethics and some backing for an ethic of consideration. This is considered by its backers to stress connections over theoretical standards and consequently to mirror the minding relationship in nursing more precisely than other moral perspectives [80]. Topics that stress the nobility of the patient by advancing a conscious and minding disposition from attendants are additionally generally seen [81].

**Using Human and Animals in Medical Experiments:** Investigations involving human or animals are broadly used to develop new prescriptions and to test the wellbeing of different items [82]. A significant number of these examinations bring about torment to the creatures included or decrease their personal satisfaction in different ways [83]. In the event that it is ethically wrong to bring about creatures to endure then investigating creatures produces genuine good issues. Creature experimenters are extremely mindful of this moral issue and recognize that trials ought to be made as accommodating as could reasonably be expected [84]. They likewise concur that its inappropriate to utilize creatures if option testing strategies would deliver just as substantial results. The case for creature investigations is that they will deliver such extraordinary advantages for mankind that it is ethically adequate to mischief a couple of creatures [85].

**Organ Donation:** Transplants include the gift of organs starting with one individual then onto the next and are the best conceivable treatment for the vast majority with organ disappointment [86]. Kidney transplants are the most generally performed. Transplants of the heart, liver and lungs are likewise consistently completed [87]. As solution advances, other essential organs including the pancreas and little entrail are being utilized as a part of transplants. Tissue, for example, corneas, heart valves, skin and bone can likewise be given. New York Times investigation of real organ trafficking cases subsequent to 2000 proposes that Israeli organ agents have assumed lopsided part in worldwide organ exchange [88]. The UK has performed its first fruitful organ transplants from an infant. The contributor was a 6-day-old infant young lady who was conceived with serious cerebrum harm. The specialists express profound gratitude to the "compelling liberality" of the folks; a patient with kidney disappointment has gotten their child's kidneys and another debilitated patient has gotten her liver cells [89].

**Clinical Research:** Clinical examination ethics are the situated of important ethics considered in the behavior of a clinical trial in the field of clinical exploration. It obtains from the more extensive fields of examination ethics and medicinal ethics [90,91]. Members in clinical examination have rights which they ought to expect, including the following [92]:

- Right to Informed assent
- Shared choice making
- Privacy for examination members
- Return of results
- Right to withdraw

There is a scope of self-rule which consider members may have in choosing their interest in clinical exploration [93]. Specialists allude to populaces which have low independence as "helpless populaces"; these are gatherings which will be unable to decently choose for themselves whether to take an interest in clinical trials [94]. Cases of gatherings which are powerless populaces incorporate imprisoned persons, youngsters, detainees, fighters, individuals under confinement, vagrants, persons displaying craziness or whatever other condition which blocks their self-rule, and to a lesser degree, any populace for which there is motivation to accept that the examination study could appear to be especially or unreasonably influential or misdirecting. There are specific moral issues utilizing youngsters as a part of clinic [95-97].

### **The Relationship between Healthcare Workers and Patients**

Ethics are not discretionary in prescription: they are a crucial and basic piece of social insurance. A typical ethical code for everyone included in human services is possibly important and is to be invited; however the part and impediments of such a code need to be perceived [98,99]. An ethical code can't give the response to particular ethical issues. As opposed to managing specific activities a code ought to portray the ethical environment for the conveyance of human services and mirror its character and general methodology [100]. An ethical code ought not to attempt to make subjective parts of care more goal or separate worth from viable circumstances: it is in the way of the work of callings that there stays singular obligation regarding ethical practice. In the event that tested, ethical codes can't clarify why moral judgments ought to be made or give a firm defense for making those judgments; considered, singular good judgments themselves are more fundamental and oblige no more significant reference [101-104]. Ethical codes can give shape and structure to our ethical surroundings and condense our ethical position while leaving ethical obligation with the individual expert. Taken a gander at thusly, singular variety and individual issues can be considered. An ethical code can encourage the dialog of ethical issues in troublesome cases, and particular ethical positions can be built up and contended, prompting more extensive and more secure good conclusions [105]. An ethical code can depict the ethical disposition that are shared via human services specialists, and in this it can be tremendously significant and persuasive. However, what it can't do is give the certain responses to the numerous ethical issues experienced over the span of restorative practice [106-108].

As patients have self-rule situated in their pride as persons, so do doctors, medical attendants, and drug specialists. Nobody would be able to request that anybody does something that damages his or her most profound expert convictions [109]. When you infringe on the self-sufficiency of others, your self-sufficiency is likewise constrained. Requesting that a specialist perform a treatment he or she considered harmful would be a case [110]. There ought to be equalization. Moral impartiality is a myth. Everyone has values. Self-sufficiency is itself a worth. Bioethicists offer some pragmatic proposals for how this equalization could be accomplished in this present reality [111]. One was for doctors to make a handout laying out their important individual standards so that patients could settle on educated choices when they chose their health awareness supplier about whether their conviction frameworks would be perfect. Patients are in an ideal situation with a doctor who is a man of rule [112,113].

### **Regulatory Transparency: Technical, legal and ethical aspects**

Subsequently, in the field of wellbeing regulation, the wide access to information of medications and related specialized reports, particularly clinical trials information, is in the extension at FDA, EMA and ANVISA [114]. A truth to be assessed is the means by which exposure approaches are constructed, stressing the administrative effect investigation and recognizing the dangers and advantages included [10,115]. Accordingly, is fundamental the examination of the lawful, specialized and moral angles included

in the attention of information, for example, patent rights, privacy of business data, protection of exploration subjects, tenets of engagement for getting to, clinical trials registers, electronic application, and additionally characterizing the breaking points of access and exposure [52,116-119]. The openness will empower open examination and auxiliary investigation likely bringing about change of the items quality and general wellbeing improvement and also the social responsibility, yet a few limits ought to be regard to not disappoint ventures on bio-pharmaceutical innovative work (R&D) alongside not to predisposition the Agency's choice making procedure, from this point forward, divulgements strategies has significant effect over administrative environment [120-125].

## CONCLUSION

The importance of an ethical basis for medical practice has been emphasized in recent years. Several groups and countries have called for a broadly embraced, basic curriculum in ethics for students in the medical profession [126-128]. Various principles and values stated in numerous oaths and declarations form the basis for ethical practices in health care. The Hippocratic Oath is but one of these many oaths and declarations that have been recited by entering and newly graduated physicians over the centuries. Despite differences, these works often emphasize several common values orientations or ethical principles, including beneficence, nonmaleficence, justice, respect for patient autonomy, and confidentiality [129-131]. So, I would like to suggest that physicians and other health care professionals have an increased rate of burnout when they perceive that their ethical standards or values are not shared by the health care organizations with which they are affiliated. An emphasis on ethical practices, a values orientation in medicine, and the ability to negotiate differences may benefit not only patients but physicians and other health care professionals as well.

## REFERENCES

1. Mehwish Akram and Muhammad Kamran Khan Azad. Attitude Towards Business Ethics: Comparison of Public and Private Organizations in Pakistan .IJEMS. (2011); 1:3.
2. Nhung T. Nguyen. Ethics in Employee Selection: What You Want to Know but are Afraid to Ask. J Bus & Fin Aff. (2013); 2:1
3. Mosconi P, Lionello L, Spazio LD, Alberghini L. Are the Voices of Women and Men Equally Represented in Ethics Committees? An Italian Survey. J Clinic Res Bioeth. (2012); 3:1.
4. James Cummings.The Problem of Randomization within a Standard of Care Range: A Case Study. J Clinic Res Bioeth.(2015); 6:1.
5. Nyangara D, Nyangara MR, Mazviona BW. An Essay on the Ethical and Corporate Governance Issues in the 2003/4 Zimbabwean Banking Crisis . Int J Econ Manag Sci. (2014); 3:4.
6. Lawson S, Seroskie S, Mayall T, Douglas B, Jebson L. The Emergence of Resourced Research Services. J Clinic Res Bioeth. (2015); 6:2.
7. Haker H. The New Culture of Security and Surveillance. J Pol Sci Pub Aff. (2015); 3:1.
8. Dr.Sh. Biabani, S. Asadbeigi. Surveying the Impact of Ethics and Professional Conduct of Employees on Customer Loyalty. IJRSET. (2013); 2:11.
9. Hille Haker. The New Culture of Security and Surveillance. J Pol Sci Pub Aff. (2015); 3:1.
10. Muhammad Wasim Akbar, Muhammad Riaz Raza, Shahid Hussain and Zafar Ali. Photojournalism in Pakistan: Ethics and Responsibilities Analysis of Urdu Newspapers Front Pages. J Mass Communicat Journalism. (2012); 2:4.
11. Anna PiazzaGardner and Adam E. Barry. A Drink Best Not Served: Conflicts of Interests When the Alcohol Industry Seeks To Inform Public Health Practice and Policy. J Clinic Res Bioeth. (2011); S:4
12. Christine Lynn. Review of Hospitality Ethics Research in 2009 and 2010. J Hotel Business Manage. (2012);

13. Umesh Chandra Gupta, Shivakant Mishra, Sandeep Bhatia, Rastogi Rajul and Amit Sharma, Evaluating the Strategies on Ethical Grounds for Phase 0 Oncology Clinical Trials. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:2.
14. Aletheia Peters Bajotto and Jose Roberto Goldim. Case-Report: Autonomy and Self Determination of an Elderly Population in South Brazil. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:2.
15. Bertha Alvarez Manninen. Parental, Medical, and Sociological Responsibilities: "Octomom" as a Case Study in the Ethics of Fertility Treatments. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); S:1.
16. Khurram Zafar Awan and Mehvish Akram. The Relationship between Islamic Work Ethics and Innovation Capability and Knowledge Sharing Plays Moderation Role. *IJEMS.* (2011); 1: 8.
17. Wettstein MF, Ferreira Alves LN, Goldim JR. Bioethics and Food Restrictions by Religious Motivations: Decision Making Processes in Health. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:1.
18. Dunn M, Sheehan M, Parker M, Hope T. Toward Methodological Innovation in Empirical Ethics Research. *Camb Q Healthc Ethics.* (2012); 21:4.
19. Hedgecoe A. Critical Bioethics: Beyond the Social Science Critique. *Bioethics.* (2004); 18:2.
20. Akabom Ita Asuquo, and Aniefiok Udoh Akpan. Professional Ethics as Instruments for Effective and Efficient Financial Management in the Nigerian Public Sectors: A Scientific Approach. *IJAITI.* (2012); 1:2.
21. Tuviah Zabow. Modern psychiatry ? a change in ethics?. *Afr J Psychiatry.* (2004); 7:23-26.
22. Zubin Master, Nola M. Ries and Timothy Caulfield. Balancing Efficiency and the Protection of Research Participants: Canadian Allergy/Asthma Researchers' Perspectives on the Ethics Review of Multi-Site Health Research. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:5
23. <http://www.scu.edu/ethics/practicing/focusareas/medical/dilemmas.html>
24. Gayle Cartland Langley RN and Anthony Egan SJ. The Ethics of Care in Biomedical Research Committees. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.*(2011); 3:1.
25. Sarah Mohammad Iqbal Chagani. Telling the Truth - A Tussle between Four Principles of Ethics. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:2.
26. Nandi Shah, Sukhpreet Kaur, Sandra Rome and Parag Bharadwaj. Modern Medicine: Creating Ethical Dilemmas and Overcoming Them. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 3:2.
27. Mette Ebbesen, Svend Andersen and Birthe D. Pedersen. Further Development of Beauchamp and Childress' Theory Based on Empirical Ethics. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013); S:6.
28. Duncan Neuhauser, Mary Morrissey and Mark Votruba. Your Human Subjects Review Process: A Road Block or a Competitive Advantage? *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 3:1.
29. Jonsen, Albert R., et al. *Clinical ethics: a practical approach to ethical decisions in clinical medicine.* McGraw Hill, Medical Pub. Division. (2006);
30. John M. Freeman. Reflections on Clinical Ethics. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2010); 1:1.
31. Ives J. A method of reflexive balancing in a pragmatic, interdisciplinary and reflexive bioethics. *Bioethics.* (2014); 28:6.
32. Frith L. Symbiotic bioethics: a practical methodology. *Bioethics.* (2010); 26:4.
33. Borry P, Schotsmans P, Dierickx K. The birth of the empirical turn in bioethics. *Bioethics.* (2005); 19:1.
34. De Vries R. How can we help? From 'Sociology In' to 'Sociology Of' Bioethics. *J Law and med Ethics.* (2004); 32:32.
35. David Rutherford, Li Wei, Isla S Mackenzie and Thomas M MacDonald. The Philosophy of doing Observational Medicines Safety Research. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 3:1.
36. Sanjay Chaturvedi. Reluctance to Advance the Age of Measles Immunisation: Ethics of Best Bargain, Policies of Denial, and Programs of Verticality. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2015); 6:2.
37. Priya Venkatesan Hays. Whence Social Determinants of Health?: Effective Personalized Medicine and the 2010 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); S:5.



38. Farida Nezhmetdinova. Is 3D-Printer Dangerous? Bioethics as an Instrument of the Humanitarian Expertise of Modern Technologies. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013); 4:3.
39. Siddharth Sarkar. Ethical Issues Relating to Faith Healing Practices in South Asia: A Medical Perspective. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:4.
40. Stephen Napier. The U.S. Regulations and the Protection of Pediatric Subjects. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); S:2.
41. Béranger J. The Transformation of Society towards Ethical Ideal Surrounding Medical Data and Information. *J Gen Pract* 2013, 2:1
42. Marc Freiman, Joshua Minsky and Michael A Grodin. Initiating Tube Feeding in the Demented Elderly: Motivation for Change. *J Palliat Care Med.* (2013); 3:4.
43. David Alvargonzález. Alzheimer's Disease and the Conflict between Ethics, Morality and Politics. *J Alzheimers Dis Parkinsonism.* (2013); S:10.
44. Beminet Moges, Amsalu Feleke, Solomon Meseret and Feleke Doyore. Magnitude of Stunting and Associated Factors Among 6-59 Months Old Children in Hossana Town, Southern Ethiopia. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2015); 6:1.
45. Selected Abstracts from the International Summer School of Bioethics, Public Health and Health Management and the VIth International Congress of Oro-dental Health and Management in the Black Sea Countries, which took place in Istanbul and then in Constanta from 23–30 May 2009. *Oral Health Dent Manag* 8: 382.
46. Richard F. Storrow. The Ethics of Exclusion in Infertility Care. *J Clinic Res Bioeth* 2011, 2:4.
47. John D Loike and Ruth L Fischbach. New Ethical Horizons in Gestational Surrogacy. *JIVF Reprod Med Genet.* (2013); 1:2.
48. Heather Jackson and Kristin Schuller. The ACA Controversy: Women's Rights versus Religious Freedom. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:3.
49. Stephen Napier. Reproductive Ethics: Aequatio and Dialogical Virtues. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013); S:1.
50. Jennifer Flynn. Embryo Donation for Pregnancy: Psychology, Philosophy and Policy. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); S:1.
51. Carlos Y Valenzuela. The Ontogenetic Origin of Human Beings in the Scientific-Ethics Perspective and its Implications on Abortion. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 4:3.
52. Faheem Akhtar. A conceptual study about legal and illegal abortion Circumstances in Pakistan and its effects on the social ethics of the society. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review.* (2012); 1:9.
53. Lori Allesee and Colleen M. Gallagher. Pregnancy and Protection: the Ethics of Limiting a Pregnant Woman's Participation in Clinical Trials. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:2.
54. Gretchen QuenstedtMoe. Using Forgiveness as an Intervention for Healing in Women Who Experience Abuse. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:4.
55. Giuseppe Benagiano and Claudio Sarteà. Induced Abortion Cannot Become a Human Right. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013); 4:3.
56. Francisco Javier Leoacuten Correa. Abortion from a Bioethical Viewpoint: Autonomy and Beneficency versus Justice?. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013); 4:3.
57. Tamsin E Tarling, Caron Strahlendorf, Kirk R Schultz, Ruth Milner and Suzanne M Vercauteren. Verbal Permission to Obtain Clinically Urgent Bio-Specimens for a Paediatric Biobank. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:6.
58. Anton A van Niekerk. Three Ethical Issues in the Development of Public Genetic Health Policies in Africa. *J AIDS Clin Res.* (2014); 5:12.
59. Viroj Wiwanitkit. Ethics for Care Report Reporting. *J Clin Case Rep.* (2014); 4:5.

60. Frank A Chervenak and Laurence B McCullough. The Professional Responsibility Model of Ethics in Perinatal Medicine. *J Health Med Informat.* (2013); S:11.
61. Zahed Ghaderi. Sexual Exploitation of Children in Tourist Destinations and Global Code of Ethics. *J Tourism Hospit.* (2013); 2:1.
62. Dragan Pavlovic, Alexander Spassov and Christian Lehmann. Euthanasia: In Defense of A Good, Ancient Word. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:1.
63. Idiberto Jos Zotarelli Filho. Chitosan-collagen scaffolds can regulate the biological activities of adipose mesenchymal stem cells for tissue engineering. *Proceedings of 5th World Congress on Cell and Stem Cell Research. J Cell Sci Ther.* (2015); 6:2.
64. Jonathan Schwartz. The ethics of stem cell procedures globally. *J Clin Exp Dermatol Res.* (2014); 5:4.
65. Oleksandr Kukharchuk. Ukrainian experience of fetal stem cells transplantation for severe human diseases treatment: 13 years of clinical applications in legal field. *J Cell Sci Ther.* (2012); 3:8.
66. Antonio Facciorusso, Serena Michelini, Mario Stanislao, Mario Fanelli and Guido Valle. To Switch off or not to Switch Off? Case Report and Ethical Issues on Defibrillator Deactivation in End-Of-Life Patients. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:2.
67. Mohamed Y. Rady, Joseph L. Verheijde and Michael Potts. Quality Palliative Care or Physician-assisted Death: A Comment on the French Perspective of End-of-life Care in Neurological Disorders. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:3.
68. Sajid Iqbal. Accepting End of Life Care Realities - When the Choices are Limited. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013); 4:1.
69. Yasar Albushra Abdul Rahiem Ahmed and Tarig Mohamed Ali. End of Life Ethics in Cancer Patients: Conflicts and Dilemmas. *J Palliat Care Med.* (2013); S:2.
70. Abdolhassan Kazemi, Maryam Majidinia and Ali Akbar Jamali. The Question of Ethics in Nanomedicine. *J Clinic Res Bioeth* (2014); 5:2.
71. Clare ShelleyEgan and Diana Megan Bowman. The Challenge of Distributing Regulatory Responsibilities for Unknown Risks: 'Nano'-Cosmetics and the EU Cosmetics Regulation as a Case Study. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2015); 6:3.
72. Martin Olsen. Teaching Bioterrorism Preparedness with Simulation - The Pneumonic Plague Example. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:1.
73. JeanLouis Vincent. When ICU Treatment Becomes Futile. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:4.
74. Colleen M. Gallagher and Ryan F. Holmes. Retrospective Review of Medical Futility and Ethics Consultations at MD Anderson Cancer Center. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:1.
75. Masayo Uji. The Role of Clinical Psychiatry in Nurturing Autonomy in Female Japanese Patients with Pathological Personality Traits. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013); 4:3.
76. Fadi AbouMrad and Lubna Tarabey. Cultural Diversity and Quality Care in Lebanon. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 3:3.
77. Laila Akber Cassum. Refusal to Autopsy: A Societal Practice in Pakistan Context. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:1.
78. Shahzia Lambat Emery, Reto Auer, Nicolas Senn, Isabella Locatelli and Jacques Cornuz. General Practitioners' willingness to Pay for Continuing Medical Education in a Fee-for-service Universal Coverage Health Care System. *J Gen Pract.* (2014); 2:2.
79. Carey S Clark. Stress, Psychoneuroimmunology and Self-care: What Every Nurse Needs to Know. *J Nurs Care.* (2014); 3:4.
80. Brenda Green. Applying Feminist Ethics of Care to Nursing Practice. *J Nursing Care.* (2012); 1:2.
81. Özcan M, Ersoy N. Attitudes of Neonatal Intensive Care Nurses and Physicians Regarding Quality and Value of Life: Preliminary Results of a Turkish Survey. *J Palliat Care Med.* (2012); S:1

82. Anton A van Niekerk. Three Ethical Issues in the Development of Public Genetic Health Policies in Africa. *J AIDS Clin Res.* (2014); 5:3.
83. Kris Dierickx, Seppe Deckx and Kristien Hens. The Ethics of Gene Doping: A Survey of Elite Athletes and Academic Professionals. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 3:1.
84. Paraskevi Theofilou. The Effect of Sociodemographic Features and Beliefs about Medicines on Adherence to Chronic Kidney Disease Treatment. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 3:2.
85. Audrey R. Chapman. Addressing the Ethical Challenges of First in-Human Trials. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:1.
86. Yutaka Kato. One Year since the First and Only Organ Procurement Case from a Brain-Dead Child Donor Under 6 Years of Age in Japan (Case Report). *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013); 4:3.
87. Jason D Hans and Erin L Yelland. American Attitudes in Context: Posthumous Sperm Retrieval and Reproduction. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013). S:1
88. Jason D Hans and Laura M Frey. American Attitudes in Context: Posthumous Use of Cryopreserved Gametes. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011). S:1
89. Andrew V. Scott and Walter E. Block. Organ Transplant: Using the Free Market Solves the Problem. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:1.
90. Nida Khan. The Post-Research Ethical Obligations of the Research Enterprise in Developing Countries. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:1.
91. Julius Oyugi, Cisily Meeme, Nadia Chanzu, Julie Ambia, Jesse Gitaka, et. al. Teaching Research Ethics on Clinical Trials to Multidisciplinary and International Trainees in Global Infectious Disease Research. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:4.
92. Miriam Valentini, Daniela DAlonzo, Maria Celeste Pirozzoli, Giuseppe Lucisano and Antonio Nicolucci, (2013) Application of a Readability Score in Informed Consent forms for Clinical Studies. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013); 4:3.
93. Chukwunke FN, Ekwueme OC, Ezeonu PO, Onyire BN and Ifebunandu N. The Challenges in Enrolment and Retention of African Women in Clinical Trials: A Pilot Study in Nigeria. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 3:2.
94. Geetanjali Murari. Clinical Research and its Condition in India. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 4:2.
95. Juntra Karbwang and Kesara NaBangchang. Practical Application of International Standards and Guidelines to Address Ethical Challenges in Clinical Trials. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 4:2.
96. Howard Trachtman. Recruitment into Clinical Trials: Between Rocks and Hard Places. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:3.
97. Ruckmani A, Vishaly S, ArunKumar R, Lakshmi pathy Prabhu R and Priya A. Assessment of Barriers in Subject Recruitment for Clinical Trials. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011). 3:2.
98. Halvor Nordby. Ethics in Paramedic Services: Patients' Right to Make Their Own Choices in a Pre-hospital Setting. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014). 5:2.
99. Sahreen Malik Bhanji. Respect and Unconditional Positive Regard as Mental Health Promotion Practice. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 4:3.
100. Limentani AE. An ethical code for everybody in health care: The role and limitations of such a code need to be recognized. *BMJ : British Medical Journal.* (1998); 316(7142).
101. Sahreen Malik Bhanji. Health Care Ethics. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 4:3.
102. Robin Pierce. Between Prevention and Treatment. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 3:2.
103. MeiHsiu Lee. Ethical Analysis of Taiwanese Psychiatric Patient's Autonomy: By Jonsen's Decision Making Model and Confucianism. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 3:4.
104. Rohtesh S Mehta. Role of Race in Survival among Patients Who Refuse the Recommended Surgery for Early Stage Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: A Seer Cohort Study. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:1.

105. Misbahuddin Mohammad, Farida Ahmad, Syed Z Rahman, Varun Gupta and Tariq Salman. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Bioethics among Doctors in a Tertiary Care Government Teaching Hospital in India. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:2.
106. Gabriel Agboado and Judith Mills. Determinants of Emergency Hospital Admissions among Patients in Blackpool, England: Population-Based Cross-Sectional Study. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:3.
107. Pamela Tozzo, Viviana Ananian and Luciana Caenazzo. The Rehabilitation Setting of Terminal Cancer Patients: Listening, Communication, and Trust. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:2.
108. Khan H, Punjani NS, Bhanji SM. Ethics Governing Medical Negligence in Clinical Practice. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:1.
109. Saurabh Ram Bihari Lal Shrivastava, Prateek Saurabh Shrivastava and Jegadeesh Ramasamy. Protocol of a Clinical Trial: Elements, Concerns and Potential Solutions. *Biol Med (Aligarh).* (2015); 7:2.
110. Aas E, AlstadsÅ,ter A, Feiring E. Does Healthcare Moderate the Impact of Socioeconomic Status on Self-rated Health? *J Clin Res Bioeth.* (2013); 5:2.
111. Virginia Dube. Navigating the Libido Dominandi: Intricate Realities of Forensic Psychiatry Research Ethics in Zimbabwe. *J Psychiatry.* (2015); 3:4.
112. Neelam Saleem Punjani. Truth Telling to Terminally Ill Patients: To Tell or not to Tell. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013); 4:6.
113. Kathryn L. Howe and Mark Bernstein. Privacy Concerns in a Surgical Environment. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013); 4:4.
114. Manoj Karwa, Saurabh Arora and Shilpa Garg Agrawal. Recent Regulatory Amendment in Schedule Y: Impact on Bioequivalence Studies Conducted In India. *J Bioequiv Availab.* (2013); 5:2.
115. Lubna Ghazal, Zulekha Saleem and Gulzar Amlani. A Medical Error: To Disclose or Not to Disclose. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:1.
116. Edwin Etieyibo. The Case of Competency and Informed Consent. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2013); 5:12.
117. Michael B Gorin, Maria Carolina Ortube, Orlando Machuca, Carlos Carballo, Arthur Rupchian, et. al. A Novel Web-Based Clinical Research Tool for a Nationwide Research Study. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2012); 4:3.
118. Cara Barbisian, Rebecca A Greenberg and Randi Zlotnik Shau. The Social Media Effect: Examining Usage in Contentious Healthcare Cases. *J Clinic Res Bioeth* 2013, 4:1.
119. Neil R Smalheiser. Sometimes Non-IRB Approved Research Deserves A Second Look. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2011); 2:2.
120. Paraizo Claudia Borges. Technology, Inclusion and Ethics. *J Comput Sci Syst Biol.* (2015); 8:3.
121. Davis Nyangara, Melody R Nyangara and Batsirai W Mazviona. An Essay on the Ethical and Corporate Governance Issues in the 2003/4 Zimbabwean Banking Crisis. *Int J Econ & Manag Sci.* (2014); 3:4.
122. S. Senthilkumar, T. Shanmugapriya. Ethical Reflections on Health Care Robotics. *IJIRCCE.* (2015); 2:3.
123. Bernard Asamoah Barnie, Paa Kobina Forson, Mercy Naa Aduelle OpareAddo, John AppiahPoku, Gyikua Plange Rhule, et. al. Knowledge and Perceptions of Health Workers™ Training on Ethics, Confidentiality and Medico-Legal Issues. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2015); 6:2.
124. Shahid Athar. A Gift of Life: An Islamic Perspective in Organ Donation and Transplantation. *J Transplant Technol Res.* (2015); 5:3.
125. Acharya RP and Maharjan RK. Ethical Analysis of Medical Futility in Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:2.

126. Muslim Shah. Withdrawal Life Support and Let Dying Ill Patients: Right or Wrong Decision. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:2.
127. Zaina P Qureshi, Charles Bennett, Terhi Hermanson, Ronnie Horner, Rifat Haider, et. al. The Ethical Dilemma Surrounding Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Screening. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2015); 6:1.
128. Joseph Tham, A Catholic Reflects on Dialogue in the Abortion Debate. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:3.
129. NBSP Arabiat DH. Cultural Perspective from Middle Eastern Countries on the Ethics of Involving Children with Cancer in Research. *Clinics Mother Child Health.* (2014); 2:1.
130. Alireza Bagheri. Medical Futility: Is a Policy Needed?. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:2.
131. Sonia Ali ElSaiedi. Randomized Controlled Trial on the Use of Intravenous Immune Globulin in Acute Pediatric Myocarditis. *J Clinic Res Bioeth.* (2014); 5:2.