Investigation of the Effect of Partial Heating in an Internally Heated Vertical Annulus

Shahid Husain¹, Jawed Mustafa ² and M Altamush Siddiqui³
Research Scholar, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Zakir Husain College of Engineering and Technology, A.M.U, Aligarh, U.P, India¹
Guest Faculty, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Zakir Husain College of Engineering and Technology, A.M.U, Aligarh, U.P, India²
Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Zakir Husain College of Engineering and Technology, A.M.U, Aligarh, U.P, India³

ABSTRACT: Numerical Analysis has been carried out for transient two dimensional heat transfer and fluid flow during natural convection of a fixed Prandtl number (0.71) fluid through an internally heated vertical annulus. The governing continuity, Navier stokes and energy equations have been solved using finite volume SIMPLER technique. The governing equations are solved on a staggered mesh. The solutions are obtained for an aspect ratio of 10 and radius ratio of 2 by varying the heating length from full to 50%. The MPI parallel programming technique was used for domain decomposition and parallelization of code. The transient behavior of the system has been discussed in detail.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The buoyancy induced flow occurs in geophysical, astrophysical and environmental phenomena and is also made use of in solar energy devices, thermo-siphons, nuclear reactors and in the cooling of electronic equipments and turbine blades. In the last few decades, significant interest has been shown on the modelling and control of thermal convection loops. The absence of moving components drastically reduces the probability of a failure in the removal of heat from the source. In fact, this is the main reason why natural convection is preferred to forced convection in Nuclear energy plants where safety is a primary requirement. The refrigeration of reactors in nuclear power plants and electrical machine rotor cooling therefore represent the main applications of closed-loop thermostyphon. Some important applications in which closed-loop thermostyphons are preferred to forced circulation loops are those in which the absence of pumping elements allows a considerable cost reduction, like in geothermal plants or solar heaters that have low-temperature thermal sources but a relatively high circulating flow rate or, finally, where the pumping system cannot be conveniently positioned, as in cooling systems for internal combustion engines, turbine blade cooling or computer cooling. The natural convection heat transfer in vertical annuli has also a wide application in the field of engineering. The annulus represents a common geometry employed in a variety of heat transfer systems, ranging from a simple heat exchanger to the most complicated nuclear reactors[Sankar and Younghae (2010)].

Numerical studies have been performed by many researchers on the internally heated vertical annulus. El-Shaarawi and Khamis [1987] investigated the laminar natural convection through an open-ended vertical annulus with a rotating inner cylinder, with one uniformly heated boundary and one adiabatic boundary. The boundary-layer equations have been solved using finite-difference scheme for a fluid of Pr = 0.7 in an annulus of radius ratio 0.5. Quere and Pecheux [1989] have examined numerically the behavior of the solutions of the Boussinesq equations in a tall, differentially heated, air filled annulus. Kumar and Kalam [1991] performed numerical investigation of natural convective heat transfer of a fluid in a vertical cylindrical annuli with inner wall maintained at higher temperature than the outer cylinder while the top and bottom plates are insulated. They performed numerical analysis for 10< Ra <10⁶, 1< k < 5 and 0.3< A <10 and compared the results with experimental data and developed correlation for heat transfer rate. El-
Shaarawi and Al-Aattas (1992) developed a finite-difference scheme for solving the boundary layer equations during the unsteady laminar free convection flow in open ended vertical concentric annuli. The numerical results for a fluid of Pr = 0.7 in an annulus of radius ratio 0.5 were presented showing the developing velocity and pressure fields with respect to space and time. Sankar [2008] performed a numerical study of laminar double-diffusive natural convection in an open ended vertical cylindrical annulus with unheated entry and unheated exit. Sankar [2008] solved the steady continuity, momentum and energy equations using finite difference technique. Sankar in his study considered uniform wall temperatures, uniform heat flux and uniform mass flux on both boundaries. The results show that there is a severe effect of the unheated entry and exit on the heat and mass transfer rates. Sankar and Younghae [2010] investigated the effect of discrete heating on convection heat transfer in a vertical cylindrical annulus. The numerical results show that the heat transfer rate was always higher at the bottom heater, which increases with the radii ratio and decreases with the aspect ratio. Venkata Reddy and Narasimham [2008] performed a numerical study of the conjugate natural convection in a vertical annulus with a centrally located vertical heat generating rod. The formulation in primitive form is solved using a pressure-correction algorithm. The average Nusselt numbers on the inner and outer boundaries show an increasing trend with the Grashof number. Wang et al. [2012] developed a finite volume model and analyzed transient natural convection in closed ended vertical annuli, with isothermally heated (or cooled) inner surface and insulated horizontal and outer surfaces. Lopez et al. [2012] performed finite difference numerical analysis of Natural convection in a closed ended annulus with a discrete heat source on the inner cylinder while the outer cylinder is cooled isothermally; the top and bottom walls and unheated portion of the inner cylinder are assumed as insulated.

The present numerical analysis solves the unsteady continuity, momentum and energy equations using finite volume method and deals with transient aspects of the flow. The study considers open ended annulus of aspect ratio 10 and radius ratio 2, the annulus being fully heated and partially heated. When the annulus is considered as partially heated, the middle length is heated while the entrance and exit are unheated. The annulus being heated 98% of the total length means there is 0.01L (x=1%) unheated portion at the entrance and 0.01L unheated portion at the exit. Similarly, for 90% heated length, there will be 0.05L (x=5%) at the entrance and 0.05L at the exit that will be unheated, and so on.

II. FORMULATION AND SOLUTION

Since, the flow is in annulus a cylindrical coordinate system, as shown in Fig. 1, is selected. The changes in properties are not significant so constant fluid properties with negligible viscous dissipation are used in the analysis. The variation of density is taken into account only in the body forces (Boussinesq approximation). Following are the non-dimensional parameters:

\[ R = \frac{r}{b}, Z = \frac{z}{b}, U = u \left( \frac{b}{\alpha} \right), W = w \left( \frac{b}{\alpha} \right), \tau = t \left( \frac{\alpha}{b^2} \right), P = \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{b}{\alpha} \right)^2, \theta = \left( T - T_c \right), Ra = \left( \beta \frac{gb}{k} \right) \]

The governing equations after applying non-dimensional parameters will be

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \tau} + \rho \left[ \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial (RU)}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial Z} \right] = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau} + U \frac{\partial U}{\partial R} + W \frac{\partial U}{\partial Z} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial R} + \rho R \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z^2} - \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \left( \frac{R \partial U}{\partial R} \right)}{\partial R} - \frac{U}{R^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z^2}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial W}{\partial \tau} + U \frac{\partial W}{\partial R} + W \frac{\partial W}{\partial Z} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial Z} + Ra \rho R \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Z} + \rho R \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial Z^2} + U \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial R} + W \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Z} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \left( \frac{R \partial \theta}{\partial R} \right)}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Z}
\]

The boundary conditions are:

- **Inner heated wall at** \( R = \frac{r}{b} \) : \( U = W = 0 \) and \( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial R} = -1 \)

- **Inner unheated walls at** \( R = \frac{r}{b} \) : \( U = W = 0 \) and \( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial R} = 0 \)

- **Outer adiabatic wall at** \( R = \frac{r}{b} \) : \( U = W = 0 \) and \( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial R} = 0 \)
Inflow at Z = 0: \( \frac{\partial U}{\partial Z} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial Z} = 0 \) and \( \theta = 0 \)

Outflow at Z = \( \frac{L}{b} \): \( \frac{\partial U}{\partial Z} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial Z} = 0 \) and \( \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial Z^2} = 0 \)

The model equations are nonlinear and could not be integrated exactly, so the system of equations are solved numerically by using Finite Volume SIMPLER technique. An implicit formulation is used and the resultant equation so formed is solved by Thomas algorithm.

The first step in the finite volume method is to divide the domain into discrete control volumes. The boundaries (or faces) of control volumes are positioned midway between the adjacent nodes. Thus each node is surrounded by a control volume or cell. It is common practice to set up control volumes near the edge of the domain in such a way that the physical boundaries coincide with the control volume boundaries.

A general nodal point is identified by P as shown in Fig.2 and its neighbour’s in a two-dimensional geometry. The nodes to the west, east, north and South are identified by W, E, N and S respectively. The west side face of the control volume is referred as ‘w’ and the east side control volume face by ‘e’. The north side face of the control volume is referred as ‘n’ and the south side control volume face by ‘s’. The distances between the nodes W-P, E-P, N and P-S are identified by \( \delta X \) and \( \delta Y \) respectively. Similarly the distance of point P from face w, face e, face n and face s are denoted by \( \delta X_{pw}, \delta X_{pe}, \delta X_{pn} \) and \( \delta X_{ps} \) respectively. Thus the control volume dimensions, in Fig.2 are identified.

In the present case a control volume based finite difference method is used. The hybrid scheme, which is a three line approximation of exact solution curve has been chosen because of its simplicity.

The 2D discretization equation for a general variable \( \phi \) can be written as.

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_{w} &= \phi_{P} + a_{w}\phi_{w} + a_{n}\phi_{n} + a_{s}\phi_{s} + b \\
\phi_{e} &= \phi_{P} + a_{e}\phi_{e} + a_{n}\phi_{n} + a_{s}\phi_{s} + b \\
\phi_{n} &= \phi_{P} + a_{w}\phi_{w} + a_{n}\phi_{n} + a_{s}\phi_{s} + b \\
\phi_{s} &= \phi_{P} + a_{w}\phi_{w} + a_{n}\phi_{n} + a_{s}\phi_{s} + b
\end{align*}
\]

Where, E is east, W is west, N is north and S is south.

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{e} &= a_{w} + a_{e} + a_{n} + (F_{e} - F_{w}) + (F_{n} - F_{w}) - S_{p}\Delta V \\
a_{n} &= \max \left[-F_{w}, (D_{n} - \frac{1}{2} F_{w}, 0)\right] \\
a_{s} &= \max \left[-F_{w}, (D_{s} - \frac{1}{2} F_{w}, 0)\right] \\
b &= S_{p}\Delta V
\end{align*}
\]
For unsteady flows, implicit discretization will be
\[ a_p = a_p^0 + a_w + a_e + a_s + a_n - S_p \] and \[ a_p^0 = \rho C_p \frac{\Delta V}{\Delta t} \]

The neighbouring coefficients can be found as given in Table I.

TABLE I  CONVECTION AND DIFFUSION TERMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>W</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convective Term</td>
<td>((\rho u)_w, (A_r)_w)</td>
<td>((\rho u)_e, (A_r)_e)</td>
<td>((\rho u)_s, (A_r)_s)</td>
<td>((\rho u)_n, (A_r)_n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion Term</td>
<td>(\Gamma w \frac{\delta z_{wp}}{\delta})</td>
<td>(\Gamma e \frac{\delta z_{ep}}{\delta})</td>
<td>(\Gamma s \frac{\delta z_{sp}}{\delta})</td>
<td>(\Gamma n \frac{\delta z_{np}}{\delta})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After discretization, a sequence of steps is followed for solving the equations simultaneously. The procedure involves making a guess of the pressure field and solving the momentum equation to get the pseudo velocities. Using the pseudo velocities, pressure equation is solved to get the pressure field. Then this pressure field is used to solve the momentum equation to obtain velocities. After this pressure correction equation is used to get the correction pressure, and velocities are corrected. Then the energy equation is solved and convergence is checked. The process is repeated till a satisfactory convergence is achieved. The process is repeated for each time step. The details of this method is available in [1].

The present numerical results are compared with benchmark solutions of Kumar and Kalam (1991) available in the literature for the annular cavity. The overall heat transfer rate across the cavity is given by the average Nusselt number, defined at the hot wall as\[ \bar{Nu} = \frac{1}{L} \int N u d z \] where \(N u = -\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial R_{ew}}\) is the local Nusselt number. Comparison of the average Nusselt number, obtained in the present analysis, with those of Kumar and Kalam (1991) and Sankar et al (2011) are presented in Table II. In the low Rayleigh number range the results are in good agreement. This validates the computer code used in the present analysis.

The initial conditions set for solving the equations are constant temperature and zero velocity and zero pressure at all nodal points. The Thermal boundary conditions are constant heat flux at the heated wall while the outer wall and the unheated walls are assumed to be adiabatic. The inlet temperature is constant and at outlet Neumann condition is used. Flow boundary conditions include no slip condition at the walls and Neumann boundary conditions at the inlet and the exit.

TABLE II COMPARISON OF NUSSELT NUMBERS WITH OTHERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10(^4)</td>
<td>3.301</td>
<td>3.304</td>
<td>3.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10(^5)</td>
<td>6.303</td>
<td>6.268</td>
<td>6.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10(^6)</td>
<td>12.412</td>
<td>11.888</td>
<td>11.893</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The computations were performed for fixed values of Prandtl number, Rayleigh number, aspect ratio and radius ratio as 0.71, 10\(^4\), 10 and 2 respectively. The analysis was done for full heating, 98%, 90%, 80%, 70%, 60% and 50% percent heating of the total length of the inner wall. The transient behavior of the axial and radial velocity, temperature and pressure profiles along axial and radial directions are presented in the result. Transient nature of velocity, temperature and pressure taken at the exit for different heated lengths are also presented. Pressure and temperature along the
annulus and temperature, axial and radial velocities at inlet, mid-height and exit along the radial direction are discussed for different heating lengths of the annulus.

1. Temperature, pressure and axial velocity along the annulus at different times until steady state

Figure 3 shows the development of temperature profile in axial direction for fully heated and 80% centrally heated annulus. Initially, the temperature is almost constant in the heated section of the annulus. As time elapses, the constant temperature decreases attaining a linear trend during the steady state. Near the entrance the temperature is initially high but decreases with time because initially conduction dominates the convection until the flow becomes fully developed. The effect of unheated (adiabatic) entrance and exit can be seen in the Fig. 3(b).

There is temperature rise only when heating starts at the bottom of the annulus. At the exit there is drastic fall in temperature due to unheated portion. At steady state it becomes linear in the heated region. The pressure profile in the axial direction with time until steady state is shown in Fig. 4. In the fully heated annulus (Fig 4a) the pressure at the entrance decreases, reaches to a minima and then decreases. As time elapses the negative pressure decreases and minima shifts upward in the annulus. In the 50% centrally heated annulus (Fig. 4b), initially pressure decreases in the exit 25% unheated length, but at steady state attains the increasing trend in the fully heated annulus. Fig. 5 shows variation of temperature in radial direction at mid-height. The non-dimensional temperature decreases along the radial direction. At steady state it becomes flattened near the outer adiabatic wall.

There is temperature rise only when heating starts at the bottom of the annulus. At the exit there is drastic fall in temperature due to unheated portion. At steady state it becomes linear in the heated region. The pressure profile in the axial direction with time until steady state is shown in Fig. 4. In the fully heated annulus (Fig 4a) the pressure at the entrance decreases, reaches to a minima and then decreases. As time elapses the negative pressure decreases and minima shifts upward in the annulus. In the 50% centrally heated annulus (Fig. 4b), initially pressure decreases in the exit 25% unheated length, but at steady state attains the increasing trend in the fully heated annulus. Fig. 5 shows variation of temperature in radial direction at mid-height. The non-dimensional temperature decreases along the radial direction. At steady state it becomes flattened near the outer adiabatic wall.
Variation of the axial velocity in radial direction with time is shown in Fig. 6. As time increases peak of the profile moves away from the heated wall until it becomes fully developed at steady state. At steady state the magnitude of the axial velocity becomes slightly less than the maximum attained value. In case of 80% heated annulus, the axial velocity decreases because the flow depends on the amount of heating.

2. Transient behavior of temperature, pressure and axial velocity for different heating lengths

Fig. 7 shows variation of the Non-dimensional temperature with time at z=L of the heated wall. The temperature rises sharply and then decreases slightly becoming constant at steady state. As the heated length decreases, time taken to reach the peak temperature increases and the magnitude of the temperature decreases. It can be observed there is large decrease in temperature even when the heating length is 98%. Variation of axial velocity with time at exit is shown in Fig. 8.
As in case of temperature, also the velocity rises sharply and then decreases to become constant at steady state. As the heated length decreases the magnitude of bulk velocity leaving the annulus decreases. Figure 9 shows the transient variation of pressure near the exit of annulus. With full heating the pressure decreases from its initial zero value and then increases slightly becoming constant at steady state. However, with decrease in the heated length, the magnitude of pressure first increases with positive values and then decreases, which after reaching a minimum (negative value) increases to attain constant value at the steady state. It is very interesting to see fluctuations at initial times, especially when there is partial heating. Shorter lengths of heating leads to higher fluctuations, as can be seen in the magnified Fig. 9(b). This is obvious because of low flow generation with partial heating.

3. Steady state Pressure and temperature along the annulus

Figure 10 shows variation of pressure along the annulus at steady state. The non-dimensional pressure at the entrance decreases, reaches to a minimum value and then increases becoming zero at the exit. With decrease in the heated length there is less drop in pressure.
Figure 11 shows variation of the wall temperature along the annulus. The dimensionless temperature remains zero in unheated entrance zone, rises steeply when heated and then falls down in the unheated exit zone. The magnitude of temperature decreases with decrease in the heated length.

Figure 12 shows variation of the fluid temperature from the inner wall to the adiabatic outer wall. At mid height (Fig. 12a) the temperature decreases and become constant at the outer adiabatic wall. Decrease in the heated length results in low temperatures.
Fig. 13 Radial Velocity profile in radial direction for different heating lengths (a) mid-height (b) inlet (c) outlet
Fig. 14 Axial Velocity profile in radial direction for different heating lengths (a) mid-height (b) inlet (c) outlet. At the exit of the annulus, with full heating the temperature decreases from the heated wall and becomes constant at the outer wall. However, with partial heating the temperature lowers down and becomes constant throughout as shown in Fig. 12(b). Figure 13 shows variation of the radial velocity in the radial direction at inlet, mid height and exit of the section.

At mid-height, [Fig. 13(a)] the radial velocity is negative. It decreases from the inner wall, reaches to a minima and then increases up to the outer wall. This decrease in velocity increases as the heating length decreases. With full heating the radial velocity is close to zero approaching the fully developed flow region. At inlet, the radial velocity, shown in Fig. 13(b), is positive which increases from the inner wall, reaches to a maxima and then decreases up to the outer wall. This velocity decreases as the heated length decreases. At the outlet, the radial velocity shown in Fig. 13(c) again becomes positive but of lesser magnitude as at the inlet. With full heating, the radial velocity is zero showing that the flow has become fully developed. However during partial heating, radial velocity exists.

Fig. 15 Variation of Local Nusselt number for different partial heating

Figure 14 shows variation of the axial velocity with time for different heated lengths. At inlet, mid-height and outlet the axial velocity is zero at inner wall increases to a maxima and then decreases becoming zero at the outer wall. As heated length decreases the magnitude of axial velocity also decreases. Figure 15 shows the variation of local nusselt number along the annulus. The local nusselt number decreases from high value at the start of heating and then becomes constant for remaining heated length. These variations in the nusselt number thus represent the developing boundary layer. The initial high value of nusselt number is decreases with increase in unheated length although the constant value attained is same for all cases. At the point where heating ends some increase in local nusselt number is observed. Figure 16 shows the variation of average nusselt number with percent heated length. The average nusselt decreases gradually with increase in heated length. However, the decrease in nusselt number is not very significant.

Conclusion:
The following conclusion is drawn from the results presented. With decrease in heated length
1) Axial velocity at outlet decreases and time taken to achieve steady state remains same.
2) Temperature at exit decreases and time taken to achieve peak value increases.
3) Fluctuations in pressure are observed near the entrance whose magnitude first increases and then decreases.
4) At mid-height the negative radial velocity increases while at inlet it decreases.
5) Average value of Nusselt number increases gradually.

**NOMENCLATURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roman</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>Non-dimensional radial velocity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>Axial velocity (m s⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Non-dimensional axial velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Unheated length (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_p</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>Axial distance (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Non-dimensional axial distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Prandtl Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Ra</td>
<td>Rayleigh Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>Non-dimensional Pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Non-dimensional Pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>Non-dimensional Radial distance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>Non-dimensional Axial distance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Non-dimensional Radial distance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Non-dimensional Axial distance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>Radial velocity (m s⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>Kinematic Viscosity (m² s⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Greek**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Greek</th>
<th>Non-dimensional Radial distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>Thermal diffusivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>expansion coefficient (K⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>Non-dimensional temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ</td>
<td>Non-dimensional time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>Density (Kg m⁻³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν</td>
<td>Kinematic Viscosity (m² s⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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