Miniaturization of Microstrip Patch Antenna Using Metamaterial
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ABSTRACT: Miniaturization of Microstrip patch antenna has been proposed using “H shaped interconnected Split Ring” Metamaterial antenna. Proposed Metamaterial antenna reduces the size of the Microstrip Patch Antenna substantially and increases the directivity. The proposed Metamaterial structure along with Microstrip Patch Antenna exhibits -32.55 db return loss at 2.865 GHz. The design and simulation of Microstrip Patch Antenna with and without Metamaterial structure has been done using CST software. Then antennas are fabricated and their properties are measured using Spectrum Analyser. Double Negative property of Metamaterial structure has been proved here using Nicolson Ross Weir approach.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Microstrip Patch Antenna has been very popular due to their small size, light weight, low profile, less cost. Apart from these advantages they also have various disadvantages like narrow band width, less gain etc. It has always been desired to reduce the size of the antenna while keeping the remaining parameters same, this helps us using the small antenna at lower frequencies. Here “H shaped inter connected split ring” Metamaterial structures is used to reduce the size of the Microstrip patch Antenna. Metamaterials were first discussed by Veselago in 1967. Metamaterial are not available in nature. They are man made structures that show negative permittivity and negative permeability. J B Pendry in 1999 first proposed his design of thin wire (TW) and split ring resonator(SRR).Dr Smith combined the two structures and created the first Metamaterial structure.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

The size of the Microstrip patch antennas at UHF is very large as its length is inversely proportional to frequency. Hence to reduce the size of the antenna various methods were used like using substrates of higher dielectric constant but this also reduces the bandwidth and gain considerably. Other methods of reducing size is shorted Microstrip patch antenna but here also efficiency, gain, cross polarization levels are not as good. Metamaterials are structures that are used to enhance the properties of Microstrip patch antennas, like directivity, size, bandwidth etc. Work has been done where these properties of Microstrip patch antenna has been enhanced. In this paper we have reduced the size of the Microstrip patch antenna while increasing the directivity.
III. DESIGN PROCEDURE, FORMULATION OF MICROSTRIP PATCH ANTENNA.

DESIGN FORMULAE:

1. Calculation of Width:

\[ W = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\varepsilon_r + 1}} \left( \frac{c}{f \sqrt{\varepsilon_r + 1}} \right) \]  

Where,

\[ C = \text{speed of light}, \]

\[ \varepsilon_r = \text{Dielectric constant of substrate} \]

2. Effective dielectric constant is calculated from:

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\varepsilon_r + 1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_r - 1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_r + 12h}} \right) \]

3. The actual length of the patch (L)

\[ L = L_{\text{eff}} - 2\Delta L \]

Where,

\[ L_{\text{eff}} = \frac{c}{2f \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}} \]

4. Calculation of extension in length

\[ \frac{\Delta L}{h} = 0.412 \left( \frac{(\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} + 3)(\frac{W}{h} + 2.64)}{(\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} - 2.58)(\frac{W}{h} + 8.8)} \right) \]

The parameters of the rectangular Microstrip patch antenna are calculated from the above given formula are L=39mm, W=24mm, cut width=6mm, cut depth=9mm, Length of the transmission line=22mm, width of the feed line=4mm. The rectangular Microstrip patch antenna as shown in Fig1 is designed on dielectric substrate having dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_r = 4.3 \) and height from the ground plane d=1.6mm.
IV. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

Here we have first simulated the above antenna using CST software its results of return loss and radiation pattern are as shown in figure 2 and figure 3.

The return loss characteristic of RMPA at 2.865 GHZ is shown below in Figure2 showing return loss of -14.99dB.

![Figure 2: Rectangular Microstrip Patch Antenna showing return loss of -14.99dB at 2.865GHz.](image)

Figure 2: Rectangular Microstrip Patch Antenna showing return loss of -14.99dB at 2.865GHz.

Figure 3 shows the 3D radiation pattern of the RMPA showing directivity of 6.861dBi.

![Figure 3: Radiation Pattern of Rectangular Microstrip Patch Antenna showing efficiency and 6.861dBi directivity.](image)

Figure 3: Radiation Pattern of Rectangular Microstrip Patch Antenna showing efficiency and 6.861dBi directivity.

A. Nicolson-Ross-Weir (NRW) Approach:

The proposed structure is placed between the two wave guide ports at the left and right of the X-Axis (as shown in figure 4) in order to calculate the S11 and S21 parameters so as to prove that the proposed structure possesses Double Negative Meta material properties. In figure 4, Y-Plane was defined as Perfect Electric Boundary (PEB) and Z-Plane was defined as the Perfect Magnetic Boundary (PMB). Subsequently, the wave was excited from the negative Y-Axis (Port 1) towards the positive Y-axis (Port 2). This setup mimicked the waveguide and it was suitable to calculate the S-Parameters for the extraction of the effective parameters later on.
B. Equations used for calculating permittivity and permeability using NRW approach:-

\[
\mu_r = \frac{2c(1-v_1)}{\omega d(1+v_2)}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_r = \mu_r \frac{2S11c}{\omega d}
\]

Where,

- \(v_1 = S_{11} + S_{21}\)
- \(v_2 = S_{21} - S_{11}\)
- \(\omega = \) Frequency in Radian,
- \(d = \) Thickness of the substrate,
- \(c = \) Light of speed,
- \(v_1 = \) Voltage maxima, and
- \(v_2 = \) Voltage minima.

Figure 5 and 6 shows the negative value of permeability and permittivity at the operating frequency.
The table generated for Permeability and Permittivity using Microsoft Excel software was too large hence few important values for the frequency range 2.8529 GHz to 2.871 GHZ is shown in Table 1 and Table 2. After verifying the negative properties of Permeability and Permittivity the proposed structure was designed and placed at a height of 3.2mm from the ground plane.

Table 1: Negative value of Permeability between frequency range 2.852 GHz to 2.871 GHz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Points</th>
<th>Frequency(GHz)</th>
<th>Real Value Permeability((\mu_r))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>955</td>
<td>2.8529999</td>
<td>-12.54017504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>956</td>
<td>2.8559999</td>
<td>-12.23676564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>957</td>
<td>2.859</td>
<td>-11.93647588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>958</td>
<td>2.862</td>
<td>-11.63882929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>959</td>
<td>2.8649998</td>
<td>-11.34322661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>960</td>
<td>2.868</td>
<td>-11.04903543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>961</td>
<td>2.8710001</td>
<td>-10.75556155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Negative value of Permittivity between frequency range 2.852 GHz to 2.871 GHz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Points</th>
<th>Frequency(GHz)</th>
<th>Real Value Permittivity((\varepsilon))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>955</td>
<td>2.8529999</td>
<td>-127.9698682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>956</td>
<td>2.8559999</td>
<td>-126.3872322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>957</td>
<td>2.859</td>
<td>-124.853702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>958</td>
<td>2.862</td>
<td>-123.3670643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>959</td>
<td>2.8649998</td>
<td>-121.924498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>960</td>
<td>2.868</td>
<td>-120.5230657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>961</td>
<td>2.8710001</td>
<td>-119.1594736</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Designing and simulation of “H Shaped interconnected” split ring Metamaterial antenna.

Figure 7: Rectangular Microstrip patch antenna loaded with “H shaped split ring interconnected” metamaterial structure at a height of 3.2mm from the ground plane.
The “H shaped inter connected split ring” shaped metamaterial structure is placed above the patch antenna at a height of 3.2mm from the ground plane in order to study its influence, and the results are compared with those of the patch antenna alone.

Figure 7 shows RMPA loaded with “H shaped inter connected split ring” shaped metamaterial structure at a height of 3.2mm from the ground plane. Dimensions of the antenna are shown in the figure 7.

The proposed metamaterial structure reduces the antenna size while increasing the directivity. Figure 8 shows the return loss at 2.865 GHz.

Figure 8: Rectangular Microstrip patch antenna loaded with “H shaped split ring interconnected” metamaterial structure showing Return Loss of -11.77dB at 2.865 GHz.

By using the proposed metamaterial structure along with the patch antenna at a height of 3.2mm from the ground plane, increases the directivity by 1.068dBi and the total efficiency is also improved as shown in figure 9.

Figure 9: Radiation pattern of Rectangular Microstrip patch antenna showing improved efficiency and 7.242dBi directivity.
Smith chart in figure 10 shows the impedance matching of the proposed antenna with the co-axial cable of 50Ω.

![Smith chart](image)

**Figure 10: Smith chart of proposed metamaterial structure at 2.865 GHz.**

By the simulated results, it has been observed that the overall size of the RMPA is reduced by 27% when incorporated with the proposed metamaterial structure at a height of 3.2mm from ground plane. Table 3 shows the overall dimensional comparison of RMPA alone and RMPA with the “H shaped interconnected split ring” shaped metamaterial structure. It shows that length is reduced from 39mm to 34mm, similarly other parameters are also reduced which reduces the overall area by 27%.

Table 3: The table below shows decrease in dimensions because of Metamaterial antenna. The overall size of antenna is reduced by 27%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension of RMPA</th>
<th>Dimension of Proposed Reduced size antenna</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length (L)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (W)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut width</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut depth</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path Length</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of feed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Fabrication and Measurement of the designed antenna

First using CST software we designed the antennas then the same antennas were fabricated as shown in figure 11. In this figure RHS antenna is the original antenna while LHS is the modified antenna which is used with the metamaterial antenna. Metamaterial antenna the “H shaped interconnected antenna” is as shown in figure 12. This metamaterial antenna is kept over the modified antenna and the results of return loss were calculated using Spectrum Analyser as shown in figure 13. The return loss of the tested antennas is shown in figure 14. The fabricated antenna has S11 -32.17 dB at 2.865 GHz. This result is similar to what we have achieved with CST software.
Figure 11: Fabricated RMPA RHS is original antenna and LHS is of reduced size.

Figure 12: Fabricated H Shaped interconnected split ring Metamaterial structure.

Figure 13: Measurement of reduced size antenna loaded with “H shaped interconnected split ring” Metamaterial antenna.
V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Advantages of using H shaped interconnected split ring Metamaterial with RMPA is explored. Proposed metamaterial structure reduces the average area of the antenna by 27% and increases the directivity of the antenna by 1.06dBi. As shown in Table 3 the size of the original antenna is reduced. Figure 9 shows that the modified antenna has greater directivity and figure 14 shows that this antenna offers good return loss at the same frequency as shown by the original antenna.

VI. CONCLUSION

Thus in this paper we have investigated the use of metamaterial structure to reduce the size of the Microstrip patch antenna while increasing its directivity.
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