Injection Mould Tool Design of Power Box Side Panel
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Abstract: Injection moulding is a manufacturing process for producing plastic parts from both thermoplastic and thermosetting plastic materials. The aim of this paper is to model, extract core–cavity and develop injection moulding tool for manufacturing an object. The part modelling, Core–Cavity design is done using PLM software Pro/ENGINEER 4.0. Mould base design is done according to HASCO standards. The plastic flow analysis is performed. NC code for fabricating the mould is generated using Vericut software. The unit cost to make a component is estimated including all the costs like material cost, tooling cost, machining cost etc.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Injection molding is a manufacturing process where material is fed into a heated barrel, mixed, and forced into a mold cavity where it cools and hardens to the configuration of the mold cavity. After a product is designed, usually by an industrial designer or an engineer, molds are made by a mould maker (or toolmaker) from metal, usually either steel or aluminum, and precision-machined to form the features of the desired part. Injection molding is widely used for manufacturing a variety of parts, from the smallest component to entire body panels of cars.

The object for study taken is power box panel which is used in earth movers to control the power circuit. It has top cover, front cover, back cover and side panel. The paper provides insight on power box side panel, its modelling, mould flow analysis, fabrication and cost estimation.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Injection moulding is an area where continuous work is being carried out for a long period of time. An attempt has been made to develop a prototype intelligent design system for injection moulds based on usage of internet based technologies as in [3]. Studies have been made for understanding the effect of thermal residual stress and warpage [4]. Studies revealed the optimum parameters that minimize the warpage in injection mould using Taguchi approach [5]. Researchers had studied cooling channels in the mould and its affect on final product temperature to know the shrinkage rate distribution [6]. Efforts have been made to build a methodology for process selection and manufacturability evaluation of computer based rapid tooling for producing injection moulds [7]. Attempts were made to develop a model so as to have the lowest life cycle cost in the manufacture of injection moulds [8]. Previous results show that cavity pressure and mold temperature are the dominant factors determining the quality of the final product in plastic injection molding [9].

The present studies aims at designing an injection mould tool for fabricating power box side panel and study the parameters by performing the flow analysis on the part. It provides an insight into manufacturability of the mould. It also depicts the methodology for arriving at the unit cost of the product including the tooling costs.

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Material

Power boxes are metal or plastic enclosures used as housings for wiring connections. The material proposed for the manufacturing of power box panel is Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene (ABS) plastic to have,
B. Methodology

The 3D CAD model of the power box panel modeled using Pro/ENGINEER 4.0 is shown in figure 1. It is designed as per HASCO standards by providing shrinkage allowance of 1.25%, draft angle of 1° along core side and 1 mm radius in all sharp corners [11].

Mould flow analysis is carried out to reap the following benefits.

- Optimize the part wall thickness to achieve uniform filling patterns, minimum cycle time and lowest part cost.
- Identify and eliminate cosmetic issues such as sink marks, weld lines and air traps.
- Determine the best injection locations for the part design.

Pro/ENGINEER Plastic Advisor is used to simulate mould filling for injection moulded plastic parts. Advanced features are also used to provide valuable manufacturability insight that can significantly reduce late-cycle design changes and mould reengineering costs. Vericut 6.2.1 is used to study the manufacturing of mould and its allied difficulties therein.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following results are embodied after conducting the study. The fill time result is shown in figure 2 which depicts the flow path of the plastic through the part by plotting contours which join regions filling at the same time. The maximum fill time is found to be 2.99 sec. The confidence of fill result is displayed in figure 3 which depicts the probability of a region within the cavity filling with plastic at conventional injection moulding conditions. It is found that the material will definitely fill in the entire cavity based on the temperature and pressure. The Pressure Drop result shown in figure 4 is a contour plot showing the pressure required to flow the material to each point in the cavity. The pressure drop is found to be 27.42 MPa. The Injection Pressure result shown in figure 5 is a contour plot of the pressure distribution throughout the cavity at the end of filling. The maximum value is at the Injection Location and the minimum is at the last point of the cavity to fill. The flow front temperature result shown in figure 6 indicates the region of lowest temperature (blue colour) through to the region of highest temperature (red colour). The result shows the changes in the temperature of the flow-front during filling and is found to be 225.41°C. The quality prediction results are shown in figure 7. In these results, red colour represents unacceptable quality, yellow colour represents acceptable quality and green colour represents preferred quality. The results shown clearly indicate that the preferred quality can be obtained with little chance of acceptable quality at few regions. The non-representation of red colour clearly depicts that the design is safe with respect to quality. The exploded view of the entire mould assembly is
depicted in figure 8. The different status of machining the mould is simulated and is depicted in Fig. 9 & Fig. 10 for core and cavity respectively.

Fig. 2 Fill time results showing the flow path of the plastic through the part

A: will definitely fill.
B: may be difficult to fill or may have quality problems
C: may be difficult to fill or may have quality problems.
D: will not fill (short shot).

Fig. 3 Confidence of fill results showing the probability of a region within the cavity, filling with plastic (above & below)

Fig. 4 Pressure Drop results showing the pressure required to flow the material to each point in the cavity

Fig. 5 The Injection Pressure result showing the pressure distribution throughout the cavity at the end of filling
Fig. 6 The flow front temperature result indicating the region of lowest temperature (blue) through to the region of highest temperature (red)

Fig. 7 The quality prediction results

Fig. 8 Exploded view of the entire mould assembly

Fig. 9 The work piece, play path of cutting tool, roughing view, and finishing view for core (L to R)
The bill of materials required to fabricate a mould with its associated cost is furnished in Table 1. The processing cost required to make an entire mould assembly is shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>PART DESIGNATION</th>
<th>MATERIAL WITH SPECIFICATIONS (All the dimensions are in millimetres)</th>
<th>PRICE (INR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Core plate</td>
<td>535x535x180=413kg, Material used is EN38R</td>
<td>Rs. 97,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cavity plate</td>
<td>535x535x225=502kg, Material used is EN38R</td>
<td>Rs. 1,17,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Core back plate</td>
<td>500x500x30=63kg, Material used is mild steel</td>
<td>Rs. 4200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cavity back plate</td>
<td>500x500x30=63kg, Material used is mild steel</td>
<td>Rs. 4200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ejector plate &amp; retainer plate</td>
<td>500x360x30=46kg, Material used is mild steel</td>
<td>Rs. 4876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ejector pins</td>
<td>Qty-7, Material used is OHNS</td>
<td>Rs. 2800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Retainer pins</td>
<td>Qty-4, Material used is EN8</td>
<td>Rs. 1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Guide pillar and guide sleeves</td>
<td>Qty-4, Material used is carbon steel</td>
<td>Rs. 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Grids</td>
<td>500x180x70 length, Qty-2, Material- EN8</td>
<td>Rs. 5670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sprue bush</td>
<td>Material- EN8</td>
<td>Rs. 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Allen keys</td>
<td>Ø18x16 pins, Ø8x12 pins</td>
<td>Rs. 500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MATERIAL COST**  
(+) 20% Allowance  
**TOTAL**  
Rs. 2,43,071  
Rs. 48,614  
Rs. 291685
TABLE 2
PROCESSING COST REQUIRED FOR MAKING AN ENTIRE MOULD ASSEMBLY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>PROCESS</th>
<th>Cost in INR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Primary machining</td>
<td>Rs. 7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Machining of Core</td>
<td>Rs. 25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Machining of Cavity</td>
<td>Rs. 30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cylindrical grinding of Guide pillar</td>
<td>Rs. 5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Heat treatment for core, cavity, runners, overflows</td>
<td>Rs. 30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Polishing for core, cavity, runners</td>
<td>Rs. 25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Chrome plating for core, cavity in pattern area</td>
<td>Rs. 3,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL = Rs. 1,25,500

TABLE 3
TOTAL MOULD COST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Cost in INR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Material cost</td>
<td>Rs. 2,91,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Machining cost</td>
<td>Rs. 1,25,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Rs. 2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Risk (15% of material &amp; machining cost)</td>
<td>Rs. 62,578</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL = Rs. 4,81,763

A. Estimating component cost

- Number of components estimated to produce = 5,00,000
- Each Component weight = 150 gm
- Cost of 1kg ABS plastic material = Rs.140

- Each component material cost = \( \frac{150 \times 140}{100} = \) Rs 21
- Production cost per shift = Rs.3500
- Production time per component = 40 sec
- Production time in each shift = 7 hours
- Number of components per shift = \( \frac{7 \times 60 \times 60}{40} = 630 \)
- Production cost per component = \( \frac{3500}{630} = \) Rs 5.56
- Mould cost per component = \( \frac{481763}{500000} = \) Rs 0.96

Therefore,
VI. CONCLUSION

The complete injection mould tool is designed for fabricating power box side panel by considering the runner design, over flow design, cooling channel design etc. using Pro/Engineer software. The plastic flow analysis is carried out using Plastic Advisor of Pro/Engineer. All the results viz. fill time, confidence of fill, injection pressure, pressure drop, flow front temperature, quality prediction are analysed. CNC manufacturing program is generated using VERICUT. The cost of each power box side panel is estimated as Rs.32.52.
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