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ABSTRACT
A comparison of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment between China and the other countries was carried out based on the bibliometric analysis, which analyzed the research output through the Science Citation Index Expanded from the Web of Science. This study will provide insights into research activities of China and the other countries during 1990 and 2013 in the field of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment. The performance of publication covering annual outputs, the contribution of different countries, research tendencies and hotspots was studied. The results indicated that the annual output of the related scientific articles from China increased steadily together with the world. Water Research, Chemosphere, Water Science and Technology, Environmental Science & Technology, and Science of the Total Environment were the five most common journals in pharmaceutical wastewater treatment research. The United States of American (USA) took a leading position in the world, followed by China, Spain and Germany. The extraction, biological treatment, ozonation, adsorption, filtration, photo-catalysis, Fenton, coagulation technologies were found to be current research emphases, based on the analysis of paper title, author keyword and KeyWords Plus.

INTRODUCTION
Pharmaceutical industry has been one of the most important industries in the world. Their different therapeutic classes are consumed in large number annually around the world to prevent, diagnose, mitigate or cure diseases in humans as well as animals [1-3]. With the economic development and population growth, China has been the largest raw medicine production and export country in the world since 2009. Till now, China is a large country with huge amounts of production and consumption of pharmaceuticals.

In pharmacy production process, several or dozens of steps are needed to produce products with a large quantity of raw materials. The raw material consumption rate was about 10 kg per kg medicine production, even 200 kg per kg medicine production. Thus pharmaceutical industry became a heavy polluted industry with production of a large quantity of wastewater containing complex pollutants [4]. In China, the GDP from pharmaceutical industry accounts for 1.7% of Chinese total industrial production. However, the wastewater produced from pharmaceutical industry is 2% of total wastewater quantity [5].
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Publication Outputs

To obtain an overview of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment research, the annual number of articles published worldwide and by China during 1990–2013 was displayed in Figure 1. The number of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment publications increased from 2 in 1990 to 419 in 2013 worldwide. For China, the number of articles published in Web of Science increased from 0 in 1990 to 44 in 2013. In addition, the number of articles published in China increased from 2 in 1990 to 34 in 2013. The contribution of China to worldwide pharmaceutical wastewater treatment research increased from 0 in 1990 to 44 in 2013. In addition, the number of articles published in China increased from 2 in 1990 to 34 in 2013.

The development stages of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment research were reflected in Figure 1. The first stage for worldwide ranged from 1990 to 2001. In this stage, the publication increased slowly. From 2001 to 2009, the publication increased sharply with increasing rate from 21.9% to 80.0%. After 2009, the publication increasing rate went back to be lower than 17.2% if the articles published in China were counted.
17.3%. For China, the publication increased slowly before 2009 while it increased rapidly with average increasing rate of 47.6% after 2009. After 2009, China has been the largest crude drug export country in the world. A large quantity of pharmaceutical wastewater was produced in this process. Thus more and more researchers were focused on the research field of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment.

Figure 1. Number of articles published by China and worldwide.

**Contribution of Different Countries**

The articles used for analysis were restrict in the categories of environmental science, biotechnology applied microbiology, engineering environmental, engineering civil and water resources. The category of engineering environmental contributed the most articles, followed by environmental science, engineering civil and water resources and biotechnology applied microbiology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journals</th>
<th>Total published articles</th>
<th>Ratio (%)</th>
<th>IF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Research</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>4.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemosphere</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>3.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Science and Technology</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Science Technology</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science of the Total Environment</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Hazardous Materials</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Science and Pollution Research</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioresource Technology</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desalination</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To learn the contributions of different countries to the study of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment, the publications issued in the top 4 productive journals by different countries were analyzed (Figure 2). United State of American (USA) ranked the first in the journal of Water Research, Chemosphere and Environmental Sciences & Technology, and the third in the journal of Water Science & Technology. The percentage of articles published by USA to the total publications of the four journals ranged from 20.4%-38.3%. Obviously, USA played an important role in the research field of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment. Germany ranked the first in the journal of Water Science & Technology, the second in the journal of Chemosphere, the third in the journals of Water Research and Environmental Science & Technology. The percentage of articles published by Germany in the total publications of the four journals ranged from 15.7%-19.5%. Thus, Germany made a good contribution to the research of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment. For China, it ranked the fifth in the journals of Water Research, Water Science & Technology and Environmental Science & Technology, the forth in the journal of Chemosphere. The percentage of articles published by China to the total publications of the four journals ranged from 6.0%-10.6%. Considering China has been the largest raw medicine export country and the large quantity of recalcitrant pharmaceutical wastewater, more efforts should be put into the research of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment.

To further evaluate the research level of different countries in the research field of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment, the publication and citation index were analyzed in Figure 3. In this field, the countries with high publications included USA,
China, Spain, Germany, Canada and Switzerland. Although the articles published by China ranked the second, the citation index of China was 12 per article. The citation index of developed countries including USA, Spain, Germany, Canada and Switzerland ranged from 22-48 per article, which was much higher than that of China. It is necessary to improve the research quality of China in the field of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment. The detail analysis of development of different countries in the field of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment is shown in Table 2. The publication of USA ranked number one whatever the total output or output of every year. In addition, the publication of USA increased greatly after 2004. USA was the most active country in the field of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment research which was matched with his situation of pharmacy production. The publication trends of Germany, Spain and Canada were similar to USA. China had been the second largest country regarding on the publication of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment after 2009. Since then, China has been the largest crude drug output country in the world. In this situation, Chinese researchers tried to find efficiency methods to treat recalcitrant pharmaceutical wastewater. Thus, pharmaceutical wastewater treatment has been one of the research hot spot in China.

Figure 2. The publications of different country in journals (a) Water Research, (b) Chemosphere, (c) Water Science & Technology, (d) Environmental Science & Technology; USA: United states of American, CHN: China, ESP: Spain, GER: Germany, CAN: Canada, SUI: Switzerland, UK: United kingdom, FRA: France, IN: India, AUS: Australia, NL: Netherlands, JP: Japan, DK: Denmark, ROK: South Korea.

Research Trends and Research Hot Topics

Pharmaceutical industry consumes lot amounts of raw materials. The characteristics of wastewater produced during the production process listed as follows: high concentration, extreme pH value (some water lower than 1, some water higher than 13), high salinity, fluctuant water quantity [61]. Thus, pharmaceutical wastewater was quite a recalcitrant wastewater to be treated by biological system. To improve the biodegradability of pharmaceutical wastewater, the physical-chemical treatment was applied. The physical-chemical treatment included extraction, adsorption, coagulation, ozone oxidation, Fenton oxidation, membrane filtration, catalytic oxidation [14,62]. The biological treatment included aerobic and anaerobic treatment [23,24,26,27,30,32,33].

The title, author keywords, and KeyWords Plus containing extraction, adsorption, coagulation, ozone oxidation, Fenton
oxidation, membrane filtration, catalyst oxidation and biological treatment were analyzed in the publications related to pharmaceutical wastewater treatment field. The result was shown in Figure 4.

Table 2. The changes of published article numbers of main countries in the world during 1990-2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>USA</th>
<th>CHN</th>
<th>ESP</th>
<th>GER</th>
<th>CAN</th>
<th>SUI</th>
<th>UK</th>
<th>FRA</th>
<th>IN</th>
<th>AUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. The ratio of different technology in the pharmaceutical wastewater treatment field of main country (1990-2013).

The physical-chemical technology accounted for 53.6% to 77.7% of all the technologies in the analyzed countries. The percentage of physical-chemical technology studied by China is 69.6%, which is similar to 68.0% of USA, 70.5% of France, 70.1% of Swiss. This result indicated the physical-chemical technologies were mainstream technologies in pharmaceutical wastewater treatment. Since pharmaceutical wastewater was a kind of recalcitrant wastewater, it needed to be pretreated by physical-chemical...
technology to improve the biodegradability of wastewater or recycle the substances in the wastewater to alleviate the loading of wastewater treatment.

Of all the physical-chemical technologies, extraction was the most popular technology in all the countries with a percentage of extraction to all technology of 14.0% - 31.8%. Ozone technology accounted for more than 10% in the developed countries such as USA, Spain, Germany, France (except for UK, 4%). While it occupied less than 10% in developing countries such as China and India. This may be attributed to the high cost of ozone technology. On the contrary, adsorption technology had high percentage of all technologies, such as China of 16%, India of 18%. Most pharmaceutical wastewater would be treated by biological technology after physical-chemical technology. Thus biological technology was mainstream technology in pharmaceutical wastewater treatment, accounting for 18.0% to 41.1% of all technologies.

For different countries, the mainstream technologies were different. In USA, the biological treatment, extraction, ozone, and adsorption ranked the first (27%), second (24%), third (12%), respectively. In China, the biological treatment, extraction, adsorption and ozone, ranked the first (24%), second (22%), third (16%), forth (12%), respectively. In Canada, the extraction, biological treatment, ozone and adsorption, ranked the first (32%), second (24%), third (15%), forth (10%), respectively. For UK, the biological treatment, extraction, adsorption and ozone, ranked the first (41%), second (25%), third (6%), forth (4%), respectively. The technology selection was related with wastewater quality. After 1990s, the raw medicine production was moved from developed countries to developing countries. China has been the largest raw medicine export country till now. Because of the heavy pollution and recalcitrant of wastewater during raw medicine production, it was necessary for China to study AOP technology. For UK, AOP was a minor part of all the technology.

CONCLUSIONS

A comparison of the research in the pharmaceutical wastewater treatment field between China and worldwide was presented with the information related to annual publications, categories, journals, research emphases and tendencies. The study of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment increased sharply during 1990–2013. Many studies in the categories of environmental engineering, environmental sciences, water resource, and applied microbiology and biotechnology have been considered for comparison of the state of China and worldwide in the field of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment. Water Research, Chemosphere, Water Science and Technology, Environmental Science & Technology, and Science of the Total Environment were the five most productive journals in pharmaceutical wastewater treatment research. In the articles publishing, the USA took a leading position in the world, followed by China, Spain and Germany. However, the citation index of China was much lower than Germany, Swiss, UK and USA. The physical-chemical technologies such as extraction, adsorption, ozonation, and filtration and biological technology were research hot topics in the field of pharmaceutical wastewater treatment.
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