ABSTRACT

We synthesized the phosphors of RE$^{3+}$-doped Li$_{1+x}$(Ta$_{1-z}$Nb$_z$)$_{1-x}$Ti$_x$O$_3$ (LTNT) with various emission colors by solid-state reaction in air using a conventional electric furnace, where RE=Eu, Er, Tm, or Dy. The optimal host composition with each dopant was determined for the highest photoluminescence (PL) intensity; the relevant chemical formulas were Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$ ($x=0.11$ and $z=0$) with Eu$^{3+}$, Li$_{1.03}$Taq$_{0.2}$Nb$_{0.8}$O$_{0.97}$Ti$_{0.03}$O$_3$ ($x=0.03$ and $z=0.8$) with Er$^{3+}$, Li$_{1.08}$Taq$_{0.4}$Nb$_{0.6}$O$_{0.92}$Ti$_{0.08}$O$_3$ ($x=0.08$ and $z=0.6$) with Tm$^{3+}$, and Li$_{1.14}$Taq$_{0.6}$Nb$_{0.4}$O$_{0.86}$Ti$_{0.14}$O$_3$ ($x=0.14$ and $z=0.4$) with Dy$^{3+}$. The crystal structures of the phosphors were refined by the XRD-Rietveld method to clarify the relationship between the PL properties and crystal structures. In the LTNT host material, the most effective activator was the Eu$^{3+}$ ion, with an internal quantum efficiency of 97%. The efficient PL emission is closely related to the coordination environment of Eu$^{3+}$ in the [Li (Eu) O$_{12}$] polyhedron of the host LTNT.
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INTRODUCTION

In the Li$_2$O–Nb$_2$O$_5$–TiO$_2$ system, the crystals of Li$_{1+x}$,yNb$_{1-x}$,yTi$_{x+4y}$O$_3$ (LNT) with 0.05 ≤ $x$ ≤ 0.3 and 0 ≤ $y$ ≤ 0.182 form a superstructure known as the M-phase, which was discovered by Villafuerte-Castrejon et al. [1-2]. Several researchers have investigated the origin of this superstructure, and clarified that it is formed by the periodical insertion of an intergrowth layer into a matrix having a basic trigonal basic structure [3-7]. With the crystals of Li$_{1.1}$Ta$_{0.9}$Ti$_{0.1}$O$_3$ (LTT) in the Li$_2$O–Ta$_2$O$_5$–TiO$_2$ system (0.1 ≤ $x$ ≤ 0.15 and 0.05 ≤ $y$ ≤ 0.175), there are a few reports mentioning the relationship between the dielectric properties and compositions [8]. However, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, there have been no reports on the detailed crystal structures. The compositional ranges of the superstructure formation, together with the periods of the intergrowth layer, were different between the crystals of LNT and LTT. Interestingly, the amount of Ti that was required for the superstructure formation was larger for LTT than for LNT [9].

For the application of LNT as a phosphor host material, rare earth (RE$^{3+}$=Eu, Er, Tm, or Dy) doped LNT solid solutions have been prepared by heating in air using a conventional electric furnace and/or millimeter-wave furnace [10-12]. The RE$^{3+}$-doped LTT phosphors were also successfully synthesized, and their photoluminescence (PL) properties were compared to those of LNT:RE$^{3+}$ [13]. Furthermore, we previously reported on a new red-emitting phosphor in the Li$_2$O–Nb$_2$O$_5$–Ta$_2$O$_5$–TiO$_2$ system, in which we used the quaternary Li$_{1.5}$Ta$_{1.5}$Nb$_2$O$_{13}$Ti$_2$O$_3$ (LNT, 0 ≤ $x$ ≤ 0.25, 0 ≤ $z$ ≤ 1.0) solid solution as the host material [14]. The PL intensity of the LTT:Eu$^{3+}$ phosphor, which was found to be dependent on the concentration of Eu$^{3+}$, showed a high internal quantum efficiency of 84%.

In this work, in order to further improve the PL intensities of the newly developed LTNT:RE$^{3+}$ phosphors, we have clarified the optimal compositions of the host materials for each of the activators RE$^{3+}$, and the relevant crystal structures. We also discussed the close relationship between the PL properties and crystal structures.
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The starting materials used for the preparation of LTNT were the reagent-grade chemicals of Li$_2$CO$_3$, Nb$_2$O$_5$, Ta$_2$O$_5$, and TiO$_2$ (>99.9% grade). Each of the rare earth oxides (Eu$_2$O$_3$, Sm$_2$O$_3$, Er$_2$O$_3$, Tm$_2$O$_3$, and Dy$_2$O$_3$>99.9% grade) was doped in the LTNT solid solution. The powder specimens were well mixed, pressed into pellets, and heated in air at 1423 K for 15 h in a conventional electric furnace.

Phase identification was made based on the X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) data (CuK$_\alpha$), which were obtained on a RINT 2500 device (Rigaku Co., Ltd., Japan) operated at 40 kV and 200 mA. The phase compositions were determined from the XRPD data (CuK$_\alpha$) collected on another diffractometer in the 2$\theta$ range of 15.0-100.0$^\circ$ (X'Pert PRO Alpha-1, PANalytical B.V., Almelo, the Netherlands) operated at 45 kV and 40 mA. The profile intensity data were investigated by the Rietveld method [15] using a computer program RIETAN-FP [16]. The crystal-structure models were visualized with a computer program VESTA [17]. Distortion parameters for the coordination polyhedra were determined using a computer program IVTON [18]. Excitation and emission spectra were obtained using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (F-7000HITACHI, Japan). Quantum efficiency was measured by a spectral radiometer (MCPD-7000, Otsuka Electronics Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Optimal compositions and PL properties of LTNT: RE$^{3+}$ phosphors

In our previous paper, we compared the emission spectra between the two-types of phosphors, RE$^{3+}$-doped Li$_{1.11}$Nb$_{0.89}$Ta$_{0.11}$O$_3$ (LNT with x=0.11 and y=0) and RE$^{3+}$-doped Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$ (LTT with x=0.11 and y=0) as shown in Figure 1 [13]. The resulting materials showed various emission colors, ranging from red for Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$:Eu$^{3+}$, yellow for Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$:Dy$^{3+}$, green for Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$:Er$^{3+}$, and blue for Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$:Tm$^{3+}$. With the Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$ host material, the most appropriate activator for effective emission was the Eu$^{3+}$ ion. On the other hand, when activated with Er$^{3+}$ and/or Tm$^{3+}$, the emission intensities were lower for Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$ than for Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$. Hence, we concluded that the slight differences in the coordination environment of RE$^{3+}$ between the host materials of Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$ and Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$ would effectively affect the emission energies of the RE$^{3+}$ ions.

![Emission spectra of Li$_{1.11}$(Ta/Nb)$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$:RE$^{3+}$](image)

**Figure 1.** Emission spectra of Li$_{1.11}$(Ta/Nb)$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$:RE$^{3+}$. Dotted line is for LNT:RE$^{3+}$ and solid line is for LTT:RE$^{3+}$ [13].
Figure 2. Changes of PL intensities with z values of L_{1.11}(Ta_{1-z}Nb_z)_{0.89}Ti_{0.11}:RE^{3+} (RE_2O_3: 2.5 wt%). Phosphors activated with (a) Eu^{3+}, (b) Er^{3+}, (c) Tm^{3+}, and (d) Dy^{3+}.

Figure 3. Changes of PL intensities with RE_2O_3 concentrations of Li_{1.11}Ta_{0.89}Ti_{0.11}:RE^{3+}. Phosphors activated with (a) Eu^{3+}, (b) Er^{3+}, (c) Tm^{3+}, and (d) Dy^{3+}.

Figure 2 shows the relationship between PL intensity and the z value of L_{1.11}(Ta_{1-z}Nb_z)_{0.89}Ti_{0.11}:RE^{3+} (RE_2O_3: 2.5 wt%). The emission or excitation wavelengths were measured by monitoring them at maximum wavelengths. The PL intensities of the phosphors were measured at 399 nm for Eu^{3+}, at 526 nm for Er^{3+}, at 359 nm for Tm^{3+}, and at 355 nm for Dy^{3+}. We determined the optimal z-values of LTNT (x=0.11) with different RE^{3+} ions; they were z=0 for Eu, z=0.6 for Tm, z=0.8 for Er, and z=0.4 for Dy. We subsequently determined the most suitable quantities of RE^{3+} ions as activators for the host material of Li_{1.11}Ta_{0.89}Ti_{0.11}O_3. Among the phosphors doped with Eu_2O_3 up to 4.5 wt%, the highest PL intensity was observed when doped with 2.5 wt% Eu_2O_3 (Figure 3). For the other phosphors doped with Er_2O_3 up to 3.0 wt%, those doped with Tm_2O_3 up to 3.5 wt%, and those doped with Dy_2O_3 up to 4.0 wt%, the PL intensities were the highest for 1.0 wt% Er_2O_3, 1.0 wt% Tm_2O_3, and 1.0 wt% Dy_2O_3 (Figure 3). Finally, we determined the optimal Ti content in the host materials under the best condition of the z-values and RE_2O_3 contents (Figure 4). As a result, the optimal host composition showing the highest PL intensity was eventually determined for each of the dopants; the relevant chemical formulas were Li_{1.11}Ta_{0.89}Ti_{0.11}O_3 (x=0.11 and z=0) with Eu^{3+}, Li_{1.03}(Ta_{0.2}Nb_{0.8})_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}O_3 (x=0.03 and z=0.8) with Er^{3+}, Li_{1.08}(Ta_{0.4}Nb_{0.6})_{0.92}Ti_{0.08}O_3 (x=0.08 and z=0.6) with Tm^{3+}, and Li_{1.14}(Ta_{0.6}Nb_{0.4})_{0.86}Ti_{0.14}O_3 (x=0.14 and z=0.4) with Dy^{3+}. In general, the electronic dipole intensities in the lanthanide 4f–4f transitions exhibit extraordinary sensitivity to the ligand environment, hence the optimal host compositions as determined above must be close to those most appropriate in view of the lattice vibrations and environment of RE^{3+} ions.
Figure 4. Changes of PL intensities with x-values (concentrations of Ti) of (a) Li$_{1+x}$Ta$_{1-x}$Ti$_x$O$_3$:Eu$^{3+}$, (b) Li$_{1+x}$(Ta$_{0.2}$Nb$_{0.8}$)$_{1-x}$Ti$_x$O$_3$:Er$^{3+}$, (c) Li$_{1+x}$(Ta$_{0.4}$Nb$_{0.6}$)$_{1-x}$Ti$_x$O$_3$:Tm$^{3+}$, and (d) Li$_{1+x}$(Ta$_{0.6}$Nb$_{0.4}$)$_{1-x}$Ti$_x$O$_3$:Dy$^{3+}$.

In the phosphors emitting various colors, the Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$:Eu$^{3+}$ phosphor showed a relatively high internal quantum efficiency of 84% as previously reported [14]. Furthermore, we reported that the Sm$^{3+}$ ion can act as an effective sensitizer for Eu$^{3+}$-activated phosphors because of the energy transfer process [17]. In the present experimental stage, we synthesized the Eu$^{3+}$ and Sm$^{3+}$ co-doped phosphor, and characterized the PL property. Figure 5 shows the excitation and emission spectra of Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$:Eu$^{3+}$,Sm$^{3+}$, in which the contents of Eu$_2$O$_3$ and Sm$_2$O$_3$ were, respectively, 0.1 wt% and 2.5 wt%. The sharp emission peaks with relatively strong intensities were observed at a wavelength of around 625 nm ($^5D_0$-$^7F_2$, electric-dipole), when excited by the purple light of 399 nm. The relatively weak emission appeared at wavelengths around 600 nm ($^5D_0$-$^7F_1$, magnetic-dipole transition in the Eu$^{3+}$ ion) and 700 nm ($^5D_0$-$^7F_4$, electric-dipole transition in the Eu$^{3+}$ ion). The inner quantum efficiency of the phosphor achieved 97%, which was very close to the theoretical value.

Figure 5. Excitation and emission spectra of Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$:Eu$^{3+}$,Sm$^{3+}$.
Figure 6. Emission and excitation spectra of (a) Li$_{1.03}$(Ta$_{0.2}$Nb$_{0.8}$)$_{0.97}$Ti$_{0.03}$O$_3$:Er$^{3+}$, (b) Li$_{1.08}$(Ta$_{0.4}$Nb$_{0.6}$)$_{0.92}$Ti$_{0.08}$O$_3$:Tm$^{3+}$, and (c) Li$_{1.14}$(Ta$_{0.6}$Nb$_{0.4}$)$_{0.86}$Ti$_{0.14}$O$_3$:Dy$^{3+}$.

Figure 6 shows the emission and excitation spectra of LTNT phosphors with the most suitable compositions as determined above. Table 1 summarizes the chromaticity coordinates (x, y) of the emitting light. A green emission peak at 526 nm ($^4$S$_{3/2}$-$^4$I$_{15/2}$) was observed for the Li$_{1.03}$(Ta$_{0.2}$Nb$_{0.8}$)$_{0.97}$Ti$_{0.03}$O$_3$:Er$^{3+}$ upon excitation at 551 nm ($^4$I$_{15/2}$-$^2$H$_{11/2}$). Blue emission was observed at 363 nm ($^1$D$_2$-$^3$F$_4$ transition) for the Li$_{1.08}$(Ta$_{0.4}$Nb$_{0.6}$)$_{0.92}$Ti$_{0.08}$O$_3$:Tm$^{3+}$ upon excitation at 461 nm ($^3$H$_{6}$-$^1$D$_2$). Yellow emission peaks at around 581 nm ($^4$F$_{9/2}$-$^6$H$_{13/2}$) were observed for the Li$_{1.14}$(Ta$_{0.6}$Nb$_{0.4}$)$_{0.86}$Ti$_{0.14}$O$_3$:Dy$^{3+}$ upon excitation at 356 nm ($^6$H$_{15/2}$-$^4$M$_{15/2}$). The PL behavior of the RE$^{3+}$-doped phosphors was not affected by the host material’s structure due to the 4f-4f transitions\[13,19\].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compositions</th>
<th>Chromaticity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li$<em>{1.11}$Ta$</em>{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$:Eu$^{3+}$,Sm$^{3+}$</td>
<td>x = 0.675, y = 0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$<em>{1.03}$(Ta$</em>{0.2}$Nb$<em>{0.8}$)$</em>{0.97}$Ti$_{0.03}$O$_3$:Er$^{3+}$</td>
<td>x = 0.35, y = 0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$<em>{1.08}$(Ta$</em>{0.4}$Nb$<em>{0.6}$)$</em>{0.92}$Ti$_{0.08}$O$_3$:Tm$^{3+}$</td>
<td>x = 0.146, y = 0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$<em>{1.14}$(Ta$</em>{0.6}$Nb$<em>{0.4}$)$</em>{0.86}$Ti$_{0.14}$O$_3$:Dy$^{3+}$</td>
<td>x = 0.409, y = 0.385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Optimal compositions and chromaticity of LTNT phosphors.

Morphologies and Crystal Structures of LNTN: RE$^{3+}$ Phosphors

In this chapter, we will discuss the micro-textures and crystal structures of the LNTN:RE$^{3+}$ phosphors. The SEM images in Figure 7 show that the average grain sizes were about 1.5 µm for Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$O$_3$:Eu$^{3+}$,Sm$^{3+}$, 5.3 µm for Li$_{1.08}$(Ta$_{0.4}$Nb$_{0.6}$)$_{0.92}$Ti$_{0.08}$O$_3$:Tm$^{3+}$, 8.0 µm for Li$_{1.03}$(Ta$_{0.2}$Nb$_{0.8}$)$_{0.97}$Ti$_{0.03}$O$_3$:Er$^{3+}$, and 4.2 µm for Li$_{1.14}$(Ta$_{0.6}$Nb$_{0.4}$)$_{0.86}$Ti$_{0.14}$O$_3$:Dy$^{3+}$. The LNT grain’s shape changes from spherical to plate-like when it forms a superstructure\[^7\]. The SEM images indicated that the LNTN:RE$^{3+}$ phosphors had no superstructure because their shapes were not plate-like. The difference in sizes was due to the speed of the grain growth owing to the various doping rare-earth ions and their concentrations. The reflection indices in Figure 8 were based on the hexagonal unit cells with a ~ 0.5 nm, c ~ 1.4 nm. Parts of the crystal structures of the host materials were determined, and shown in Figures 9 and 10. We successfully constructed the structural models, in which all of the RE$^{3+}$ ions occupy the Li site. It should be noted that all of these structures were isomorphous with LiNbO$_3$ (space group R3c), and free from the superstructure peculiar to the M-phase.
The addition of relatively small amounts of Ti$^{4+}$ effectively increased the PL intensities for Li$_{1+x}$Ta$_{1-x}$Ti$_x$O$_3$:Eu$^{3+}$ and Li$_{1+x}$Ta$_{1-x}$Ti$_x$O$_3$:Sm$^{3+}$ as shown in Figure 4. Hence, we refined the crystal structures of Li$_{1+x}$Ta$_{1-x}$Ti$_x$O$_3$:Eu$^{3+}$ with $0 \leq x \leq 0.25$. Figure 9 shows parts of the refined structural models of Li$_{0.901}$Eu$_{0.033}$TaO$_3$ (x=0), (Li$_{0.977}$Eu$_{0.023}$) (Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$)O$_{2.968}$ (x=0.11), and (Li$_{0.952}$Eu$_{0.026}$) (Ta$_{0.75}$Ti$_{0.25}$)O$_{2.901}$ (x=0.25). These chemical compositions were determined as the result of the Rietveld refinement process, and for this reason there are small deviations from those used hitherto. The table included in Figure 9 describes the polyhedral details of $\Delta$ (centroid-to-cation distance; eccentricity), VS (sphere volume), and VP (volume of coordination polyhedron). The distortion-parameter values (VS/VP) of [(Li, Eu)O$_{12}$] polyhedra were close to each other among the three-types of phosphors with x=0, 0.11, and 0.25, as were the VS/VP-values of [(Li, Eu)O$_{12}$] polyhedra. However, the (Li$_{0.977}$Eu$_{0.023}$)(Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$)O$_{2.968}$ phosphor (x=0.11) showed a remarkably large $\Delta$-value (= 0.047 nm) in [(Li, Eu)O$_{12}$] polyhedron as compared with the two other phosphors with x=0 and 0.25. Because the (Li$_{0.977}$Eu$_{0.023}$)(Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$)O$_{2.968}$ phosphor showed the highest PL intensity, the displacement of Eu$^{3+}$ position from the centroid of [(Li, Eu)O$_{12}$] polyhedra must contribute to the highly enhanced intraconfigurational $^7F_0$–$^5D_1$ transition.
Figure 9. Structural models viewed along [11] and structural data of Li$_{0.901}$Eu$_{0.033}$TaO$_3$, (Li$_{0.977}$Eu$_{0.023}$)(Ta$_{0.89}$Ti$_{0.11}$)O$_2.968$ and (Li$_{0.952}$Eu$_{0.026}$)(Ta$_{0.75}$Ti$_{0.25}$)O$_2.901$ phosphors.

Figure 10 shows parts of the crystal structures of (Li$_{0.991}$Tm$_{0.007}$)(Ta$_{0.400}$Nb$_{0.598}$)O$_3$ and (Li$_{0.990}$Tm$_{0.011}$)(Ta$_{0.361}$Nb$_{0.558}$Ti$_{0.081}$)O$_2.970$. These chemical formulas were determined by the Rietveld method, and hence they show slight deviations from the chemical compositions of Li$_{1+x}$Ta$_{1-x}$O$_3$:Tm$^{3+}$ with $x=0$ and 0.08. The $\Delta$ values as well as the VS/VP-values in [(Li, Tm)O$_{12}$] polyhedra were almost the same between the two phosphors, although the PL intensity was effectively enhanced for $x=0.08$ than for $x=0$ as shown in Figure 4c. Thus, the displacement of the Tm$^{3+}$ position from the centroid position of [(Li, Tm)O$_{12}$] polyhedra would be totally unrelated to the enhancement of PL intensity. Additionally, the displacements of Eu$^{3+}$ and Sm$^{3+}$ positions along the c-axis from the centroid of [(Eu, Sm)O$_{12}$] polyhedra could be closely related to the enhancement mechanism of the red-light emission through the energy transfer from Sm$^{3+}$ to Eu$^{3+}$ [20,21].

CONCLUSIONS

We synthesized LTNT:RE$^{3+}$ (RE=Eu, Er, Tm, and Dy) phosphors with various emission colors by solid-state reaction in air at 1423 K for 15 h using a conventional electric furnace. The phosphors emitted red light for Eu$^{3+}$, green light for Er$^{3+}$, blue light for Tm$^{3+}$, and yellow light for Dy$^{3+}$. The optimal host compositions of the phosphors were Li$_{1.11}$Ta$_{0.89}$O$_3$ with Eu$^{3+}$, Li$_{1.03}$ (Ta$_{0.2}$Nb$_{0.8}$)O$_{1.97}$Ti$_{0.03}$O$_3$ with Er$^{3+}$, Li$_{1.08}$ (Ta$_{0.4}$Nb$_{0.6}$)O$_{1.92}$Ti$_{0.08}$O$_3$ with Tm$^{3+}$, and Li$_{1.14}$...
(Ta_{0.6}Nb_{0.4})_{0.95}Ti_{0.14}O_3 with Dy^{3+}. These compositions would be close to those most appropriate in view of the lattice vibrations and environment of RE^{3+} ions, since the electronic dipole intensities in the lanthanide 4f–4f transitions generally exhibit extraordinary sensitivity to the ligand environment. For the LTNT host phosphor, the most effective activator was the Eu^{3+} ion. The red-emitting phosphor of Li_{1.11}Ta_{0.89}Ti_{0.11}O_3: Eu^{3+} Sm^{3+} had a high internal quantum efficiency of 97%. A structural study of the phosphors using the XRD-Rietveld method indicated that the high PL intensity of the Eu^{3+}-activated phosphor was closely related to the environmental symmetry of the Eu^{3+} ion. The displacement of the Eu^{3+} position from the centroid of [(Li, Eu)O_{12}] polyhedra would effectively contribute to the highly enhanced intraconfigurational 7F_0–5D_1 transition.
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