

The Need for Advanced Techniques in Personal Identification

Gourav N*

Vijaya College of Pharmacy, Hayatnagar, Hyderabad, India

Review Article

Received: 18/11/2016
Revised: 19/11/2016
Accepted: 29/11/2016

*For Correspondence

Vijaya College of Pharmacy,
Hayatnagar, Hyderabad, India,
Tel: 91+ 9848055578.

E-mail: ngrv@outlook.com

Keywords: Tooth prints, Enamel,
Post-Mortem, Radiographs,
Cheiloscopy

ABSTRACT

Present day life is described by the centralization of huge populaces in a given range. With this comes an expanded requirement for new and solid techniques for forensic recognizable proof to distinguish casualties of mass calamities. Amelogyphics (the investigation of enamel rod end patterns on a tooth surface) uncovers that the enamel bar end example is one of a kind for every tooth in a person. It demonstrates both intra-and entombs singular variety. The utilization of these distinctive examples can likewise be reached out to recognizable proof and conceivable relationship between the event of a few inherent imperfections and gained maladies. Hence, this field requests a requirement for further investigation towards the utilization of tooth prints for setting up an individual's character which can end up being a developing guide in individual distinguishing proof.

INTRODUCTION

Recognizable proof of an individual is turning out to be critical in the present world. It might be required in straightforward methodology, for example, signing into a PC organize, in more mind boggling circumstances like after death distinguishing proof and wrongdoing investigation. Various strategies have been utilized for individual recognizable proof as a part of legal odontology, which incorporate rugoscopy, cheiloscopy, nibble marks, radiographs, photographic study and sub-atomic techniques. These recognizable proof techniques generally fall flat or have certain restrictions and may not be productive when bodies are deteriorated, smoldered or in situations when just little pieces of calcified tissues are left [1-5]. A late procedure known as "Amelogyphics" i.e. the investigation of example of lacquer poles (amelo importance enamel; glyphics meaning carvings) is exceptionally compelling as the polish of teeth is an exceedingly calcified structure in the body that opposes decay; tooth print is made out of blend of essential sub-designs rather than unique finger impression which is made out of a solitary unmistakable example like whorl, circle or curve; these tooth prints, are one of a kind to single tooth, displaying disparity both between teeth of various people and of a similar individual and this strategy is straightforward, reasonable, quick and can likewise be performed by nonprofessional's [6-10]. For the most part, this strategy for individual ID can be prescribed for those people working in risky occupations, for example, fire warriors, fighters, stream pilots, jumpers, and individuals who live or go to politically insecure territories preceding their assignments and must be redesigned intermittently to beat the enamel misfortune because of wear and tear. These points of interest and uniqueness of the tooth print could be utilized as an important apparatus as a part of scientific science for individual distinguishing proof [11-14].

The utilization of these distinctive examples can likewise be stretched out to distinguishing proof and conceivable connection between the event of a few inherent imperfections and obtained illnesses. For instance, the range of dermatoglyphics, rugoscopy and cheiloscopy has stretched out from individual recognizable proof, sexual orientation assurance, to inclination of event of congenital fissure and sense of taste. It has likewise been conceivable to comprehend the familial event of such intrinsic imperfections utilizing these generally economical modalities. Moreover, the utilization of polish pole end examples can be made to recognize the powerlessness of a person to basic dental sicknesses, which are obtained amid one's lifetime [15-21].

Enamel is a result of ectoderm-determined cells. The procedure of polish arrangement is an intricate and sorted out one, did by particular cells called ameloblasts. The ameloblasts set out the polish poles in an undulating and between twining way which has been ascribed to high elasticity of enamel and appearance of contorted lacquer

and Hunter-Schreger groups. Along these lines, the enamel poles don't cross the entire length of enamel in a straight way. This is pondered the external surface of the enamel as examples of the closures of a progression of nearby lacquer bars. This enamel bar end examples or tooth prints could be copied by different strategies like utilizing cellulose acetic acid derivation peel method, cellophane tape system, elastic base impression materials, and so forth [22-28]. Acetic acid derivation peel strategy which was initially created by paleobotanist Walton in the year 1928, to concentrate on the cell structures of fossil plants, is an outstanding and ordinarily utilized procedure for imitating surface points of interest.

Biometrics eludes to recognizable proof of people utilizing natural qualities, for example, those in light of retinal or iris examining, fingerprints, or confronts acknowledgment. Verifinger® standard SDK rendition 5.0 is biometric programming intended to look at and break down fingerprints. This product can be utilized effectively to contemplate the example of polish pole endings on the lacquer surface [29-35].

Cellulose Acetate Peel Technique

The teeth to be investigated ought to be scaled and cleaned. By and large the center third of the facial surface of the tooth is chosen for investigation as it is most impervious to changes because of wearing down, scraped area, and so forth [36-42]. The surface then should be scratched with acids like 37% orthophosphoric corrosive for 20 seconds, washed with water and dried. A thin layer of CH₃)₂CO is then connected over a little bit of cellulose acetic acid derivation film and set quickly over the scratched surface of the tooth with no finger weight for 20 minutes. The CH₃)₂CO breaks up a layer of cellulose acetic acid derivation, and the wicked settles down along the abnormalities on the polish surface. The film is tenderly peeled following 20 minutes and saw under light magnifying lens. A photomicrograph of the acetic acid derivation peel is acquired at 10x amplification [43-50].

Cellophane Tape Technique

A segment of stretched out cellophane tape is to be connected over the molded region without finger weight with a little bit of cotton roll connected over the same for better adaption of the cellophane tape [51-57]. The cellophane tape is then promptly pulled off delicately and set on a perfect glass slide and saw under light magnifying instrument [58-65].

Rubber-Base Impression Material Technique

The impetus and base of light body elastic impression material should be equitably blended for suitable consistency and quickly put on the adapted surface. Light body sort impression material is utilized in light of its thin consistency and less thickness, which records even minor subtle elements all the more precisely [66-73]. After the setting of the impression material, the reproduction is painstakingly peeled and set on a spotless glass slide and saw under the stereomicroscope (on the grounds that elastic base impression material is not a translucent material to see under light magnifying lens).

Out of the three strategies, cellulose acetic acid derivation peel method is dependable and favored in light of the fact that it demonstrates enamel examples and subpatterns of enamel pole endings and no unfilled spaces are seen. This strategy duplicates a similar example and sub-examples of enamel bar endings in consequent engravings taken from a similar region of a similar tooth [74-82].

Biometric Analysis

The microphotograph is then subjected to biometric examination utilizing the product like Verifinger® standard SDK form 5.0, programming. The product perceives the examples of enamel rod endings as arrangement of lines running in shifting headings. The product utilizes certain focuses called minutae for recognizable proof of every example and to think about the comparability/inconstancy of two examples. Minutae are discontinuities of the lines; it might be line endings or the time when edge stops, specks are little lines and lakes discharge spaces between two lines and so on [83-90].

Tooth prints acquired from various teeth can be isolated into 8 particular sub-designs in particular wavy-extended, wavy-unbranched, direct expanded, straight unbranched, whorl-open, whorl-shut, circle and stem-like. Every tooth print was a mix of these subpatterns [91-95].

Need For Further Research

The enamel surface is constantly subject to both smaller scale and macro-wearing. Despite the fact that polish is the hardest substance in the body, forms like scraped spot, weakening and disintegration wears the furthest layer of enamel rod ends, and uncovered the underneath layer [96-98]. The impact of these procedures on the example of polish bar closes should be resolved. Hypothetically the enamel pole end example ought to fluctuate at different profundities of lacquer, which should be confirmed by further studies. Despite the fact that tooth prints, are special

to an individual tooth, the estimation of it as an apparatus in legal science for individual distinguishing proof lies in its multiplication and permanency [99,100]. These two qualities of tooth prints should be assessed by further studies.

REFERENCES

1. Mahajan A, et al. Extracting passwords and user-related data from yahoo messenger and mail client on android phones. *J Inform Tech Soft Engg* 2013;3:120
2. Verma K and Paul MP. Assessment of post mortem interval, (PMI) from forensic entomotoxicological studies of larvae and flies. *Entomol Ornithol Herpetol* 2013;2:104
3. Mathur S, et al. Effect of disguise on fundamental frequency of voice. *J Forensic Res* 2016;7:327
4. Pooja A and Kumar P. A case study on comparison of male and female vowel formants by native speakers of Gujarati. *J Forensic Res* 2015;S4:004
5. Subhash Soni R, et al. Impact of the art of living programme on burnout and organizational role stress among animal husbandry personnel. *J Psychiatry* 2015.
6. Pooja A. A developmental overview of voice as a steadfast identification technique. *J Forensic.*
7. Subhash Soni R. Effect of demographic variables on organizational role stress and burnout: An empirical investigation. *J Psychiatry* 2015.
8. Kshemada K, et al. Forensic sciences and growth of cardiology. *J Forensic Res* 2014;5:e115
9. Peter Kiran A, et al. Personal identification using cheiloscopy in South India. *J Forensic Res* 2016;7:333.
10. Deshmukh RA. Need for newer techniques for personal identification. *J Forensic.*
11. Kanchan T and Krishan K. Personal identification in forensic examinations. *Anthropol* 2013; 2:114.
12. Pushparani C, et al. (2012) Radiography superimposition in personal identification - A case study involving surgical implants. *J Forensic Res* 2012.
13. Poklis A. Fentanyl: A review for clinical and analytical toxicologists. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol.* 1995;33:439-447.
14. Kuhlman JJ Jr, et al. Fentanyl use, misuse, and abuse: A summary of 23 postmortem cases. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2003;27:499-504.
15. Stanley TH. Fentanyl (Proceedings of the symposium "Updates of the Clinical Pharmacology of Opioids with Special Attention to Long-Acting Drugs)". *Journal of Pain Symptom Management.* 2005;29:67-71.
16. Baselt RC. Disposition of toxic drugs and chemicals in man. (9th ed), Biomedical Publications, Foster City, California. 2011.
17. Kornick CA, et al. Benefit-risk assessment of transdermal fentanyl for the treatment of chronic pain. *Drug Saf,* 2003;26:951-973.
18. Henderson GL. Fentanyl-related deaths: demographics, circumstances, and toxicology of 112 cases. *J Forensic Sci.* 1991;36:422-433.
19. Flannagan LM, et al. Fentanyl patches left on dead bodies – potential source of drug for abusers. *J Forensic Sci.* 1996;41:320-321.
20. Booth JV, et al. Substance abuse among physicians: a survey of academic anesthesiology programs. *Anesth Analg,* 2002;95:1024-1030.
21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Non-pharmaceutical fentanyl-related deaths--multiple states, April 2005-March 2007. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2008;57:793-796.
22. US Department of Justice, National Drug Intelligence Center. Fentanyl: Situation Report (SR-000001). 2006.
23. Frölich MA, et al. Opioid overdose in a patient using a fentanyl patch during treatment with a warming blanket. *Anesth Analg.* 2001;93:647-648.
24. Christrup LL, et al. Pharmacokinetics, efficacy, and tolerability of fentanyl following intranasal versus intravenous administration in adults undergoing third-molar extraction: A randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, two-way, crossover study. *Clin Ther.* 2008;30:469-481.
25. Fung DL and Eisele JH. Fentanyl pharmacokinetics in awake volunteers. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 1980;20:652-658.

26. Bovill JG and Sebel PS. Pharmacokinetics of high-dose fentanyl. A study in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth.* 1980;52:795-801.
27. Lunn JK, et al. High dose fentanyl anesthesia for coronary artery surgery: Plasma fentanyl concentrations and influence of nitrous oxide on cardiovascular response. *Anesth Analg.* 1979;58:390-395.
28. Egan TD, et al. Multiple dose pharmacokinetics of oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate in healthy volunteers. *Anesthesiology.* 2000;92:665-673.
29. Darwish M, et al. Single-dose and steady-state pharmacokinetics of fentanyl buccal tablet in healthy volunteers. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2007;47:56-63.
30. Medical Officer Review NDA#:19,813 Alza Corporation. TTS fentanyl (Transdermal Therapeutic System) Volume 2- Pharmacokinetics & Pharmacokinetics. 1990.
31. Latasch L and Lüders S. Transdermal fentanyl against postoperative pain. *Acta Anaesthesiol Belg.* 1989;40:113-119.
32. Thompson JG, et al. Fentanyl concentrations in 23 postmortem cases from the hennepin county medical examiner's office. *J Forensic Sci.* 2007;52:978-981.
33. Bleeker CP, et al. Inefficacy of high-dose transdermal fentanyl in a patient with neuropathic pain, a case report. *Eur J Pain.* 2001;5:325-329.
34. Levine B, et al. A fentanyl fatality involving midazolam. *Forensic Sci Int.* 1990;45:247-251.
35. Tharp AM, et al. Fatal intravenous fentanyl abuse:four cases involving extraction of fentanyl from transdermal patches. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol.* 2004;25:178-181.
36. Smialek JE, et al. A fentanyl epidemic in Maryland 1992. *J Forensic Sci.* 1994;39:159-164.
37. Pounder DJ and Jones GR. Post-mortem drug redistribution - A toxicological nightmare. *Forensic Sci Int.* 1990;45:253-263.
38. Prouty RW and Anderson WH. The forensic science implications of site and temporal influences on postmortem blood-drug concentrations. *J Forensic Sci.* 1990;35:243-270.
39. Dalpe-Scott M, et al. 1995 A comparison of drug concentrations in post-mortem cardiac and peripheral blood in 320 cases. *Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal.* 1995;28:113-121.
40. Leikin JB and Watson WA. Post-mortem toxicology: What the dead can and cannot tell us. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol.* 2003;41:47-56.
41. Krinsky CS, et al. A toxicology-based review of fentanyl-related deaths in New Mexico (1986-2007). *Am J Forensic Med Pathol.* 2011;32:347-351.
42. Olson KN, et al. Postmortem redistribution of fentanyl in blood. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2010;133:447-453.
43. Andresen H, et al. Fentanyl: Toxic or therapeutic? Postmortem and antemortem blood concentrations after transdermal fentanyl application. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2012;36:182-194.
44. Hallberg P, et al. Possible fluconazole-fentanyl interaction-a case report. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2006;62:491-492.
45. Thompson JP, et al. Perioperative pharmacokinetics of transdermal fentanyl in elderly and young adult patients. *Br J Anaesth.* 1998;81:152-154.
46. Ceelen L, et al. Postmortem redistribution of fentanyl in the rabbit blood. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol.* 2012;33:119-123.
47. Foley PL, et al. Evaluation of fentanyl transdermal patches in rabbits: Blood concentrations and physiologic response. *Comp Med.* 2001;51:239-244.
48. Ferner RE. Post-mortem clinical pharmacology. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2008;66:430-443.
49. Luckenbill K, et al. Fentanyl postmortem redistribution: Preliminary findings regarding the relationship among femoral blood and liver and heart tissue concentrations. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2008;32:639-643.
50. McIntyre IM, et al. Postmortem carisoprodol and meprobamate concentrations in blood and liver: Lack of significant redistribution. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2012;36:177-181.
51. Moore KA, et al. Tissue distribution of tramadol and metabolites in an overdose fatality. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol.* 1999;20:98-100.
52. Pélissier-Alicot AL, et al. Mechanisms underlying postmortem redistribution of drugs: A review. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2003;27:533-544.
53. Cantrell FL, et al. Fatal fluoxetine intoxication with markedly elevated central blood, vitreous, and liver concentrations. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2009;33:62-64.

54. McIntyre IM and Meyer Escott C. Post-mortem drug redistribution. *Journal of Forensic Research*, Editorial: In Press. 2012.
55. Pare EM, et al. A death involving fentanyl. *J Anal Toxicol*. 1987;11:272-275.
56. Matejczyk RJ. Fentanyl related overdose. *J Anal Toxicol*. 1988;12:236-238.
57. Chaturvedi AK, et al. A death due to self-administered fentanyl. *J Anal Toxicol*. 1990;14:385-387.
58. Ropero-Miller JD. Antidepressants require care in postmortem interpretation. *Clinical and Forensic Toxicology News*, March. 2004;2-3.
59. Coopman V, et al. LC-MS/MS analysis of fentanyl and non-fentanyl in a fatality due to application of multiple durogestic transdermal therapeutic systems. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2007;169:223-227.
60. Apple FS. A better understanding of the interpretation of postmortem blood drug concentrations. *J Anal Toxicol*. 2011;35:381-383.
61. Ripple M, et al. Cluster of fentanyl-tainted heroin deaths in a three-week period in Maryland. *Proceedings of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences Annual Meeting*. 2007.
62. Isenschmid DS, et al. A rapid increase in fentanyl related deaths in Detroit: A twelve month review. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences*. 2007.
63. Joranson DE, et al. Trends in medical use and abuse of opioid analgesics. *JAMA*. 2000;283:1710-1714.
64. Affatati V and Grattagliano I. The contribution of forensic psychodiagnostic in legal medical evaluations regarding the amendment of sex assignment: The Rorschach test. *J Forensic*. 2015.
65. Johnson R. Police psychologists as expert witnesses in appeal hearings: Testimony for disqualified applicants and fitness-for-duty forensic psychological evaluations. *J Forensic*. 2015.
66. Verma KL, et al. Analysis and detection of precursor chemicals used in preparation of narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances? A forensic perspective. *J Forensic*. 2015.
67. Stone MH. Marijuana and psychosis: The effects of adolescent abuse of marijuana and other drugs in a group of forensic psychiatric patients. *J Child Adolesc Behav*. 2015;3:188.
68. Sema AP, et al. Direct and indirect forensic age estimation methods for deciduous teeth. *J Forensic*. 2015.
69. Kapoor P, et al. Rugoscopy: A diagnostic appurtenance for malocclusion or just a forensic aid? - A pilot study. *J Forensic*. 2015.
70. Vakilzadeh VK, et al. A 3-D stability-based dynamic computational model of human trunk movement: towards the development of a paradigm for forensic spinal injury biomechanical analysis. *Journal of Forensic Biomechanics*. 2015.
71. Khalaf K. Bridging the Gap between the 'How' and the 'Why' in Forensic Biomechanics. *Journal of Forensic Biomechanics*. 2015.
72. Hannon PR. Capturing the salient issues in forensic biomechanics analyses. *Journal of Forensic Biomechanics*. 2015.
73. Pereira CP and Costa Santos J. The role of forensic dentistry for identification of a criminal sexual assault: A case-work report. *J Civil Legal Sci*. 2015;4:138.
74. Subhash Soni R. Effect of demographic variables on organizational role stress and burnout: An empirical investigation. *J Psychiatry*. 2015.
75. Ikemoto K. Forensic research and Japanese Brain Bank: Legal problems. *J Forensic*. 2015.
76. Mânica S. Discussing a forensic dental examination of 1861? A scientific and historic overview. *J Forensic*. 2015.
77. Nataraja Moorthy T and Harun H. Study on extrusion mark pattern in transparent plastic bags and its stability while establishing origin of plastic bags during forensic investigation. *Ijirset*. 2013.
78. Kalbande K and Jain N. Comparative digital forensic model. *IJIRSET*. 2013.
79. Vanitha J and Thilagavathi S. Separation of overlapped fingerprints for forensic applications. *IJAREEIE*. 2014.
80. John Smith J. Relevant issues in forensic biomechanics. *Journal of Forensic Biomechanics*. 2014.
81. Engin AE. Forensic biomechanics. *Journal of Forensic Biomechanics*. 2014.
82. Patil PS and Kapse AS. Survey on different phases of digital forensics investigation models. *IJIRCCCE*. 2015.

83. Mambodza WT and Nagoor Meeran AR. Anti-forensics: Design and implementation of an android forensic analyzer. IJIRSET. 2015.
84. Lodhi KM and Grier R-IV. Generating human DNA profiles from cell phones for forensic investigation. J Forensic. 2012.
85. Wortmann R. The forensic artist. J Forensic. 2015.
86. Kiran Mayee M and Mahesh G. Forensic vista: Teeth insight in particular. RRJMHS. 2015.
87. Pooja A. A developmental overview of voice as a steadfast identification technique. J Forensic. 2015.
88. Clément R and Barrios L. Eagle syndrome and sudden and unexpected death: Forensic point of view about one case. J Forensic Res. 2014;5:230.
89. Richards NL, et al. Merging wildlife and environmental monitoring approaches with forensic principles: Application of unconventional and non-invasive sampling in eco-pharmacovigilance. J Forensic Res. 2014;5:228.
90. Vyshka G, et al. Crime, punishment and forensic expertise according to the Albanian tradition - A commentary on the native customary law. Anthropol. 2014;2:124.
91. Vyshka G and Halilaj G. Forensic anthropology as mementos mori. Anthropol. 2014;2:e125.
92. Yu X, et al. Quantitative research in modern forensic analysis of death cause: New classification of death cause, degree of contribution, and determination of manner of death. J Forensic Res. 2014;5:221.
93. Sharma M, et al. Trace evidence crack a suicide proved homicide: A case study. J Forensic Res. 2010;1:103.
94. Hannon PR. The role of forensic biomechanics/medicine in physical child abuse. Journal of Forensic Biomechanics. 2014.
95. Preçi S and Vyshka G. Measuring the amygdala; otherwise recycling lombroso theories one and half centuries later. Anthropol. 2014;2:e120.
96. Gogolin G. Digital forensic issues in civil proceedings. Civil Legal Sci. 2014;3:110.
97. Johnson R. Towards a forensic psychological evaluation of juvenile fire setters: Parent power. Forensic Res. 2014;5:214.
98. Zar MS, et al. Forensic DNA typing of old skeletal remains using AmpFISTR Identifier - PCR amplification kit. Forensic Res. 2014;5:211.
99. Richard LI, et al. An enzymatic method to process decomposed non-human bone for forensic DNA analysis. J Forensic Res. 2014;5:220.
100. Minari JB and Idris MA. Forensic and pharmacognostic study of *Aristolochia ringens* stem. J Forensic. 2015.