

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2015

Determination of Graphene Layers by Optical Imaging Contrast Analysis

Benjamin Mbaluka John^{1, 2}, Paul Kimeu Ngumbi^{1, 3}, Katumo Ngei¹, Simon Waweru Mugo⁴, Nelson Soitah

Timonah⁴, James Mbiyu Ngaruiya⁴

P.G. Student, Department of Physics, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Nairobi, Kenya¹

Physics Technologist, Department of Physics and Electronics, South Eastern Kenya University, Kitui, Kenya²

Assistant Lecturer, Department of Physics and Electronics, South Eastern Kenya University, Kitui, Kenya³

Lecturer, Department of Physics, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Nairobi, Kenya⁴

ABSTRACT:Practical application of graphene require accurate determination of the number of layers. In this paper we demonstrate a reliable and efficient approach for determining the number of graphene layers. Plots of contrast spectra against number of graphene layers transferred on Borosilicate glass substrates for RGB image, R, G, and B channels were found to increase linearly. The R channel contrast values for one to four graphene layers were found to be 0.076(R), 0.083(R), 0.090(R), and 0.097(R) respectively. Similarly, the G contrast channel values were obtained as - 0.032(G), -0.023(G), -0.015(G), and -0.007(G) whereas B channel gives 0.004(B), 0.011(B), 0.019(B), and 0.026(B) for SLG, BLG, Tri-layer and Tetra-layer graphene respectively. The contrast values for SLG in RGB transmission regions were found to be 0.076(R), -0.032(G), -0.032(G

KEYWORDS:Single-layer graphene, Few-layer graphene, Multilayer graphene, thickness identification, optical contrast.

I. INTRODUCTION

Graphene, which is the basic building block for other graphitic allotropes, has extraordinary optoelectronic properties. The success in isolating multilayer graphene from highly ordered pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) using scotch tape technique has stimulated immense interest in both fundamental physics and graphene-based practical applications. The key to optical identification of the two-dimensional (2D) graphene nanosheets is to correlate its optical [1-3]. The extraordinary optoelectronic properties of graphene arise from its peculiar zero-energy band gap electronic structure in which its electrons exhibit Massless Dirac fermions behaviour in the vicinity of K and K' points of the Brillouin zone [4-6]. There is a significant difference between the properties of single layer graphene (SLG) and bilayer graphene (BLG). For example, the electron mobility of SLG has been approximated to be in the range ~40,000 – 400,000 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ whereas the electronic mobility of BLG is approximated to be in the range 3000 – 8000 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ [7, 8]. The BLG exhibit band gap tunability leading to high on/off current ratios as compared to SLG. Thus BLG is therefore, preferred to SLG in the fabrication of field effect transistors (FETs) [9]. The optoelectronic properties of few layer graphene (FLG) approach those of graphite as the number of layers exceed 10. This is mainly due to the change in electronic band structures from that of a SLG [9-12]. It has been reported that the optical transparency of FLG is a strong function of the thickness with each SLG having optical absorption of thus rendering the identification and determination of atomic layers in FLG a challenging task [13-15].



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2015

To date, various methods have been developed to determine the number of stacked layers in FLG and MLG samples. These methods include; optical microscopy [13,15], Raman spectroscopy [16,17], Low-energy electron microscopy (LEEM) [18,19], Atomic force microscopy (AFM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) [20], scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) (21) among others. However, such methods involve time-consuming image analysis processes, expensive equipment, special experimental setups and sample destruction during preparation. In this work, we report contrast spectra analysis as a fast and easy-to-use method for efficient identification and determination of thickness of MLG graphene samples. The optical contrast differences between the MLG samples and the glass substrate serve as a standard reference from which sample thickness is accurately determined without using complex calculations. This method will be key to the study of virtually all 2D layered nanomaterials with thickness-dependence properties in any standard laboratory.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The MLG samples were prepared by mechanical exfoliation and transferred to clean Borosilicate substrates. The samples RGB images were observed under an optical microscope (*Labomed LX 400*). Halogen lamp (excitation range 400 - 800 nm) mounted on the microscope was used to generate normal white light through a 1 mm aperture and passed through the MLG samples. A CCD camera fitted on the microscope was used to detect and capture optical RGB images at resolution 1920×1080 pixels. Using ImageJ 1.48v, the contrast between the graphene layers and transmitted light through the samples and capture optical RGB images. The optical contrasts of MLG sheets (*C*) and substrate C_s were obtained. Grayscale values from RGB images of SLG mounted onto a Fluorine-doped Tin Oxide (FTO) substrate (purchased from Graphene supermarket USA #Y060515) were obtained and used as the standards values. Optical contrast spectrum of the image, R, G, and B channels were calculated based on Fresnel's equations [3, 22].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The contrast spectra C were obtained based on Fresnel's equation by the calculation;

$$C = \left(T_{S} - T_{MLG}\right) / T_{S}, \qquad (1)$$

Where T_s and T_{MLG} are the transmission spectrum from the glass substrate and MLG sheets respectively [22, 23]. The contrast spectra for the grayscale optical image (from R, G, and B channels) C_{CsR} , C_{CsG} , and T_{CsB} is calculated by subtracting the contrast of the nanosheets (T_R , T_G and T_B) from that of the substrate (T_{SR} , T_{SG} and T_{SB}) and dividing by contrast of the nanosheets (T_{SR} , T_{SG} and T_{SB}) (Eqn. 2).

$$C_{CSR} = (T_{SR} - T_R) / T_{SR} \text{ (R channel)}$$

$$C_{CSG} = (T_{SG} - T_G) / T_{SG} \text{ (G channel)}$$

$$C_{CSB} = (T_{SB} - T_B) / T_{SB} \text{ (B channel)}, \qquad (2)$$

The absorbance of MLG increases with the number of graphene layers with each graphene layer contributing absorbance of 2.3% [14, 24]. We use the estimated RGB grayscale values for a monolayer (1.98 ± 0.005) which, based on Beer Lambert's law of absorption, correspond to optical transmittance of $98.23\pm1\%$ to determine the number of graphene layers in the MLG samples. In this case, R, G, and B channels were generated from the colour



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2015

images of exfoliated MLG flakes at 100X magnification for clear contrast observation. (Fig. 1(a-d)). Grayscale values obtained along cross-section lines on each image channel show step-like profiles (Fig. 1e).



Figure 1. (a) RGB original optical image of graphene sample transferred on Borosilicate glass substrate. (b) - (d) R, G, and B channel images. The R, G, and B channel images were obtained by using the 'image-colour-split channels' functions in the ImageJ software. (e) The grayscale cross section of contrast image corresponding to the dash lines in images (a-d).

Figure 1(a) represents the RGB colour image of 11 to 114 layers of graphene transferred on a glass substrate. The thickness of the optical image is pre-determined through Optical Microscopy. Figures 1(b)–(d) display R, G, and B channel images of the RGB colour image.From the R and B channels image in figure 1(b, d), the contrast values of graphene samples vary with thickness, but the images are blurred. However, for figure 1(c), which shows the G contrast values, a clear contrast is observed.From Fig. 1(e),the profile corresponding to G channel show much higher grayscale values at thin regions and much lower at thick regions. A significant shift in position of the profiles in R, G, and B channels from the RGB image profile is observed. Plots of contrast spectra against number of layers for the RGB image, R, G, and B channels (Fig. 2) exhibit a linear relationship.



Figure 2: Optical contrast as a function of number of layers for the original RGB optical image, R, G, and B channels.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2015

From Fig. 2, the curves corresponding to R, G and B channel tend to coincide at a region determined to be approximately 80 layers. The optical contrast is governed by a set of linear equations of the form;

$C_{CSRGB} = 0.0078 N - 3.81^{-11}$	(RGB image)	
$C_{CSR} = 0.0071 N + 0.0685$	(R channel)	
$C_{csG} = 0.0083 N - 0.040$	(G channel)	
$C_{CsB} = 0.0075 N - 0.004$	(B channel)	(3)

Where C_{CsRGB} , C_{CsR} , C_{CsG} , and C_{CsB} represent contrast spectra for RGB, R, G, and B channels respectively. The R contrast values for SLG, BLG, tri-layer and tetra-layer graphene are 0.076(R), 0.083 (R), 0.090 (R), and 0.097 (R) respectively. The G contrast values for SLG, BLG, tri-layer and tetra-layer graphene are -0.032 (G), -0.023 (G), -0.015 (G), and -0.007 (G) whereas that of B channel are 0.004 (B), 0.011 (B), 0.019(B), and 0.026(B) for SLG, BLG, tri-layer and tetra-layer graphene respectively. From Eqn. 3 the contrast values for a SLG in RGB transmission channels are found to be 0.076 (R), -0.032 (G), and 0.004 (B). Our RGB, R, G, and B experimental results does not march well with the theoretical data as shown in table 1.

Substrate	R	G	В
Borosilicate substrate (Our	0.076	-0.32	0.004
experimental data)			
$SiO_2(285nm)/Si \ substrate \ [22]$	0.031	0.077	0.011
Al ₂ O ₃ (72 nm)/Si [25]	0.023	0.052	0.119
Quartz [25]	-0.052	-0.060	-0.070
Hexagonal-BN crystal [26]	-0.026	-0.030	-0.035

Table 1: Comparison of R, G, and B channels contrast values with the theoretical contrast values for SLG transferred on different substrates.

From our experiment, the RGB, R, G, and B grayscale values for Borosilicate substrate are constant ≈ 255 . This means that the substrate has a negligible optical absorption. From table 1, the intensity of the transmitted light from MLG samples is lower than that from substrate for R and B channels. However, the observed negative G channel contrast values imply that the intensity of the transmitted light from graphene layers is higher than that from the glass substrate. This values were obtained by calculations based on Fresnel's law (Eqn. 1). Therefore, the substrate used has a strong effect on the contrast measurements hence the selection of R, G, and B contrast values should be based on the substrate used. For MLG transferred on Borosilicate glass slides, the average contrast of 0.674(R) in the R range is better than the 0.669(G) and 0.642(B) range and therefore obtaining the contrast in R channel should be considered the best in identifying and counting of the layers. In our method, we propose R channel among the RGB channels since it provides the highest contrast leading into increased resolution of the contrast of MLG samples.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have shown that the contrast spectrum for RGB, R, G, and B channels for MLG samples obtained using mechanical exfoliation can easily be obtained by fitting the number of graphene layers in equation 3. The R channel offers the highest contrast values of graphene samples transferred on Borosilicate glass substrate. From our experiment, we have been able to show the effect of grayscale values of the substrate to the contrast spectra of RGB, R G and B channels. The method reported is fast, easy and unambiguous way to identify the number of graphene layers in MLG samples.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 11, November 2015

REFERENCES

- [1] Novoselov, K. S., Geim, A. K., Morozov, S. V., Jiang, D., Zhang, Y., Dubonos, S. V., Grigorieva, I. V., and Firsov, A. A, "Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films", Science, Vol. 306, pp. 666, 2004.
- [2] Novoselov,K. S., Jiang,D., Schedin,F., Booth,T. J., Khotkevich, V. V., Morozov,S. V.,and Geim,A. K., "Two-dimensional atomic crystals.", Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. U.S.A, Vol.102, pp.10451, 2005.
- [3] Ni, Z. H., Wang, H. M., Kasim, J., Fan, H. M., Yu, T., Wu, Y. H., Feng, Y. P., and Shen, Z. X., "Graphene thickness determination using reflection and contrast spectroscopy", Nano letters, Vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 2758-2763,2007.
- [4] Wallace, P. R., "The band theory of graphite", Physical Review, Vol. 71, no.9, pp.622-634, 1947.
- [5] Slonczewski, J. C., and Weiss, P. R., "Band structure of graphite", Physical Review, Vol. 109, pp. 272, 1958.
- [6] Semenoff, W. G., "Condensed-matter simulation of a three-dimensional anomaly", Physical Review Letters, Vol. 53, No.26, pp. 2449-2452, 1984.
- [7] Morozov, S. V., Novoselov,K. S., Katsnelson, M. I., Schedin,F., Elias,D. C., Jaszczak,J. A., and Geim,A.K., "Giant intrinsic carrier mobilities in graphene and its bilayer", Physical Review Letters, Vol. 100, pp. 016602, 2008.
- [8] Novoselov, K. S., Geim, A. K., Morozov, S. V., Jiang, D., Zhang, Y., Dubonos, S. V., Grigorieva, I. V., and Firsov, A. A., "Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films", Science, Vol. 306, pp. 666-669, 2004.
- [9] Tan, P. H., Han,W. P., Zhao, W. J., Wu,Z. H., Chang,K., Wang,H., Wang, Y. F., Bonini, N., Marzari,N., Pugno,N., Savini,G., Lombardo,A. and Ferrari,A. C., "The shear mode of multilayer graphene", Nature Mater, Vol.11, pp. 294-300, 2012.
- [10] Nolen, C. M., Denina, G., Teweldebrhan, D., Bhanu, B., Balandin, A. A., "High throughput large-area automated identification and quality control of graphene and few layer graphene films", ACS Nano, Vol. 5, pp. 914-922, 2011.
- [11] Partoens, B., and Peeters, F. M., "From graphene to graphite: Electronic structure around the K point", Physical Review, Vol. B74, pp. 075404, 2006.
- [12] Calizo, I., Miao, F., Bao, W., Lau, C. N., Balandin, A. A., "Variable temperature Raman microscopy as a nanometrology tool for graphene layers and graphene-based devices", Applied Physics Letters, Vol. 91, pp. 071913, 2007.
- [13] Blake, P., Hill,E. W., Castro,N. A. H., Novoselov,K. S., Jiang,D., Yang,R., Booth,T. J., and Geim,A. K., "Making graphene visible", Applied Physics Letters, Vol. 91, pp. 063124, 2007.
- [14] Nair,R. R., Blake,P., Grigorenko,A. N., Novoselov,K. S., Booth,T. J., Stauber,T., Peres,N. M. R., and Geim,A. K., "Fine structure constant defines visual transparency of graphene", Science, Vol. 320, pp.1308, 2007.
- [15] Casiraghi, C., Hartschuh, A., Lidorikis, E., Qian, H., Harutyunyan, H., Gokus, T., Novoselov, K. S., and, A. C. Ferrari, "Rayleigh Imaging of Graphene and Graphene Layers, "Nano Letters, Vol. 7, no. 9, 2711-2717, 2007.
- [16] Ferrari, A. C., Meyer, J. C., Scardaci, V., Casiraghi, C., Lazzeri, M., Mauri, F., Piscanec, S., Jiang, D., Novoselov, K. S., and Roth, S., "Raman spectrum of graphene and graphene layers," Physics Review Letters, Vol. 97, pp. 187401, 2006.
- [17] Gupta, A., Chen,G., Joshi, P., Tadigadapa,S., and Eklund,P. C., "Raman scattering from high-frequency phonons in supported n-graphene layer films", Nano Letters, Vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 2667-2673, 2006.
- [18] Virojanadara, C., Syvajarvi, M., Yakimova, R., Johansson, L. I., Zakharov, A. A., and Balasubramanian, T., "Homogeneous large-area graphene layer growth on 6H-SiC (001)", Physics Review, Vol. B78, pp. 245403, 2008.
 [19] Hibino, H., Kageshima, H., Maeda, F., Nagase, M., Kobayashi, Y., and Yamaguchi, H., "Microscopic thickness determination of thin graphite films
- [19] Hibino,H., Kageshima,H., Maeda,F., Nagase,M., Kobayashi,Y., and Yamaguchi,H., "Microscopic thickness determination of thin graphite films formed on SiC from quantized oscillation in reflectivity of low-energy electrons", Physics Review, Vol. B 77, pp. 075413, 2008.
- [20] Geim, A. K., and Novoselov, K. S., "The rise of graphene", Nature Mater, Vol. 6, pp. 183-191, 2007.
- [21] Stolyarova, E., Rim, K. T., Ryu, S., Maultzsch, J., Kim, P., Brus, L. E., Heinz, T. F., Hybertsen, M. S., and Flynn, G. W., "High-resolution scanning tunneling microscopy imaging of mesoscopic graphene sheets on an insulating surface", PNAS, Vol. 104, no. 22, pp. 9209-9212, 2007.
- [22] Ying, W., Ren, X. G., Zhen, H. N., Hui, H., Shu, G., Huan, P. Y., Chun, X. C., and Ting, Y., "Thickness identification of two-dimensional materials by optical imaging", Nanotechnology, Vol. 23, no. 49, pp. 495713, 2012.
- [23] Hai, L., Jumiati, W., Xiao, H., Gang, L., Jian, Y., Xin, L., Qihua, X., and Hua, Z., "Rapid and reliable thickness identification of two-dimensional nanosheets using optical microscopy", ACS Nano, Vol. 7, no. 11, 10344-10353, 2013.
- [24] Claudia, B. F., and Doroteo, M. L., "Multilayer graphene synthesized by CVD using liquid hexane as the carbon precursor", World Journal of Condensed Matter Physics, Vol. 1, pp. 157-160, 2011.
- [25] Liao,L., Bai,J. W., Qu, Y. Q., Huang, Y., and Duan,X. F., "Single-layer graphene on Al₂O₃/Si substrate: better contrast and higher performance of graphene transistors", Nanotechnology, Vol. 21, pp. 015705, 2010.
- [26] Dean,C. R., Young,A. F., Meric, I., Lee,C.,Wang,L., Sorgenfrei,S., Watanabe,K., Taniguchi,T., Kim,P., Shepard, K., and Hone, J., "Boron nitride substrates for high-quality graphene electronics", Nature Nanotechnology, Vol. 5, pp. 722–6, 2010.