

Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 2, Issue 12, December 2013

Arsenic Content and Physicochemical Parameters of Water from Wells and Thermal Springs at Cuitzeo Lake Basin, Mexico

Alejandra Páez-Sánchez¹, Ruth Alfaro-Cuevas-Villanueva^{2*}, Raúl Cortés-Martínez³, Nuria Segovia⁴

Ph.D. Student, Instituto de Investigaciones Químico Biologicas, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Morelia, Mexico¹

Professor, Instituto de Investigaciones Químico Biologicas, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Morelia, Mexico²

Professor, Facultad de Quimico Farmacobiologia, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Morelia, Mexico³ Professor, Instituto de Geofisica, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Mexico, D.F.⁴

Abstract: Arsenic concentration was evaluated in water from thermal springs and groundwater wells at Cuitzeo basin, Michoacán State, Mexico. There were analyzed also the physicochemical parameters and major components. Arsenic was determined by atomic absorption spectrometry within hydride generation. Physicochemical parameters and major components were analyzed by conventional laboratory methods. Average arsenic concentration values found ranged between 0.001 and 3.8 mg/L, indicating levels above Mexican and international recommendations for drinking water use in at least one of the sites. Results of physicochemical characterization revealed that almost all the water samples had good quality for drinking and domestic purposes, except those with high concentrations of arsenic, which presumably has a geological origin.

Keywords: arsenic, thermal springs, drinking water, Cuitzeo

I. INTRODUCTION

In some areas, as the result of geological composition, the arsenic concentrations that exist naturally in groundwater are elevated. In Mexico, arsenic is naturally found in the water-bearing of the Lagunera Region (States of Coahuila and Durango) in concentrations that exceed 15 times the values recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), affecting a population of 400 000 farmers ^[1]. In drinking water, it is rare to find more than 10 mg/L of arsenic, although values of up to 100 mg/L have been reported, whereas the guide value recommended by the WHO is 0.01 mg/L ^[2]. In Mexico, the maximum permissible level (MPL) of arsenic for drinking water is 0.025 mg/L ^[3]. Approximately 1 of every 100 people that drink, for a long period, water with an arsenic concentration over 0.05 mg/L will possibly die of cancer associated with arsenic, mainly skin cancer. This proportion reaches a 10% if arsenic concentration exceeds 0.5 mg/L ^[2].

Studies about the activity of the Quaternary period in the volcanic field of Michoacán and Guanajuato States, Mexico, indicate that the lacustrine river basins of this zone provide important information about the human impact on the environment^[4]. According to previous studies^[5, 6, 7], the abundance of arsenic in this region is significant and it could be considered as a potential health risk for inhabitants of this zone. For these reasons, this investigation was centered on the study of arsenic levels in thermal springs and drinking water wells located on small communities around Cuitzeo Lake. In addition, other polluting agents present in the groundwater of these communities were also evaluated, by monitoring eight sites to determine the zones where concentrations of such contaminants exceed the recommendations of the current Mexican regulations^[3].



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 12, December 2013

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Studied area

Cuitzeo Lake is located at the northern part of Michoacán state, Mexico (Fig. 1). The region belongs to the middle part of the Mexican Volcanic Belt^[8]. The basin is part of the upper Lerma River and is one of the largest lakes of the zone having an extension of 3,977 km2. The weather is moderate with summer rains (May–October), giving an average annual precipitation of 906 mm and a temperature range from 10°C to 28°C. Recent volcanism has occurred in this region; the youngest volcano, Paricutín, was born in 1943. The main regional geological formations are from Tertiary and Quaternary periods. Michoacán hydrology is composed by the upper Lerma river basin, the central lakes zone and the Balsas River. The main landform of the sampling zone is formed by the Cuitzeo depression. The southern region of the lake has been reported to have neutral-alkaline groundwater type.

Sampling

The sampling sites are located at the south part of Cuitzeo lake, between 100°49′ 53′′ and 101°47′ 98′′ W and 19°53′ 06′′ and 19°54′ 27′′ N, at an average altitude of 1850 m. The samples were obtained from Chucandiro, El Salitre, Santa Rita, San Agustin del Maiz and San Nicolas Zimirao boreholes, and from Los Baños, San Nicolas Zimirao and El Hervidero thermal springs (Figure 1, Table 1).



Figure 1. Sampling sites location.

The sampling campaigns were performed from April to September 2007 according to the Mexican regulations ^[3] and the Standard Methods ^[9]. Three sampling campaigns to determine total arsenic in water were performed in 120 mL polyethylene bottles, previously washed and decontaminated with HNO₃: one day before the sampling the bottles and covers were rinsed three times and filled with deionized water. At the field, two samples were taken at each site, one with the water sample and another with deionized water used as a field blank. The samples were acidified with ultrapure HNO₃. Two sampling campaigns for physicochemical parameters and major components were performed on April and September, due to the stability of the chemical composition in both dry and wet seasons of this kind of waters observed in previous



Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 12, December 2013

studies ^[5, 6]. The samples for these analyses were taken in 1L plastic polyethylene bottles filled and tightly closed to avoid degassing.

5	corresponding to boreholes and A to C corresponding to thermal sp							
		SITE	LOCATION					
	1	Chucandiro (CH)	N 19°53.726'					
	1	Chucanuno (CH)	W 101°20.557'					
	2	El Salitra (ES)	N 19°53.692'					
	2	El Salitle (ES)	W 101°15.598'					
	3	Santa Dita (SD)	N 19°54.142'					
	5	Salita Kita (SK)	W 101°15.598'					
	4	San Agustín del Maíz (SAM)	N 19°53.874'					
	4	Sali Agustili dei Maiz (SAM)	W 101°09.929'					
	5	San Nicolás Zimirao (SP)	N 19°53.758'					
	5	San Micolas Zillillao (SF)	W 100°49.395'					
	۸	Los Baños spring (LB)	N 19°53.062'					
	A	Los Ballos spring (LB)	W 101°20.608'					
	R	San Nicolás Zimirao spring (SM)	N 19°53.69'					
	D	San Micoras Zimirao spring (SM)	W 100°49.53'					
	C	El Harvidaro spring (EH)	N 19°54.27'					
	C	Er Hervidero spring (EH)	W 101°47.98'					

	Table 1. Location of the sampling sites at Cuitzeo basin
((1 to 5 corresponding to boreholes and A to C corresponding to thermal springs).

Measurement techniques

In the field, pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen and salinity were determined with a Corning potentiometer calibrated before each measurement. Temperature was determined with by immersion with a Branan thermometer. Arsenic was analyzed using an atomic absorption spectrometer (PerkinElmer AAnalyst 200) with hydride generation system. Physicochemical parameters and major components were determined at the laboratory following the Mexican regulations and the Standard Methods^[8]. The accuracy of the analyses was checked by the ionic charge balance, obtaining less than 10% difference.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physicochemical parameters

The results of physicochemical parameters for each sampling site: San Agustin del Maiz (SAM), Santa Rita (SR), El Salitre (ES), Los Baños (LB), Chucándiro (CH), El Hervidero (EH), San Nicolás Zimirao borehole (SP) and San Nicolás Zimirao spring (SM), are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

Water temperature ranges between 24 and 58 °C, the site where the lowest temperature was registered was ES on August. The highest was registered in EH site on April and August (Table 2). There is no permissible limit in the current regulation for this parameter, nevertheless it is an important characteristic, because some uses are defined by it (i. e. warm and hot water is used for domestic and recreative purposes), and also because this parameter affects directly the chemical and biological reactions of organisms in water. The increase in temperature decreases the portability of water because at elevated temperature carbon dioxide and other volatile gases which impart taste are expelled ^[10]. Almost all water samples from wells were colorless, clear and odorless indicating the absence of colloidal substances, suspended and decomposed vegetation; only the ES site (Table 3) shows a relatively high value of suspended solids. In the case of water samples from thermal springs, they were colorless and clear, but some sites hoes significant levels of suspended solids but below the MPL.



Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 12, December 2013

pH ranges between 6.49 and 8.16. SAM on August was the site where lowest pH was registered and LB in April, registered the highest value for this parameter (Table 2). According to NOM-127-SSA1-1994 regulation ^[3], pH in drinking water should range between 6.5 and 8.5. All the sites in this study were in the permissible range for this parameter.

and samily (5712) for each sampling sites of unreferr sampling dates.							
Site Sampling date		AT (°C)	WT (°C)	pН	EC (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	SAL
	Apr-2007	nd	43.2	6.8	3230.0	7.7	nd
SAM	Aug-2007	25.0	52.0	6.5	3310.0	7.0	> ld
	Sept-2007	23.0	53.0	6.8	3250.0	< ld	> ld
	Apr-2007	nd	33.0	7.7	500.0	5.9	0.2
SR	Aug-2007	24.0	37.0	7.6	492.0	5.4	0.2
	Sept-2007	28.0	32.0	7.4	475.0	7.1	> ld
	Apr-2007	nd	32.3	7.9	1124.0	4.1	0.6
ES	Aug-2007	24.0	24.0	7.0	1124.0	2.6	0.6
	Sept-2007	25.0	32.0	7.0	1153.0	5.2	0.6
	Apr-2007	nd	35.4	8.2	515.0	4.7	> ld
LB	Aug-2007	24.0	40.0	7.7	522.0	4.8	0.3
	Sept-2007	25.0	40.0	7.8	498.0	6.7	> ld
	Apr-2007	nd	27.4	7.6	259.0	4.4	0.1
CH	Aug-2007	25.0	27.0	7.4	262.0	3.2	0.1
	Sept-2007	25.0	28.0	7.3	257.0	3.9	0.1
	Apr-2007	nd	58.0	6.5	3900.0	< ld	> ld
EH	Aug-2007	25.0	58.0	7.2	3980.0	6.3	> ld
	Sept-2007	27.0	56.0	6.6	3870.0	< ld	> ld
	Apr-2007	nd	32.0	7.4	163.2.0	6.3	0.1
SP	Aug-2007	21.0	39.0	8.0	205.0	7.7	0.1
	Sept-2007	26.0	42.0	7.3	166.6	6.3	> ld
	Apr-2007	nd	25.0	6.8	208.0	6.6	0.1
SM	Aug-2007	22.0	25.0	7.2	225.0	6.9	0.1
	Sept-2007	33.0	25.0	6.8	221.0	6.9	0.1

Table 2. Air temperature (AT), water temperature (WT), pH, electrical conductivity (EC), dissolved oxygen (DO) and salinity (SAL) for each sampling sites on different sampling dates.

< ld: lower than detection limit

> ld: higher than detection limit

nd: not determined

Electrical conductivity (EC) ranged between 163.2 and 3980 μ S/cm (Table 2). SP site on April presented the lowest EC value and EH site on august had the highest value for this parameter. There were two sites that stood out for the high EC they presented: SAM and EH. Both sites presented significantly higher values during three samplings than the rest of the sites. Electrical conductivity indicates the presence of dissolved ions and its determination is very important because it gives an idea of the degree of mineralization of water, and it is also related to the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) in water as it can be clearly seen on Table 3 for the same sites (SAM and EH). The presence of excessive solids in water indicates pollution which can lead to a laxative effect and their presence may be attributed to agricultural activities and geological parameters ^[10].



Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 12, December 2013

Table 3. Chemical Oxygen Demand COD (mg/L), Biochemical Oxygen Demand BOD₅ (mg/L), Total Hardness TH (mg/L), Total Alkalinity TAk (mg/L), Total solids, TS (mg/L), Suspended Solids, SS (mg/L) and Total Dissolved Solids, TDS (mg/L), for each site, for the samplings of April, August and

September 2007.								
Site	Sampling	COD	BOD ₅	TH	TAk	TS	SS	TDS
Sile	date	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
	Apr-07	< ld	< ld	109.7	246.0	1908.0	8.0	1686.0
SAM	Aug-07	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1737.0
	Sep-07	< 1d	< ld	111.8	255.1	1917.0	27.0	1698.0
	Apr-07	< 1d	< ld	43	216.0	350.0	12.0	266.0
SR	Aug-07	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	261.0
	Sep-07	< 1d	< ld	38.7	232.1	342.0	12.0	253.0
	Apr-07	< 1d	< ld	150.5	220.0	890.0	125.0	603.0
ES	Aug-07	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	598.0
	Sep-07	< 1d	< ld	148.4	220.2	900.0	126.0	617.0
	Apr-07	< 1d	< ld	32.3	160.0	324.0	4.0	274.0
LB	Aug-07	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	276.0
	Sep-07	< 1d	< ld	28.0	167.9	333.0	12.0	268.0
	Apr-07	< ld	< ld	83.9	135.0	221.0	30.0	137.3
CH	Aug-07	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	141.7
	Sep-07	< 1d	< ld	81.7	150.5	225.0	27.0	137.8
	Apr-07	2	1.4	131.2	230.0	2439.0	177.0	2060.0
EH	Aug-07	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	2080.0
	Sep-07	1.97	1.3	131.2	194.5	2430.0	180.0	2060.0
	Apr-07	< ld	< ld	92.5	83.0	202.0	97.0	88.1
SP	Aug-07	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	107.4
	Sep-07	< ld	< ld	62.4	82.6	207.0	72.0	88.5
	Apr-07	< ld	< ld	88.2	90.0	214.0	64.0	109.8
SM	Aug-07	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	177.1
	Sep-07	< 1d	< 1d	96.6	70.7	234.0	72.0	119.8

< ld: lower than detection limit

nd: not determined

Dissolved oxygen (DO) ranged between 2.59 and 7.73mg/L. ES site on August were the site with the lowest DO value and SAM presented the highest one (Table 2). There were two sites that presented values lower than detection limit: SAM on September and EH on April and September. It can be observed a relationship existing between DO and water temperatures, since both sites with values of DO lower than detection limit were the same that presented the highest water temperature. Dissolved oxygen is most important in potable water systems for its effect on other chemicals in the water; it oxidizes both organic and inorganic altering their chemical and physical states and their capacity as a nuisance to the consumer ^[11].

As for Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) values, all the sites presented values lower than detection limit, with the exception of the site EH (Table 3), though the values obtained were low (2 mg/L on April and 1.97 on September). COD is the quantity of organic and inorganic matter in water that can be oxidized only by a strong oxidizer and it is used for measuring the degree of pollution caused by organic matter ^[11]. In this case, the results suggest that pollution due to non biodegradable organic compounds is not significant at these sites.

Likewise, the values of BOD₅ were found below the detection limit, with the exception of the EH well (Table 3), which values were 1.4 mg/L on April and 1.97 mg/L on September. BOD₅ is an estimation of the quantity of oxygen that a microbial heterogeneous population needs to oxidize the organic matter of a water sample in a period of 5 days ^[12] and as



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 12, December 2013

COD it is used to determinate the degree of pollution. These results indicate that only EH site presents organic pollution, though in very low concentrations.

Total hardness (TH) ranged between 27.95 and 150.5 mg/L, the highest reported value where found in ES, on April and the lowest one where found in LB on September (Table 3). Total hardness refers to the sum of concentrations of calcium and magnesium. In general, total hardness was lower during rains than during the dry season, with the exception of the sites SAM and SM, where an opposite behavior was observed, and EH, where the values obtained for both samplings were the same. This behavior could be attributed to the dilution effect of rain water, since part of the sampling campaigns where carried out at rainy season. High levels of hardness, may lead to heart diseases and kidney stone formation, making hard waters unsuitable for drinking, washing, cleaning and laundering ^[10]. On the other side, there is some indication that very soft waters may have an adverse effect on mineral balance ^[2]. In this case, waters from the study area are not considered as hard waters, but in sites like LB and CH, where TH concentration was less than 100 mg/L, the waters may have a low buffering capacity and may be more corrosive to water pipes.

Total alkalinity ranges from 70.647 to 255.125 mg/L. The lowest value was reported for SP during September, while the highest value was reported for SAM also during September. Alkalinity expresses the presence of substances that can be hydrolyzed in water and as a product of the hydrolysis they generate the hydroxyl ion (OH⁻), like strong bases and the hydroxides of alkaline-earth metals, and also carbonates and phosphates. The presence of borates and silicates in high concentrations also contribute to the alkalinity of the water. High alkalinity values could lead to sour taste and salinity. It can be observed that in sites with a high salinity values, the total alkalinity is also high (Tables 2 and 3). Temporary trend for this parameter shows a slightly increase in concentration during rainy season in sites corresponding to wells and the opposite for thermal springs, which could be attributed to lixiviation of minerals during the recharge of the aquifer.

Total dissolved solids ranged between 88.1 and 2080 mg/L, being SP on April, where the lowest value was founded, and EH in August, where the highest value was observed. There were two sites that presented values over the permissible limits (1000 mg/L) and they were SAM and EH, which during three samplings presented similar values and always exceeded the Mexican regulations (DOF, 1994). These results suggest a high presence of inorganic salts, mainly calcium, magnesium, potassium, sodium, bicarbonates, chlorides and sulfates, in waters from these sites.

Major ions

Major ions constitute a significant part of the total dissolved solids present in groundwater. The results for the concentrations of major ions are shown in Table 4. The content of calcium in the samples ranged between 8.6 and 50.74 mg/L, being LB on September and SP on April where the lowest values were found. In general, the content of calcium of the samples was comparatively low than other ions. Magnesium was found ranging between 1.044 to 16.708 mg/L. The lowest value was reported for three sites: SR, on both samplings; LB on April and EH on September. The highest value was reported for ES on April. The magnesium was also found in relatively low concentrations. Generally, the sites that presented the highest calcium and magnesium concentrations were also the sites with the highest values of total hardness (Table 3). The abundance of Ca, Na, and Mg is associated with minerals such as montmorillonite, illite, and chlorite ^[13]. Calcium ion present in the ground water of the study area might have come from dissolution of precipitates of CaCO₃ and CaMg(CO₃)₂ during recharge. Magnesium may have come from the dissolution of magnesium calcite, gypsum, and/or dolomite ^[13, 14].

Sodium ranged from lower than detection limit up to 806.782 mg/L. The site that reported a lower than detection limit value where SP on April and the highest value where found in EH also in April. Sodium was the predominant cation in SAM in both samplings. There are three sites that surpassed the MPL allowed by Mexican regulations (200 mg/L)^[3]: SAM, EH and ES. There is a direct relationship between high concentrations of sodium and coronary diseases, hypertension and renal and hepatic diseases ^[15]. Waters from these sites should not be used as a drinking water source due to their high content of sodium.



Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 2, Issue 12, December 2013

Chloride occurs in all natural waters in varying concentrations. Concentration is usually greater in groundwater than surface water especially if salt deposits are in the area. Chloride in small concentrations are not harmful to humans in drinking water, and with some adaptation, the human body can tolerate water with as much as 200 mg/L chloride ion ^[11]. In sites from the study area, Chlorides ranged between 3.39 to 999.69 mg/L. SP site on April, presented the lowest value and EH site on September registered the highest value. Chlorides were the predominant ion in EH and SAM. Also, these two sites exceeded the maximum concentration allowed by the Mexican regulations (250 mg/L) ^[3]. In water containing 25 mg Cl⁻/L it can be detected a salty flavor if the predominant cation is sodium, which in this case, a strong correlation can be observed between these ions (Na⁺ and Cl⁻), Table 5, specially on sites SAM and EH. On the other hand, this flavor can be absent in water containing even 1 g/L of Cl⁻, when the predominant cations are calcium and magnesium. Furthermore, high concentrations of chlorides can and avoid the growth of plants and can cause damages to metallic structures by promoting corrosion of pipes, which could be potentially dangerous if pipes are made of toxic metals.

Sulphate is one of the least toxic anions of which WHO does not have any recommended value for drinking water, but catharsis, dehydration and gastrointestinal irritation have been linked to high sulphate concentrations in drinking water ^[11]. In addition, values over 250 mg/L have a "purgative" effect ^[16]. In this study, sulfates ranged between 2.25 and 450.244 mg/L. The lowest value was found in SP on September and the highest value corresponded to SAM on the same month. Only SAM surpassed the MPL allowed by Mexican regulations ^[3]. In the water systems for domestic use, sulfates do not produce an increase of corrosion on metallic accessories. Nevertheless, when sulfates concentrations are over 200 mg/L, the concentration of dissolved lead from lead pipelines is increased.

Bicarbonates ranged between 83 and 255.125 mg/L. The lowest value was found in SP on April and the highest one in SAM on September (Table 4). Bicarbonates were the predominant ions in all the sites with the exception of SAM and EH. Carbonates were not reported with the exception of two sites (SR and LB, both on September) and in very low concentrations (1.834 mg/L) (Table 4). Bicarbonate were the predominant ion due to the fact that carbonates are only found in water which pH is over 8.3 (carbonates were only found in two of the sites with highest pH values).

Site	Sampling	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Na ⁺	Cl	SO_4^{2+}	HCO ₃ ⁻	CO ₃ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	N-NH ₃
CAM	Apr-07	28.380	9.398	674.086	577.420	430.317	246.000	< ld	0.033	0.840
SAM	Sep-07	34.400	6.265	666.189	572.910	450.244	255.125	< 1d	0.032	0.810
сD	Apr-07	15.480	1.044	100.052	29.900	29.060	216.000	< ld	0.027	< ld
эк	Sep-07	13.760	1.044	96.970	10.490	28.404	230.293	1.834	0.027	< ld
ES	Apr-07	32.680	16.708	201.525	184.940	74.413	220.000	< ld	0.018	0.168
ES	Sep-07	49.020	6.265	210.536	194.930	72.715	220.2	< 1d	0.018	0.169
ТD	Apr-07	11.180	1.044	105.917	56.980	43.120	160.000	< ld	0.020	< ld
LD	Sep-07	8.600	1.566	108.587	54.480	43.450	166.068	1.834	0.021	< ld
СЦ	Apr-07	21.500	7.309	26.078	2.990	11.070	135.000	< ld	0.050	< ld
Сп	Sep-07	21.560	6.787	27.986	3.990	11.737	150.47	< 1d	0.050	< ld
БП	Apr-07	45.580	4.177	806.782	994.690	184.546	230.000	< ld	0.029	0.224
ЕП	Sep-07	50.740	1.044	802.170	999.690	186.795	194.51	< 1d	0.030	0.225
SD	Apr-07	8.600	16.173	< ld	3.390	5.750	83.000	< ld	0.025	< ld
Sr	Sep-07	9.460	9.398	13.089	3.490	2.250	82.575	< 1d	0.024	< 1d
SM	Apr-07	19.920	9.920	10.308	5.990	21.265	90.000	< ld	0.213	< ld
SIVI	Sep-07	19.780	11.480	15.096	4.490	29.665	70.647	< 1d	0.214	< ld

Table 4. Major ions concentrations in water from springs and wells (mg/L).

< ld: lower than detection limit



Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 12, December 2013

Nitric oxides, nitrates and ammonia/ammonium are very soluble in water and can quickly end up in ground and drinking water. Nitrates in sampled sites were found in concentrations from 0.018 up to 0.214 mg/L. The lowest value corresponded to ES on September and the highest one corresponded to SM also on September. Ammonia nitrogen was only found in SAM, ES and EH, in both samplings. Concentrations ranged from 0.168 to 0.84 mg/L. ES on April presented the lowest value and SAM also on April presented the highest one. This last site presented concentrations that exceeded Mexican regulations ^[3]. The toxicity of the ammonia nitrogen depends on the ionic composition, temperature and pH. Particularly to acid pH, the toxicity of ammonia nitrogen increases hundred times, because it takes the not ionized form, or, ammonia. On the other hand, when pH is higher than 10, the whole ammonia nitrogen is ionized and presented as ammonium ^[17].

Arsenic

The results for the content of arsenic for each sampling site, during the three samplings are shown in Table 5. The content of arsenic in LB and EH, was over the maximum permissible level of the in force Mexican regulations for water destined for human use and consumption ^[3]. Concentrations of arsenic ranged between 0.001 and 3.812 mg/L and some samples revealed a content of arsenic lower than the detection limit of the instrument (CH on September, 2007 and SM in the three samplings).

Site	Sampling	Conc. (mg/L)	Site	Sampling	Conc. (mg/L)				
	Apr-2007	0.004		Apr-2007	0.001				
SAM	Aug-2007	0.009	CH	Aug-2007	0.003				
	Sep-2007	0.005		Sep-2007	< ld				
	Apr-2007	0.002		Apr-2007	3.801				
SR	Aug-2007	0.002	EH	Aug-2007	3.812				
	Sep-2007	0.002		Sep-2007	2.225				
	Apr-2007	0.003		Apr-2007	0.006				
ES	Aug-2007	0.004	SP	Aug-2007	0.002				
	Sep-2007	0.003		Sep-2007	0.003				
	Apr-2007	0.005		Apr-2007	< ld				
LB	Aug-2007	0.014	SM	Aug-2007	< ld				
	Sep-2007	0.022		Sep-2007	< ld				

Table 5. Concentrations of As for each site of study in each sampling.

< ld: lower than detection limit

The site that presented the lowest value was CH on April (0.001 mg/L) and the one that presented the highest value was EH on August (3.812 mg/L). Nevertheless in EH, during the whole period of study, the concentrations exceeded the MPL for water destined for human use and consumption ^[3] by several orders of magnitude. Another site that exceeded, the guidelines for arsenic in drinking water ^[2, 3] was LB during August and September (0.014 and 0.022 mg/L respectively). SAM during August almost reached the MPL (0.009 mg/L).

The upgrade to the NOM-127-SSA1-1994 Mexican norm, regarding to environmental Health, water for human use and consumption, permissible limits of quality and treatments for water purification ^[18], indicates as maximum permissible limit a concentration of 0.01 mg/L of arsenic since 2006, whereas the water collected in the spring El Hervidero (EH) the lowest concentration was of 2.225 mg/L (September, 2007) and the highest was of 3.812 mg/L (August, 2007). Natural enrichment of groundwater by arsenic can arise in several ways like hydrothermal volcanism, oxidation of arsenical sulphide minerals, reduction of FeOOH and release of its sorbed load to groundwater, desorption of As from mineral sorption sites in response to increase of pH, and evaporative concentration; as well as anthropogenic sources like agricultural applications, wood preservation, glass production and mining activities ^[19, 20, 21]. On the EH site, there is not industrial or significant agricultural activities, therefore these sources can be discarded as pollution sources for this site. Besides, some studies have found presence of arsenic in groundwater near the studied area (used mainly for geothermal exploitation), relating As occurrence to the water-rock interaction and the geothermal nature of the zone ^[22, 23]. Furthermore, a general relationship between As and salinity in geothermal waters from the USA has been reported



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 12, December 2013

 $^{[21, 24]}$, where concentration greater than 1 mg/L of As mostly had Cl⁻ concentrations of 800 mg/L or more, which is the case of EH site in this study.

Water uses

As for the uses to which the water of every analyzed source is destined (domestic, human consumption, recreative with contact and salt production), these are shown in Table 6.

to which it is destined in the sampling sites.							
SITE	USES	PARAMETERS THAT EXCEEDED	IS WATER SUITABLE FOR THE USES TO WHICH				
SILE	USES	THE NORM	IT IS DESTINED?				
		Total dissolved solids					
		Sodium					
SAM	Domestic	Chlorides	NO				
		Sulfates					
		Ammonia nitrogen					
	Domestic						
SR	Human	Non	YES				
	consumption						
ES	Domestic	Sodium	YES				
	Domestic						
	Human						
LB	consumption	Arsenic	NO				
	Recreative with						
	contact						
CH	Domestic	Non	YES				
	Recreative with	Total dissolved solids					
сц	contact	Sodium	NO				
ЕП	Salt production	Chlorides	NO				
	Cook food	Arsenic					
	Domestic						
SP	Human	Non	YES				
	consumption						
	Domestic						
SM	Human	Non	YES				
	consumption						

Table 6. Water uses, parameters that exceeded the norm and suitability of the water for the uses to which it is destined in the sampling sites.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Generally, physicochemical quality of water from springs and wells at the studied area is good, with some exceptions like SAM site that has a major quantity of parameters that exceed the Mexican regulations, but, due to the fact that the use that is given to this water is only domestic, it does not represent any risk for the health, though the high concentration of chlorides could damage the pipelines and other metallic structures. Besides, in LB site, the parameter that exceeded the permissible limit was arsenic and due to the fact that the water in this site is used for domestic activities, for human consumption and recreational activities with contact, it represents a risk for the health of the people who uses and consumes this water. Therefore, a treatment process for the removal of arsenic is recommended.

In EH site, total dissolved solids exceeded the permissible limit, as well as sodium and chlorine, but this doesn't represent any risk for the health, since the use of the water is recreative with contact and for obvious reasons, for the extraction of salt. Nevertheless, this site presented the highest concentrations of arsenic found in this study, and they exceeded for much the permissible levels of Mexican and international regulations. Hence, it is not recommended its use for any practical uses before a water treatment is applied.

The sites SR, CH, SP and SM did not show parameters that were exceeding the regulations, for what it is considered that this water is totally suitable for any of the uses for which it is destined.



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 12, December 2013

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge financial support by Coordinación de la Investigación Científica (CIC-UMSNH, 2013), in the same way Juan Rangel Camarena and Benjamín Villalobos Castañeda for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

[1] World Health Organization, WHO. "Mitigación de los efectos del arsénico presente en las aguas subterráneas". Informe de la Secretaría. Consejo Ejecutivo. 118ª reunión. http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/EB118/B118_14-sp.pdf. Accessed September 2009.

[2] World Health Organization, WHO. "Guidelines for drinking water quality". First addendum to third edition, vol. 1, Recomendations. Geneva. 2006.

[3] Diario Oficial de la Federación, DOF. NOM-127-SSA-1994. "Salud ambiental, agua para uso y consumo humano. Límites permisibles de calidad y tratamiento a que debe someterse el agua para su potabilización". México. 1994.

[4] A.J. Newton, S. Metcalfe, S. Davies, G. Cook, P. Barker and R.J. Telford "Late quaternary record from lakes of Michoacan, Central Mexico". Quaternary Science Reviews, vol. 24, p.p. 91-104. 2005.

[5] R. Alfaro, N. Segovia, P. Peña, M.B.E. López, M.A. Armienta, J. Rangel, and J.L. Seidel "Radon behavior in springs and wells around Cuitzeo Lake, Lerma River basin". Geofísica Internacional, vol. 41, no. 4, p.p. 439-445. 2002.

[6] N. Segovia, R.M. Barragán, E. Tello, R. Alfaro, M. Mena, S. Pulinets, and A. Leyva "Geochemical exploration at Cuitzeo basin geothermal zone (Mexico)". Journal of Applied Sciences, vol. 5, no. 9, p.p. 1658-1664. 2005.

[7] I. Israde-Alcántara, V.H. Garduño-Monroy and R. Ortega-Murillo "Paleoambiente lacustre del cuaternario tardío en el centro del lago de Cuitzeo". Hidrobiológicas, vol. 12, p.p. 61-78. 2002.

[8] I. Israde-Alcántara and V.H. Garduño-Monroy. "Lacustrine record in a volcanic intra-arc setting: The evolution of the late Neogene Cuitzeo Basin System (Central Western Mexico, Michoacán)". Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, vol. 151, p.p. 209–227. 1999.

[9] APHA-AWWA-WPCF. "Methods for the examination of water". 19th edition. American Public Health Association (APHA), American Water Works Association (AWWA), Water Pollution Control Federation. (WPCF). Washington. 1995.

[10] K. Karunakaran, P. Thamilarasu and R. Sharmila. "Statistical study on physicochemical characteristics of groundwater in and around Namakkal, Tamilnadu, India". E-Journal of Chemistry, vol. 6 no. 3, p.p. 909-914. 2009.

[11] J.K. Nduka, O.E. Orisakwe and L.O. Ezenweke. "Some physicochemical parameters of potable water supply in Warry, Niger delta area of Nigeria". Scientific Research and Essay, vol. 3, no. 11, p.p. 547-551. 2008.

[12] Diario Oficial de la Federación, DOF. "Norma NMX-AA-028-SCFI-2001. Determinación de la demanda bioquímica de oxígeno en aguas naturales, residuales (DBO₅) y residuales tratadas". México. 2001.

[13] R.M. Garrels. "A survey of low temperature water mineral relations. In: Interpretation of environmental isotope and hydrogeochemical data in groundwater hydrology". Vienna, International Atomic Energy Agency, p. p. 65–84. 1976.

[14] E. Lakshmanan, R. Kannan and M.K. Senthil. "Major ion chemistry and identification of hydrogeochemical processes of ground water in a part of Kancheepuram district, Tamil Nadu, India". Environmental Geosciences, vol. 10, no. 4, p.p. 157-166. 2003.

[15] R.M. Osicka, and M.C. Giménez. "Determinación del contenido de sodio y potasio en aguas naturales subterráneas por fotometría de llama". Comunicaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas. Universidad Nacional del Nordeste. Argentina. 2004.

[16] G.A. Bolaños, L.M. Pérez and C.E. Garza. "Tutorial de Análisis de Agua. Educación Asistida por Computadora". Unidad Académica Multidisciplinaria Reynosa-Aztlán. UAT. http://www.avantel.net/~arbolag/html/index.html Accessed on: February 15th, 2008.

[17] M.T. Leal and S. Miranda. "Estudio de clasificación de la laguna El Limón, San Miguel, Chiapas". Anuario IMTA. Instituto Mexicano de Tecnología del Agua. México. 2005.

[18] Diario Oficial de la Federación, DOF. "Modificación a la Norma Oficial Mexicana NOM-127-SSA1-1994, Salud ambiental. Agua para uso y consumo humano. Límites permisibles de calidad y tratamientos a que debe someterse el agua para su potabilización". México. 2000.

[19] A.H. Welch, D.B. Westjohn, D.R. Helsel and R.B. Wanty. "Arsenic in ground water of the United States: occurrence and geochemistry". Ground Water, vol. 38, p.p. 589-604. 2000.

[20] R.T. Nickson, J.M. McArthur, B. Shrestha, T.O. Kyaw-Myint and D. Lowry. "Arsenic and other drinking water quality issues, Muzaffargarh District, Pakistan". Applied Geochemistry, vol. 20, p.p. 55-68. 2005.

[21] P.L. Smedley and D.G. Kinniburgh. "A review of the source, behaviour and distribution of arsenic in natural waters". Applied Geochemistry, vol. 17, p.p. 517-568. 2002.

[22] M.A. Ortega-Guerrero. "Presencia, distribución, hidrogeoquímica y origen de arsénico, fluoruro y otros elementos traza disueltos en agua subterránea, a escala de cuenca hidrológica tributaria de Lerma-Chapala, México". Revista Mexicana de ciencias Geológicas, vol. 26, no. 1, p.p. 143-161. 2009.

[23] M.A. Armienta and N. Segovia. "Arsenic and fluoride in the groundwater of Mexico". Environmental Geochemistry and Health, vol. 30, p.p. 345-353. 2008.

[24] A.H. Welch, M.S. Lico and J.L. Hughes. "Arsenic in ground-water of the Western United States". Ground Water, vol. 26, p.p. 333–347. 1988.