

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 4, April 2015

# **Comparative Analysis of a Parabolic Weir**

Prajakta N. Badhe<sup>1</sup>, Mohd. Zain Kangda<sup>2</sup>, Shobha G. Kundu<sup>3</sup>, Abdul Ashfak Khan<sup>4</sup>

Asst. Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, PCE, Maharashtra, India<sup>1</sup>

Asst. Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, PIGCE, Maharashtra, India<sup>2</sup>

Asst. Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, PCE, Maharashtra, India<sup>3</sup>

Asst. Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, PCE, Maharashtra, India<sup>4</sup>

**ABSTRACT**: In open channel flow measurement, weirs and flumes are used to produce known repeatable characteristics in the process of stream. Weirs, flumes and other devices develop a liquid head that is used to measure the flow rate. Weirs also give engineers as well as hydrologist a simple method of measuring the rate of fluid flow in small and medium sized stream or in industrial discharge locations.

One such type of the parabolic weir is studied here. In this type of weir the discharge equation was having a flow depth exponent as a whole number. A Parabolic weir profile gave the simplified discharge equation with two as the flow depth exponent. In this project the parabolic weir with weir angles  $30^\circ$ ,  $45^\circ$ ,  $60^\circ$  were designed and fabricated. Various head measurements are taken in the flume at various equidistant places. The coefficients of discharge were measured.

**KEYWORDS**: Parabolic weir, Weir angle, Coefficient of discharge.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Water flowing in open channels serves humans in many ways and accurate record of discharge is crucial in each of such uses. Floods cause such extensive damage that records of extreme events are needed for the design of flood control reservoirs, flood warning systems, flood plane declination, bridges and culverts. To measure the discharge in an open channel, field methodologies and laboratory methodologies are available.

Efficient use of water for irrigation depends largely on measurement of water. Principles and methods of water measurement can be understood well by knowing the increasing utilization and value of available water and the growing tendency among irrigation companies to base annual water charges on the volume of water used. Information concerning the relations between water, soils and plants cannot be utilized in irrigation practice without the measurement of water.

#### 1.1 Weirs.

A weir is a device which is used to measure the rate of flow of a liquid through a small channel. Weirs have been studied extensively and are applied as water control structures and flow measurement devices in a wide range of agriculture and municipal applications.

#### 1.2 Classification of weirs.

Weirs are classified according to the shape of the opening, shape of crest, effect of the sides of the nappe and nature of discharge. The important classification of weirs is as follows:

- a) According to shape of the opening weirs are classified as:
  - 1) Rectangular weir
  - 2) Triangular weir
  - 3) Trapezoidal weir
  - 4) Parabolic weir
- b) According to the shape of crest weirs are classified as:
  - 1) Sharp crested weir



ISSN(Online) : 2319 - 8753 ISSN (Print) : 2347 - 6710

### International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 4, April 2015

- 2) Broad-crested weir
- 3) Narrow-crested weir
- 4) Ogee-shaped weir
- c) According to the effect of sides on the emerging nappe weirs are classified as:
  - 1) Weirs with end contraction
  - 2) Weirs without end contraction

The sharp-crested weir, being a simple device, is most commonly used in channels for flow measurement and flow regulation. Attempts have been made to study in detail the flow over different shapes of normal conventional weirs, side weirs, oblique weirs and parabolic weirs. Most of the investigators have expressed the discharge coefficient as a function of various parameters.

#### 1.3 Parabolic weir and its advantages.

Parabolic weir is a special type of weir which governs the flow depth exponent as two. Parabolic weirs are governs the merits of rectangular weirs as well as that of triangular weirs. The main advantage of this weir is that the expression for discharge contains flow depth exponent as two which makes the manual calculations very simple. The discharge capacity of parabolic weirs is 33.3% more as compared to that of triangular weirs under similar flow velocity and weir dimensions. Since the parabolic shape is closer to a triangular shape than a rectangular shape, the parabolic weirs are expected to hold the accuracy and sensitivity as that of standard triangular weirs. The hydraulic performance of parabolic weir will have the combined merits of both rectangular and triangular weirs as its profile is considered as an intermediate profile. The designed parabolic weirs after calibration can be readily employed as simple, efficient field irrigation and industrial flow measurement devices based on the dimensions of the existing channels and the required discharge capacity.

#### II. BASIC CONCEPT OF PARABOLIC WEIR

#### 2.1 Theoretical discharge of the parabolic weir designated by weir angles



Figure- 2.1: Parabolic Weir Profile.

The sketch of a parabolic weir profile as shown in figure-2.1, has H and L as the total height and projected length of weir profile respectively; h is the head steady flow depth from the bottom of weir; l is chord length at an arbitrary depth; z is the arbitrary depth of liquid above weir bottom; x and y the horizontal and vertical coordinates of weir profile and Ø is the weir angle. The total theoretical discharge across parabolic weir is obtained by integrating the fluid velocity over the area of flow based on continuity equation.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 4, April 2015

Considering two locations, one well upstream of the weir and another just downstream of weir, the theoretical discharge is given by equation 2.1.1.

 $Q_t = \int^h V_2 \, dA$  ..... Eq. (2.1.1)

Where, h is in m

 $V_2$  is the linear flow velocity of fluid in m/s.

dA = 1 dz is the differential area in m<sup>2</sup>

l = chord length in m.

Differential depth dz (in meter) of an arbitrary elemental strip is considered in the cross-sectional area of flow. The varying chord length along weir depth is obtained from the parabolic profile of weir.

Linear flow velocity  $V_2$  across the weir was obtained by applying Bernoulli's equation with simplifying assumptions and given by equation 2.1.2.

$$V_2 = \sqrt{2g(h-z)}$$
 ..... Eq. (2.1.2)

Where, h is the steady state flow depth at a well upstream location in m; z is an arbitrary depth of liquid above the weir bottom, slightly on weir downstream.

The differential area of flow (dA) was obtained from the equation of a parabola representing the weir profile. The equation of a parabola with a vertical axis and opening upward and given by equation 2.1.3.

$$K^2 = 4fy$$
 .....Eq. (2.1.3)

Where, *f* is distance of the focus (0, f) from the vertex (0, 0) and it represents the flatness of parabola. Based on the weir profile given in fig. at x = L/2, y = H, and substituting these values in equation (2.1.3), *f* is expressed by equation 2.1.4.

$$f = \frac{L^2}{16H}$$
 .....Eq. (2.1.4)

Where, L is the projected length of weir profile in m; and H is the height of weir in m, another way of specifying the parabolic weir geometry is through a weir angle  $\emptyset$  using the maximum dimensions of weir. The weir angle ( $\emptyset$  = angle AOB) measured in degrees is obtained from top width or whole projected crest length L and height H of weir profile. Replacing L in equation (2.1.4) with  $\emptyset$  from triangle COB, *f* is defined by equation 2.1.5.

$$f = \frac{H}{4} [\tan \frac{\emptyset}{2}]^2$$
 ..... Eq. (2.1.5)

Parabolic weir profiles of different shapes can be obtained by changing the parabola focus parameter. The weir angle, which is directly proportional to parabola focus Eq. (2.1.5), can be considered as the included angle of standard triangular weirs, and this analogy makes the parabolic weir shapes specification easy.

Chord length *l* of weir profile at arbitrary elevation z in terms of weir angle  $\emptyset$  is obtained by substituting *f* from Eq. (2.1.5) and taking x = l/2 and y = z in Eq. (2.1.3) and is given by equation 2.1.6.

$$I = 2[\tan\frac{\emptyset}{2}]\sqrt{(Hz)}$$
 .....Eq. (2.1.6)

The differential area (dA = 1 dz) evaluated from the above relationship of weir profile chord length 1 and given by equation 2.1.7.

$$dA = 2[\tan \frac{\emptyset}{2}] \sqrt{(Hz)}$$
 .....Eq. (2.1.7)

The theoretical discharge  $Q_t$  from Eq. (2.1.1), using Eqs. (2.1.2) & (2.1.7) is given by equation 2.1.8.

$$Q_t = 2[\tan \frac{\varphi}{2}] \sqrt{(2gh)} \int_0^h \sqrt{h-z} \sqrt{z} dz$$
 ..... Eq. (2.1.8)

Solution of integral of Eq. (2.1.8) using Wolfarm Integrator (2005) is given by equation 2.1.9.

$$Q_{t} = 2 \left[ \tan \frac{\emptyset}{2} \right] \sqrt{2gH} \left[ \frac{1}{4} \left( \sqrt{h-z} \sqrt{z} \left( -h+2z \right) + h^{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{h-z}} \right) \right) \right]^{h} \qquad \dots \qquad \text{Eq. (2.1.9)}$$
Applying limits and simplifying the above equation, we get
$$Q_{t} = \mathbf{Kh}^{2} \qquad \dots \qquad \text{Eq. (2.1.10)}$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{2gH} \left[ \tan \frac{\emptyset}{2} \right] \qquad \dots \qquad \text{Eq. (2.1.11)}$$

Where, K is the weir geometry constant in ms<sup>-1</sup> and is specific to different parabolic weir profiles (H and  $\emptyset$ ). Theoretical discharge Eq. (2.1.10) was determined for various values of h, after obtaining the weir geometry constant K Eq. (2.1.11) from the fabricated weir dimensions. The theoretical discharge is greater than the actual discharge due to contraction and friction. The actual discharge relationship was obtained from the theoretical discharge using Eq. (2.1.10) after evaluating the coefficient of discharge which is given by equation 2.1.12.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 4, April 2015

 $Q_a = C_d Kh^2$  ..... Eq. (2.1.12) Where,  $Q_a$  is the actual discharge in ms<sup>-1</sup>,  $C_d$  is the dimensionless coefficient of discharge and is given by  $Q_a/Q_{th}$ .

#### III. DESIGN PROFILES OF PARABOLIC WEIR FOR 30, 45 & 60.

#### 3.1 Fabrication of Parabolic Weirs

The weir dimensions were based on the maximum available width and depth of the channel, in which weirs were installed. The test was conducted on a hydraulic flume. The flume channel was 10m long and had cross-sectional dimensions of 0.3m width and 0.63m depth.

The equation for plotting the parabola profile for fabrication was obtained from Eqs. (2.1.3) and (2.1.5) and is given by equation 3.1.1.

 $y = \frac{x^2}{Htan\left[\frac{\phi}{2}\right]}$ ..... Eq. 3.1.1

Based on hydraulic flume channel cross-sectional dimensions and margins required for mounting the weir, a maximum depth H of 0.235m was selected for weirs with values 30°, 45°, and 60° for Ø. Parabolic profiles were obtained using Eq. (3.1.1). Plotted profiles were transferred to 10mm thick fibre sheets for fabrication. Table 3.1 shows the parabolic weir profiles co-ordinates for weir angles 30°, 45°, and 60°. Figure 3.1.1, 3.1.2 and 3.1.3 show the parabolic weir profile for weir angles 30°, 45°, and 60° respectively.

Table -3.1: Parabolic Weir Profiles co-ordinates for various weir angles.

 $\emptyset = 30^{\circ} - K = 0.4519$  $\emptyset = 45^{\circ} - K = 0.6896$  $\emptyset = 60^{\circ} - K = 0.9737$ 

| Y    | X (for 30°) | X (for 45°) X (for 60°) |          |
|------|-------------|-------------------------|----------|
| 0    | 0           | 0                       | 0        |
| 0.01 | -0.012988   | -0.020080               | -0.02798 |
| 0.02 | -0.018368   | -0.028397               | -0.03957 |
| 0.03 | -0.022456   | -0.034779               | -0.04847 |
| 0.04 | -0.025977   | -0.040160               | -0.05596 |
| 0.05 | -0.029043   | -0.044899               | -0.06257 |
| 0.06 | -0.031815   | -0.049185               | -0.06854 |
| 0.07 | -0.034364   | -0.053126               | -0.07403 |
| 0.08 | -0.036737   | -0.056794               | -0.07915 |
| 0.09 | -0.038965   | -0.060240               | -0.08395 |
| 0.10 | -0.041073   | -0.063498               | -0.08849 |
| 0.11 | -0.043078   | -0.066597               | -0.09281 |
| 0.12 | -0.044993   | -0.069559               | -0.09693 |
| 0.13 | -0.046831   | -0.072399               | -0.10089 |
| 0.14 | -0.048598   | -0.075132               | -0.10470 |
| 0.15 | -0.050304   | -0.077769               | -0.10837 |
| 0.16 | -0.051954   | -0.080319               | -0.11193 |
| 0.17 | -0.053553   | -0.082791               | -0.11537 |
| 0.18 | -0.055105   | -0.085192               | -0.11872 |
| 0.19 | -0.056615   | -0.087526               | -0.12197 |
| 0.20 | -0.058086   | -0.089800               | -0.12514 |
| 0.21 | -0.059520   | -0.092017               | -0.12823 |
| 0.22 | -0.060921   | -0.094183               | -0.13125 |



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 4, April 2015

| 0.23 | -0.062290 | -0.096300 | -0.13420 |
|------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| 0.24 | -0.063630 | -0.098371 | -0.13708 |
| 0.25 | -0.064942 | -0.100399 | -0.13991 |
| 0.25 | 0.064942  | 0.100399  | 0.139911 |
| 0.24 | 0.063630  | 0.098371  | 0.137084 |
| 0.23 | 0.062290  | 0.096300  | 0.134198 |
| 0.22 | 0.060921  | 0.094183  | 0.131248 |
| 0.21 | 0.059520  | 0.092017  | 0.128230 |
| 0.20 | 0.058086  | 0.089800  | 0.125140 |
| 0.19 | 0.056615  | 0.087526  | 0.121971 |
| 0.18 | 0.055105  | 0.085192  | 0.118718 |
| 0.17 | 0.053553  | 0.082791  | 0.115373 |
| 0.16 | 0.051954  | 0.080319  | 0.111929 |
| 0.15 | 0.050304  | 0.077769  | 0.108374 |
| 0.14 | 0.048598  | 0.075132  | 0.104700 |
| 0.13 | 0.046831  | 0.072399  | 0.100891 |
| 0.12 | 0.044993  | 0.069559  | 0.096933 |
| 0.11 | 0.043078  | 0.066597  | 0.092806 |
| 0.10 | 0.041073  | 0.063498  | 0.088487 |
| 0.09 | 0.038965  | 0.060240  | 0.083946 |
| 0.08 | 0.036737  | 0.056794  | 0.079145 |
| 0.07 | 0.034364  | 0.053126  | 0.074034 |
| 0.06 | 0.031815  | 0.049185  | 0.068542 |
| 0.05 | 0.029043  | 0.044899  | 0.062570 |
| 0.04 | 0.025977  | 0.040160  | 0.055964 |
| 0.03 | 0.022456  | 0.034779  | 0.048466 |
| 0.02 | 0.018368  | 0.028397  | 0.039573 |
| 0.01 | 0.012988  | 0.020080  | 0.027982 |
| 0    | 0         | 0         | 0        |
|      |           |           |          |



**Figure-3.1.1:** Design profile for Weir angle  $Ø=30^{\circ}$ 



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 4, April 2015

Based on the x & y co-ordinates obtained analytically which are shown in table 3.1, a smooth weir curve was obtained for the weir angle  $\emptyset=30$  (figure-3.1.1). Similarly, figure-3.1.2 and figure-3.1.3 shows the smooth parabolic curve for weir angles  $\emptyset=45$  and  $\emptyset=60$  respectively.



**Figure-3.1.2:** Design profile for Weir angle  $Ø = 45^{\circ}$ 



**Figure-3.1.3:** Design profile for Weir angle  $\emptyset = 60^{\circ}$ 

From the above figures (figure-3.1.1, figure-3.1.2 & figure-3.1.3) it can be seen that the width of the weir with weir angle  $\emptyset$ =60 is greater as compared to that of weir angle  $\emptyset$ =30 and  $\emptyset$ =45. As the weir angle is increasing, the weir



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 4, April 2015

width also increases. All these parabolic weir profiles (figure-3.1.1, figure-3.1.2 & figure-3.1.3) were used to find the actual discharge  $Q_a$ .

#### 3.2 Actual and Theoretical discharge characteristics of parabolic weir

Theoretical discharge was proportional to flow depth and was observed to be always greater than actual discharge. Theoretical discharge is greater than actual discharge values of simplifying assumptions that do not consider friction, velocity of approach & end contractions. Table 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 show the coefficient of discharge for weir angle  $60^{\circ}$  using actual discharge and comparison of actual discharge and theoretical discharge respectively.

| Weir angle $Ø = 60^{\circ}$ ; k = 0.9737 ms <sup>-1</sup> |        |                    |          |          |        |                   |
|---|--------|--------------------|----------|----------|--------|-------------------|
| Qa  | Head   | V <sup>2</sup> /2g | Ht       | Ht/p     | k      | $C_d = Q_a/kHt^2$ |
| 0.002339  | 0.1064 | 2.62E-05           | 0.106426 | 1.064262 | 0.9737 | 0.21207           |
| 0.004724  | 0.1325 | 8.42E-05           | 0.132584 | 1.325842 | 0.9737 | 0.27597           |
| 0.004897  | 0.134  | 8.93E-05           | 0.134089 | 1.340893 | 0.9737 | 0.279716          |
| 0.006286  | 0.1464 | 0.000133           | 0.146533 | 1.465327 | 0.9737 | 0.300643          |
| 0.007132  | 0.1528 | 0.000162           | 0.152962 | 1.529623 | 0.9737 | 0.31305           |
| 0.007354  | 0.1552 | 0.000169           | 0.155369 | 1.553693 | 0.9737 | 0.312856          |
| 0.00892   | 0.1672 | 0.000227           | 0.167427 | 1.674272 | 0.9737 | 0.326805          |
| 0.010953  | 0.1684 | 0.00034            | 0.16874  | 1.687395 | 0.9737 | 0.395067          |
| 0.011825  | 0.1853 | 0.00035            | 0.18565  | 1.856502 | 0.9737 | 0.352359          |
| 0.013198  | 0.1943 | 0.00041            | 0.19471  | 1.9471   | 0.9737 | 0.357537          |
| 0.013996  | 0.1967 | 0.000454           | 0.197154 | 1.971537 | 0.9737 | 0.3698            |
| 0.014867  | 0.203  | 0.000491           | 0.203491 | 2.034908 | 0.9737 | 0.368733          |
| 0.01639   | 0.2074 | 0.00058            | 0.20798  | 2.079796 | 0.9737 | 0.389139          |
| 0.016778  | 0.2111 | 0.000593           | 0.211693 | 2.11693  | 0.9737 | 0.38451           |
| 0.017723  | 0.2161 | 0.000641           | 0.216741 | 2.167409 | 0.9737 | 0.387461          |
| 0.019013  | 0.2223 | 0.00071            | 0.22301  | 2.230095 | 0.9737 | 0.392635          |
| 0.020441  | 0.2283 | 0.00079            | 0.22909  | 2.290904 | 0.9737 | 0.400007          |
| 0.020996  | 0.2312 | 0.000819           | 0.232019 | 2.320193 | 0.9737 | 0.400559          |
| 0.021108  | 0.2314 | 0.000827           | 0.232227 | 2.322271 | 0.9737 | 0.401976          |
| 0.022715  | 0.237  | 0.000926           | 0.237926 | 2.379262 | 0.9737 | 0.412097          |
| 0.023246  | 0.2407 | 0.000949           | 0.241649 | 2.416491 | 0.9737 | 0.408844          |
| 0.023485  | 0.242  | 0.000961           | 0.242961 | 2.429614 | 0.9737 | 0.40859           |
| 0.023635  | 0.2423 | 0.000972           | 0.243272 | 2.43272  | 0.9737 | 0.410148          |

Table 3.2.1: Coefficient of discharge using actual discharge

The above table shows the calculations for coefficient of discharge which was obtained from the actual discharge. It can be noted that as the actual discharge is increasing, the coefficient of discharge also increases. Figure 3.2.1 shows the variation of crest coefficient which is obtained by plotting the graph between head to weir height (Ht/p) and coefficient of discharge.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 4, April 2015



Figure- 3.2.1 : Variation of Crest coefficient

**Table-3.2.2:** Comparison of actual discharge and theoretical discharge Table-3.2.2 shows the comparison between actual discharge  $Q_a$  (i.e. discharge obtained experimentally) and theoretical discharge  $Q_t$  (i.e. discharge obtained analytically). The comparison of discharges is done for weir angle  $\emptyset$ =60°.

| For weir angle                  | Ø=60°                                |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Actual discharge Q <sub>a</sub> | Theoretical discharge Q <sub>t</sub> |
| 0.002339                        | 0.011023                             |
| 0.004724                        | 0.017095                             |
| 0.004897                        | 0.017484                             |
| 0.006286                        | 0.020869                             |
| 0.007132                        | 0.022734                             |
| 0.007354                        | 0.023454                             |
| 0.008920                        | 0.027221                             |
| 0.010953                        | 0.027613                             |
| 0.011825                        | 0.033433                             |
| 0.013198                        | 0.036760                             |
| 0.013996                        | 0.037673                             |
| 0.014867                        | 0.040125                             |
| 0.016390                        | 0.041883                             |
| 0.016778                        | 0.043391                             |
| 0.017723                        | 0.045471                             |
| 0.019013                        | 0.048118                             |
| 0.020441                        | 0.050750                             |
| 0.020996                        | 0.052048                             |
| 0.021108                        | 0.052138                             |
| 0.022715                        | 0.054692                             |
| 0.023246                        | 0.056413                             |
| 0.023485                        | 0.057024                             |
| 0.023635                        | 0.057165                             |



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 4, April 2015

From the above table, a comparative graph (figure-3.2.2) has been plotted between actual discharge and theoretical discharge for weir angle  $\emptyset$ =60°. It can be seen that a straight graph profile is obtained by plotting actual discharge on x-axis and theoretical discharge on y-axis.



Figure- 3.2.2 : Plot of Actual Discharge vs Theoretical Discharge

#### **IV.CONCLUSIONS**

The major conclusions drawn from present study are as follows:

**1.** The shape of weir profile to produce a simplified discharge equation, with two as the exponent of flow depth, was derived as a parabola.

#### $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{t}} = \mathbf{K} \, \mathbf{h}^2$

- 2. A relationship for obtaining a parabolic weir profile for fabrication as a function of weir angle was obtained.
- 3. The equations for the weir constants, along with the discharge models, can be applied to determine the actual discharge characteristics of studied weir angles and range of flow depths, as well as predict the discharge characteristics of weirs at any intermediate angle.
- 4. Discharge capacity of similarity dimensioned rectangular, triangular, and parabolic weirs can be compared theoretically from the flow areas as discharge is proportional to flow area. Considering weirs having total crest length *L* and maximum depth *H*, based on the area of different weir profiles, the parabolic weir covers 66.66% of rectangular weir flow area, whereas the triangular weir covers only 50.0%. This means that parabolic weir will have 33.3% more discharge capacity than triangular weirs, under similar flow velocity and weir dimensions. Since the parabolic shape is closer to a triangular shape than a rectangular shape, the parabolic weirs are also closer to have the accuracy and sensitivity of standard triangular weirs. A parabolic profile being the intermediate shape, its hydraulic performance will have the combined merits of both rectangular and triangular weirs. Based on the dimensions of existing channels and the discharge capacity required, the designed parabolic weir after calibration can be readily employed as simple and efficient field irrigation and industrial flow measurement devices.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 4, April 2015

As the parabolic weirs have the combined merits, such as the increased discharge capacity of rectangular weirs and the sensitivity of triangular weirs, along with the simplified discharge equation, they find application in automated flow control systems, field irrigation, and industrial flow measurements.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Boiten W, "Flow measurement structure" Flow measurement and instrumentation, 13(5-6), 203-207, (2002).

[2] C. Igathinathane, I. Shrikanth, K. Prakash, B. Ramesh. "Development of Parabolic Weir for simplified discharge measurement". Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, vol. 96, issue-1, 111-119, (2006). [3] Isrealson O W; Hansen V E. "Irrigation Principles and Practices", 3<sup>rd</sup> Edn. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1962).

[4] James L G. "Principles of Farm Irrigation System Design". 4th Edn. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York (1988).

[5] Keshava Murthy K, Ramesh H S, Shesha Prakash M N. "Goemetrically simple logarithmic weir". Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, 127(6), 419-426, (1995).

[6] R. K. Rajput "A Textbook of Fluid Mechanics and Hydraulic Machines" Published by S.CHAND & COMPANY LTD.

[7] Sommerfield J T, Stallybrass M P, "Flow equation for Parabolic and Elliptical Weir". Journal of Environmental Science and Health A, 31(4), 905-912, (1996).

[8] Wolfram Integrator. Wolfram Reasearch, Inc, Illinois, (2005).

.