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ABSTRACT: Advanced extensible interface protocol and open core protocol are protocols used for on chip communication it can support advanced transactions and it also improve communication efficiency. Out-of-order transactions are return responses in an order different from requested ones. Here we discuss a concept it can support out of order transactions. A graphical model used in the system known as bus status graph used to identify the occurrence of dead lock condition. According to this graphical model we propose a technique it can avoid this deadlock problem. And also using an error detection and correction method to avoid the mismatches in read and write operation between master and slave.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Advanced high-performance bus and advanced peripheral bus [1] are the most architectures allowing only one master IP to access one slave IP at a time. Advanced communication protocols like advanced extensible interface (AXI) [1] and open core protocol (OCP) [1] can facilitate parallel communication. The communication protocols such as AXI and OCP support various transactions, like burst transactions, pipelined (outstanding) transactions, and out-of-order transactions [1], [2]. In these, out-of-order transactions plays a major key to improve system performance. Out-of-order transactions can execute more efficiently when a master IP, such as a processor, can handle out-of-order returns as it allows a slave core such as a dynamic random-access memory controller to service requests in the order that is most convenient, rather than the order in which requests are sent by the master.

Bus deadlock problem happens when a set of IP cores are communicating through a bus system and their involved a circular wait-and-hold state that cannot be resolved. This problem will crash the bus system as none of the IP cores involved in the deadlock can continue its functions. In [5], bus deadlock problem of a system it allow the master to execute a process only if the master is granted to access all require slaves of the process and each master will hold slaves granted to it until all required slaves are granted to it. A bus deadlock occurs in case of a set of masters where master in a set of masters are holding a slave and waiting for another slave held by another master in the particular set.

II. RELATED WORKS

Data transactions are of two types they are single and burst transactions, where the single transaction is one which requests only one response whereas the burst transaction means that which requests multiple responses. Basic transactions can be pipelined or non-pipelined. In AXI, pipelined transactions are further divided into tagged as well as untagged ones, where untagged transactions executed in order, and the execution orders of the tagged ones are depend on the IDs they are tagged.

- All untagged transactions must executed in order.
- All tagged transactions having same tag ID must be executed in order.
- Two tagged transactions having different IDs can be executed out of order.
No order restriction on the execution between tagged transaction and an untagged transaction.

Fig. 1(a) shows a basic bus model which is compliant with AXI [1] protocols. Here, a complete bus transaction contains a request and a response phase. The basic non-pipelined transactions are executed in bus model of Fig. 1(a). With a single transaction, a master requests for access a slave by issuing a request (ReqA) to the bus. If bus arbiter determines that master can access the required slave, it forwards that request (ReqB) to the slave. As soon as the slave is available to accept this particular request, it will captures the request (ReqB) and acknowledges (AckB) that bus. That bus then forwards the acknowledgment (AckA) to the master, it completes that request phase. After some access latency, slave will responds with the corresponding read data or the completion status of the written data (RespC) to the bus, it then forwards the response (RespD) to that master and waits for an acknowledgment. As soon as that master is available, it captures a response (RespD) and acknowledges (AckD) that bus. A bus can acknowledge (AckC) the slave to complete a transaction.

Assume each transaction requested by master M1 is tagged with an ID value of either zero or one. In this example, M1 first issues a transaction request T1 to access S1 with ID=0, and request T2 to access S2 with ID=1. After, M1 issues request T3 for accessing S2 with ID=0 and again T4 to access S1 with ID=1. The order constraints restrict that responses of T3 should be returned after the response of T1 because of the two transactions are both tagged with zero. Similarly, the response of T4 must returned after that request T2.

III. BUS DEADLOCK

Bus deadlock problem occurs when a set of IP cores communicating through a bus system involved in a circular wait-and-hold state that cannot be resolved. This problem will crash a bus system as none of the IP cores are involved in the deadlock can continue its functions. The bus deadlock problem of a system allows a master to execute a process only if master is granted to access all required slaves of the process and each master will hold the slaves.

In AXI burst transactions master take control over the slave for some time to do a read or write operation. In the present situation master holds the slave and no other master can use slave till the master leaves the slave. A bus deadlock occurs when each master in a set of masters holding a slave and waiting for another slave held by another master in that particular set. Here bus deadlocks, is the relation between masters and slaves are similar to that between processes and resources in an operating system (OS). A deadlock problem occurs when there is a circular wait-and-hold relation among the set of processes and resources [6].
IV. ON CHIP BUS DESIGN

This section describes an architecture that is used by on-chip bus used. How a transaction is processed inside the bus is described here. As shown in Fig. 3, bus supports single, burst, pipelined (outstanding), and tagged transactions. We divide the components of the bus system mainly into three parts:

- Components for each master interface.
- Components for each slave interface.
- Components in center which will be shared by all masters and slaves.

Fig. 3 shows a bus system with single master and single slave. If masters (m slaves) are to be employed, copies of the components in the master (slave) interface should be employed, whereas only one copy of the components in center is needed. Tagged transactions are starts with a master issuing a request with an ID to a bus. In request phase, if Request Buffer in the bus is not full, the bus acknowledges that master and request is stored in a Request Buffer. Decoder will decode the transaction address of the request, and Arbiter arbitrates whether any request can be granted to access a target slave. If it is granted, then the Arbiter forwards the request to a slave by controlling the corresponding multiplexors, and index of target slave will be recorded in one of the Recorders, in that way the transactions with same tag are recorded in the same Recorder. The number of Recorders is equal to the number of IDs which the corresponding master can assign. Also size of each Recorder will be equal to pipeline depth means that it is just enough for record all transactions they are not completed. Request Busy Checker checks whether any of the request is completed or not for assist the arbitration. For any write request, that corresponding write data will be stored in Write Data Buffer, and Tagged Transaction Access Controller controls all the multiplexors which decide from which slave the response is provided and from which read data and write status buffer the acknowledgment comes from. Finally, the response will be sent to the master when the master is able to receive it.

V. BUS STATUS GRAPH

The proposed BSG model describes a BSG contains two types of vertices, named as slave vertices and ID vertices, the two types of edges, are prime edges and nonprime edges. Each vertex in the BSG represents the slave or the tag ID. Consider case of a SOC system contains m slaves and they employing totally n tag IDs. Without the loss of generality, here denote the set of slave vertices as S={S1, S2,...,Sm} and the set of ID vertices as ID={ID0, ID1,..., IDn−1}.
As shown in Fig. 4, the prime edge is from vertex IDd to vertex Sj which indicates that the transaction corresponding to the edge is requesting to access Sj and which is the first accepted transaction among all currently accepted but it is not completed transactions with the tag IDd. The nonprime edge is from the vertex Si to the vertex IDd means that corresponding transaction is mainly targeting Si but that is not the first accepted transaction among all the accepted but not completed transactions tagged with IDd.

In SOC system contains two slaves, then there are two vertices in a slave vertex set, namely S1 and S2. The IDs can be either zero or one, so there will be also two vertices in the ID vertex set, named as ID0 as well as ID1 (respectively, refers to ID value zero and one).

When master in Fig. 2 first generates requests T1, that is a prime edge from ID0 to S1 appears in the BSG as shown in Fig. 5(a). The master again requests T2 it will accesses S2 with ID1. A prime edge from ID1 to S2 will appear after T2 is accepted by S2 as in Fig. 5(b). Whenever master requests T3 which accesses S2 with ID0, there will be an uncompleted transaction (T1) tagged with ID0. Thus Fig. 5(c) shows the nonprime edge from S2 to ID0 appears after request T3 is accepted by S2. Similarly, Fig. 5(d) indicates that a nonprime edge from S1 to ID1 will appear after T4 is accepted by the slave S1. In Fig. 5(d), a cycle exists in the BSG, and the bus system is in an unsafe state will lead to a bus deadlock.

Therefore, no chance to occur clockwise nontrivial cycle in Fig. 6(a) either. As a result, no deadlock will occur even if when new request in A is accepted. We can show the results of this analysis in Fig. 6(i) where all the requests in C are marked in red and all requests in D are marked with green in the order that all red edges are requested and accepted before all the green edges. The dashed edges in A implies that new requests they can be issued in this case. Similarly, as shown in Fig. 6(j)–(l), dashed requests in request sets B, C, and D can also be, respectively, issued and then accepted by slaves if the requests marked with red are accepted earlier than those marked with green. In our proposed DALS, we did not stall any dashed request in Fig. 6(i)–(l).
VI. HARDWARE IMPLEMENTATION

The hardware design of DALS attempts in order to stall the least number of transactions. In addition, with this we also target to complete decision of whether to stall a transaction in one clock cycle. We propose a hardware implementation which contains a number of waiting relation detectors and a number of unsafe state predictors which will altogether requires only one clock cycle for the stall decision.

![Fig. 7. Recorder and waiting relation detector.](image)

1) Waiting Relation Detectors: here allocating a recorder for each ID in a bus system and index of a target slave of a tagged transaction is recorded in the corresponding recorder when the transaction is accepted by that slave. Responses from the different slaves with the same ID must be returned in the order that they are recorded in the recorder.

Hardware implementation of the waiting relation detector detecting $W_{ij}$ is shown in Fig. 7. left side shows a recorder in order to record the transactions with the tag ID $d$.

The $P_0$ and $P_1$ are entries of ID recorder in Fig. 8 shows that a request to $S_j$ with ID $d$ is accepted by $S_j$ and then a request to $Si$ with ID $d$ is also accepted by $Si$. Now a new transaction is also tagged with ID $d$ is again requested. The proposed waiting relation detector will detect waiting relations of already accepted requests as well as waiting relations if a new request is accepted as described below. As shown in Fig. 7, the waiting relation detector for $W_{ij}$ contains:

- 1-1 blocks of circuits, where $l$ is the size of the recorder
- a comparator feeding the 1-1 blocks
- ORgate taking OR operation of the outputs of the 1-1 blocks.

The comparator outside blocks determines whether a new request is targeting the $Si$ or not. First comparator in block 1 determines whether the slave ID recorded in $P_0$ is $S_j$ or not, the remaining 1-1 comparators determines that whether $Si$ is stored in any location other than $P_0$. This block will determine which $Si$ is waiting or will wait for $S_j$ in $P_0$ if a new request is accepted. Similarly, the circuits in block 2 will determine whether $Si$ is waiting or will wait for $S_j$ in $P_1$ and so on. So all blocks together can detect whether the relation $W_{ij}$ already exists or will exist if the new request is accepted.

2) Unsafe State Predictors: In a system which containing $m$ slaves and $n$ IDs, a nontrivial circular waiting cycle may also occur among any $k$ [$2 \leq k \leq \min (m, n)$] slaves. Here we define a cycle group as a set of nontrivial circular waiting cycles that occur among the same slaves with the same waiting order, regardless of which IDs they are tagged. For example both waiting cycles $W_{12}^1 \& W_{23}^1 \& W_{31}^1 = 1$ and $W_{12}^2 \& W_{23}^2 \& W_{31}^2 = 1$ occur among $S_1, S_2$, and $S_3$ with the waiting orders of $S_1$ waiting for $S_2$, $S_2$ waiting for $S_3$, and $S_3$ waiting for $S_1$, so they are in the same cycle group. In contrast, the waiting cycles $W_{12}^3 \& W_{23}^3 \& W_{31}^3 = 1$ and $W_{13}^4 \& W_{22}^4 \& W_{31}^4 = 1$ are in different groups. Because of the different waiting orders of slaves, there are totally $P^m_k \times 1/k$ different cycle groups among $k$ slaves, where $P$ is the notation of permutation. Thus there are totally $\sum_{k=2}^{\min(m,n)} (P^m_k \times 1/k)$ different cycle groups.
Fig. 8. (a) Waiting table (b) Unsafe state predictor for the nontrivial cyclesb in the cycle group $W_{d1}d_1W_{d2}d_2\ldots W_{dk}d_k=1$.

VII. ELASTIC BUFFER

Request buffer, write data buffer and read status buffers are the major components in the bus design. There have been multiple proposals to eliminate these buffers in order to reduce area and power consumption. Elastic buffer (EB) flow control was recently proposed to eliminate router buffers while preserving buffering in the network. Pipeline flip-flop (FFs) become EBs with two storage locations through the addition of a small logic block which controls their master and slave latch enable inputs independently.

The two inputs are still gated by the clock as in master-slave FFs. EBs can be implemented as custom cells to increase efficiency and guarantee that the two latches can never be enabled simultaneously. Having EBs in sequence enables channels to act as distributed FIFOs. Flits progress among EBs using a ready-valid handshake similar to the valid-stall handshake. Therefore, channels are used for buffering and router buffers are removed, eliminating the associated area and energy costs. EB networks trade off these savings for a wider data path that increases their throughput and makes them more energy and area efficient than VC networks. Fig. 9 shows an illustration of an EB.

VIII. ERROR DETECTION AND CORRECTION

Master and slave can communicate through bus either for read or write data. There may be chances for corrupting data during transmission from master to slave. In order to detect errors in data communication and processing, an additional bit is sometimes added to a particular binary code word to define its parity. A parity bit is an extra bit included to make the total number of 1’s in that resulting code word either even or odd. Parity may also be used with the binary numbers as well as with codes including ASCII.

The most common types of error-correcting codes are used in RAM are based on codes devised by R.W. Hamming. $K$ parity bits are added to an $n$-bit data word, forming the new word of $n + k$ bits. The bit positions are numbered in a sequence from 1 to $n + k$. Those positions numbered with the powers of two are reserved for the parity bits. The code can be used with words of any length.

```
Bit position  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  11  12
P_1  P_2  1  P_4  1  0  0  P_8  0  1  0  0
```
Assume a 8-bit data word 11000100. We include 4 parity bits with this word and arranged the 12 bits as above. The parity bits can be found by,

\[ P_1 = \text{XOR of bits (3, 5, 7, 9, 11)} = 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 = 0 \]
\[ P_2 = \text{XOR of bits (3, 6, 7, 10, 11)} = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 = 0 \]
\[ P_4 = \text{XOR of bits (5, 6, 7, 12)} = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 = 1 \]
\[ P_8 = \text{XOR of bits (9, 10, 11, 12)} = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 = 1 \]

The 8-bit data word is written into the memory together with the 4 parity bits as a 12-bit composite word. Substituting the 4 parity bits in their proper positions, we obtain the 12-bit composite word

\[ C_1 = \text{XOR of bits (1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11)} \]
\[ C_2 = \text{XOR of bits (2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11)} \]
\[ C_4 = \text{XOR of bits (4, 5, 6, 7, 12)} \]
\[ C_8 = \text{XOR of bits (8, 9, 10, 11, 12)} \]

C1, C2, C4, C8 are the check bits they are appending together and find C as C=C8 C4 C2 C1. If C=0, there is no error has occurred, If C!=0, the 4-bit binary number formed by the check bits gives the position of the erroneous bit if only a single bit is in error.

The error can then be corrected by complementing the corresponding bit. An error can occur in the data or in one of the parity bits.

**IX. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

To compare the performance of the bus design with that of previous paper, we implement the on chip bus with elastic buffer techniques with the bus model shown in Fig. 3. So we can reduce the delay, power and area. The fig 10 is the simulation result of bus architecture with two master and single slave. Each master can use two id’s so in total four requests are generated.

![Simulation result of bus architecture having two master and single slave under normal operation.](image)

The simulation results of bus architecture supporting tagged transactions having two master and single slave under normal operation is shown above. The waveform from the Model Sim.clk is the clock signal, rst is the reset signal, req_in1, req_in2, req_in3, req_in4 are the 14 bit requests generated by master1 and master2 respectively. Unsafe is the signal that indicates whether the operation is safe or not.

![Simulation result of bus architecture having two master and single slave with dead lock.](image)
The simulation results of bus architecture supporting tagged transactions having two master and single slave operations with dead lock are shown below. The waveform from the Model Sim. clk is the clock signal, rst is the reset signal, req_in1, req_in2, req_in3, req_in4, are the 14 bit requests generated by master1 and master2 respectively. Unsafe is the signal that indicates whether the operation is safe or not.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Delay (ns)</th>
<th>Power (mW)</th>
<th>Area (no of slices)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bus architecture having normal buffer</td>
<td>33.591</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus architecture having elastic buffer</td>
<td>30.691</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison table shows that bus architecture having normal buffer requires more area overhead and delay than that of elastic buffer so by replacing normal request buffer, read and write status buffer, write data buffer can reduce the power requirement and cost as well.

![Comparison of normal and elastic buffer](image)

**X. CONCLUSION**

Advanced communication protocols such as AXI can greatly improve the overall performance of a large VLSI system. However, the whole system may sink into a deadlock if designers do not carefully handle the advanced transactions. In this project, proposed a new graph model called the BSG to model the behaviour of a bus system that can describe the necessary and sufficient condition for an unsafe state to occur. Then the proposed technique is used to solve the deadlock problem. Elastic buffer in the proposed technique greatly outperforms with affordable area, delay and power. Encoding and decoding techniques avoid the occurrence of errors during write and read operation.
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