Research and Reviews: Journal of Dental Sciences

Endodontic Mycology: A New Perspective of Root Canal Infection.

Priyanka Ghogre*

Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics. People's College of Dental Sciences, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Review Article

Received: 06/10/2013 Revised: 22/10/2013 Accepted: 03/11/2013

*For Correspondence

W/O. Dr. Harish Umale. Gurukrupa Dental Clinic Gajanand Maharaj Vayapari Sankul, Cinema Road, Nandura, Distt- Buldhana-443404. Maharashtra, India. Mobile: +91 9407836933.

Keywords: Yeasts, *Candida albicans*, apical periodontitis, virulence factors, calcium hydroxide. An important consideration in endodontic treatment is the elimination of microorganisms, including fungi, from the complex threedimensional root canal system. Yeasts can be detected in 7-17% of infected root canals. *Candida albicans* (CA) has a major role in endodontic treatment failure as the most important fungus isolated from the root canal system. C. albicans has been associated with root canal infections resistant to non-surgical therapy and a potent pathogen to infect periapical lesions. They are commonly associated with persistent cases of apical periodontitis, but yeasts can also be isolated in primary apical periodontitis. A variety of virulence factors enable C. albicans to adhere to and penetrate into dentine. Yeasts do not respond favourably to conservative root canal therapy, due to resistance against a commonly used medicament, calcium hydroxide. Thus, alternative therapeutic approaches and other intracanal medicaments are required to treat persistent cases of apical periodontitis.

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION

Fungi are chemoorganotroph eukaryotic microorganisms, ubiquitous in the environment constitute a small part of the oral microbiota ^[1]. The largest proportion of the fungal oral microbiota is made up of Candida species ^[2]. *C albicans*, one of the most well-studied fungal species. Fungal infections are usually "diseases of the diseased," and some predisposition has to be present for the host to be affected ^[3]. Species of candida have been found as commensal microorganisms in the oral cavities of 25% of healthy adults, 50% of hospitalised patients, and approximately 90 % of immunocompromised patients ^[4].

In last decade, incidence of *Candida albicans* in endodontic infection has received attention and fungi were observed in primary and refractory endodontic infections ^[5,6]. Fungi have been detected in infected root canals, but the number of yeast cells in the root canal is usually lower than that of bacteria ^[7]. Candida is versatile and can adapt to a range of pH, change gene expression in response to environmental conditions, adhere to a variety of surfaces, produce degradative enzymes, and change morphologic forms to evade the immune system ^[8]. Clinically important candida species grow well in vitro over a pH range of 3.0-8.0 ^[9].

It is a unique parasite capable of colonizing, infecting, and persisting on mucosal surfaces, like dorsum of the tongue, the primary oral habitat of *C albicans*, whereas other sites may be colonized secondarily ^[10], such as the mucosa and supragingivae ^[10], the dentin ^[11], the root ^[12], the subgingivae ^[13], and the periodontal pockets ^[14]. It stimulates mucosal immune responses leading to the induction of immunity or tolerance ^[15]. The ability of C. albicans to persist in infected tissues or to behave as a commensal may involve primarily down regulation of host cell-mediated adaptive immunity ^[16].

Prevalence of Yeast in Root Canal

The prevalence of yeasts in saliva was 32.7%. There was a significant association between the presence of yeasts in saliva and root canal but the effect of previous root canal treatment and restoration leakage on the recovery of yeasts from root canal was equivocal ^[17].

Table 1: Prevalence of yeast in root canal system by data collected from various studies:-

| S.No.AuthorsYearPrevalence of yeast in root canal(treated /untreated)1.Grossman et al [18]195217% (In untreated root canals)2.Slack et al [19,20]1953,19577% (treated cases)3.MacDonald et al.[21]19572.2%4.Jack & Halder [22]196326% (treated cases)5.Wilson & Hall [23]19681.9% (untreated cases)6.Matusow et al [24]19811 case report7.Nair et al. [25]199022%8.Najzar-Fleger et al.[26]199255% (untreated cases)9.Sen et al. [27]199540% (untreated cases)10.Waltimo et al.[32]19977% (untreated root canals)11.Molander et al.[6]19984.2%12.Baumgartner 28200021% (untreated root canals)13.Egan et al. [17]200210%(16%-yeast in untreated root canals)14.Ferrari et al. [29]20054% (infected root canals)15.Ahsraf et al.[30]200725% (36.7%-vreated root canals)16.Gomes et al.[31]201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root canal) | | | | |
|--|-------|--------------------------------------|-----------|---|
| 2. Slack et al $^{[19,20]}$ 1953,1957 7% (treated cases) 3. MacDonald et al. $^{[21]}$ 1957 2.2% 4. Jack & Halder $^{[22]}$ 1963 26% (treated cases) 5. Wilson & Hall $^{[23]}$ 1968 1.9% (untreated cases) 6. Matusow et al $^{[24]}$ 1981 1 case report 7. Nair et al. $^{[25]}$ 1990 22% 8. Najzar-Fleger et al. $^{[26]}$ 1992 55% (untreated root canals) 9. Sen et al. $^{[27]}$ 1995 40% (untreated cases) 10. Waltimo et al. $^{[32]}$ 1997 7% (untreated root canals) 11. Molander et al. $^{[6]}$ 1998 4.2% 12. Baumgartner 28 2000 21% (untreated root canals) 13. Egan et al. $^{[17]}$ 2002 10% (16%- yeast in untreated root canals) 14. Ferrari et al. $^{[29]}$ 2007 25% (36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions) 15. Ahsraf et al. $^{[31]}$ 2010 28.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | S.No. | Authors | Year | - |
| 3. MacDonald et al. ^[21] 1957 2.2% 4. Jack & Halder ^[22] 1963 26% (treated cases) 5. Wilson & Hall ^[23] 1968 1.9%(untreated cases) 6. Matusow et al ^[24] 1981 1 case report 7. Nair et al. ^[25] 1990 22% 8. Najzar-Fleger et al. ^[26] 1992 55% (untreated root canals) 9. Sen et al. ^[27] 1995 40% (untreated cases) 10. Waltimo et al. ^[32] 1997 7% (untreated root canals) 11. Molander et al. ^[6] 1998 4.2% 12. Baumgartner ²⁸ 2000 21% (untreated root canals) 13. Egan et al. ^[17] 2002 10%(16%- yeast in untreated root canals) 14. Ferrari et al. ^[29] 2005 4% (infected root canals) 15. Ahsraf et al. ^[30] 2007 25%(36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions) 15. Gomes et al. ^[31] 2010 28.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 1. | Grossman et al ^[18] | 1952 | 17% (In untreated root canals) |
| 4. Jack & Halder $^{[22]}$ 1963 26% (treated cases) 5. Wilson & Hall $^{[23]}$ 1968 1.9% (untreated cases) 6. Matusow et al $^{[24]}$ 1981 1 case report 7. Nair et al. $^{[25]}$ 1990 22% 8. Najzar-Fleger et al. $^{[26]}$ 1992 55% (untreated root canals) 9. Sen et al. $^{[27]}$ 1995 40% (untreated coses) 10. Waltimo et al. $^{[32]}$ 1997 7% (untreated root canals) 11. Molander et al. $^{[6]}$ 1998 4.2% 12. Baumgartner 28 2000 21% (untreated root canals) 13. Egan et al. $^{[17]}$ 2002 10%(16%- yeast in untreated root canals) 14. Ferrari et al. $^{[29]}$ 2005 4% (infected root canals) 15. Ahsraf et al. $^{[30]}$ 2007 25% (36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions) 15. Gomes et al. $^{[31]}$ 2010 28.3% (filamentous fung in untreated root lesions) | 2. | Slack et al ^[19,20] | 1953,1957 | 7% (treated cases) |
| 5.Wilson & Hall $^{[23]}$ 19681.9%(untreated cases)6.Matusow et al $^{[24]}$ 19811 case report7.Nair et al. $^{[25]}$ 199022%8.Najzar-Fleger et al. $^{[26]}$ 199255% (untreated root canals)9.Sen et al. $^{[27]}$ 199540% (untreated cases)10.Waltimo et al. $^{[32]}$ 19977% (untreated root canals)11.Molander et al. $^{[6]}$ 19984.2%12.Baumgartner 28 200021% (untreated root canals)13.Egan et al. $^{[17]}$ 200210%(16%- yeast in untreated root canals)14.Ferrari et al. $^{[29]}$ 20054% (infected root canals)15.Ahsraf et al. $^{[30]}$ 200725%(36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions, 13.3%- without periapical lesions)16.Gomes et al. $^{[31]}$ 201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 3. | MacDonald et al. ^[21] | 1957 | 2.2% |
| 6.Matusow et al $^{[24]}$ 19811 case report7.Nair et al. $^{[25]}$ 199022%8.Najzar-Fleger et al. $^{[26]}$ 199255% (untreated root canals)9.Sen et al. $^{[27]}$ 199540% (untreated cases)10.Waltimo et al. $^{[32]}$ 19977% (untreated root canals)11.Molander et al. $^{[6]}$ 19984.2%12.Baumgartner 28 200021% (untreated root canals)13.Egan et al. $^{[17]}$ 200210%(16%- yeast in untreated root canals)14.Ferrari et al. $^{[29]}$ 20054% (infected root canals)15.Ahsraf et al. $^{[30]}$ 200725% (36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions, 13.3%- without periapical lesions)16.Gomes et al. $^{[31]}$ 201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 4. | Jack & Halder ^[22] | 1963 | 26% (treated cases) |
| 7.Nair et al. $^{[25]}$ 199022%8.Najzar-Fleger et al. $^{[26]}$ 199255% (untreated root canals)9.Sen et al. $^{[27]}$ 199540% (untreated cases)10.Waltimo et al. $^{[32]}$ 19977% (untreated root canals)11.Molander et al. $^{[6]}$ 19984.2%12.Baumgartner 28 200021% (untreated root canals)13.Egan et al. $^{[17]}$ 200210% (16%- yeast in untreated root canals)14.Ferrari et al. $^{[29]}$ 20054% (infected root canals)15.Ahsraf et al. $^{[30]}$ 200725% (36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions, 13.3%- without periapical lesions)16.Gomes et al. $^{[31]}$ 201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 5. | Wilson & Hall ^[23] | 1968 | 1.9%(untreated cases) |
| 8.Najzar-Fleger et al.199255% (untreated root canals)9.Sen et al.1971199540% (untreated cases)10.Waltimo et al.19977% (untreated root canals)11.Molander et al.19984.2%12.Baumgartner 28200021% (untreated root canals)13.Egan et al.117200210%(16%- yeast in untreated root canals)14.Ferrari et al.20054% (infected root canals)15.Ahsraf et al.200725% (36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions, 13.3%- without periapical lesions)16.Gomes et al.201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 6. | Matusow et al [24] | 1981 | 1 case report |
| 9.Sen et al. [27]199540% (untreated cases)10.Waltimo et al.[32]19977% (untreated root canals)11.Molander et al.[6]19984.2%12.Baumgartner 28200021% (untreated root canals)13.Egan et al. [17]200210%(16%- yeast in untreated root canals)14.Ferrari et al. [29]20054% (infected root canals)15.Ahsraf et al.[30]200725%(36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions)16.Gomes et al.[31]201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 7. | Nair et al. ^[25] | 1990 | 22% |
| 10.Waltimo et al.19977% (untreated root canals)11.Molander et al.19984.2%12.Baumgartner 28200021% (untreated root canals)13.Egan et al.11200210% (16%- yeast in untreated root canals)14.Ferrari et al.20054% (infected root canals)15.Ahsraf et al.200725% (36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions)16.Gomes et al.201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 8. | Najzar-Fleger et al. ^[26] | 1992 | 55% (untreated root canals) |
| 11.Molander et al. ^[6] 19984.2%12.Baumgartner ²⁸ 200021% (untreated root canals)13.Egan et al. ^[17] 200210%(16%- yeast in untreated root canals)14.Ferrari et al. ^[29] 20054% (infected root canals)15.Ahsraf et al. ^[30] 200725%(36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions, 13.3%- without periapical lesions)16.Gomes et al. ^[31] 201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 9. | Sen et al. ^[27] | 1995 | 40% (untreated cases) |
| 12.Baumgartner 28200021% (untreated root canals)13.Egan et al. [17]200210%(16%- yeast in untreated root canals)14.Ferrari et al. [29]20054% (infected root canals)15.Ahsraf et al. [30]200725%(36.7%- yeast in root canals with periapical lesions, 13.3%- without periapical lesions)16.Gomes et al. [31]201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 10. | Waltimo et al. ^[32] | 1997 | 7% (untreated root canals) |
| 13. Egan et al. [17] 2002 10%(16%- yeast in untreated root canals) 14. Ferrari et al. [29] 2005 4% (infected root canals) 15. Ahsraf et al. [30] 2007 25%(36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions, 13.3%- without periapical lesions) 16. Gomes et al. [31] 2010 28.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 11. | Molander et al. ^[6] | 1998 | 4.2% |
| 14.Ferrari et al. [29]2005canals,5.7%- treated root canals) 4% (infected root canals)15.Ahsraf et al. [30]200725% (36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions, 13.3%- without periapical lesions)16.Gomes et al. [31]201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 12. | Baumgartner ²⁸ | 2000 | 21% (untreated root canals) |
| 14.Ferrari et al. [29]20054% (infected root canals)15.Ahsraf et al. [30]200725% (36.7%-yeast in root canals with periapical lesions, 13.3%- without periapical lesions)16.Gomes et al. [31]201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 13. | Egan et al. ^[17] | 2002 | · · · |
| periapical lesions, 13.3%- without periapical lesions)16.Gomes et al. ^[31] 201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 14. | Ferrari et al. ^[29] | 2005 | |
| 16.Gomes et al. [31]201028.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root | 15. | Ahsraf et al. ^[30] | 2007 | periapical lesions, 13.3%- without periapical |
| | 16. | Gomes et al. ^[31] | 2010 | 28.3% (filamentous fungi in untreated root |

Pathway of Yeast to Root Canal System

Oral candidiasis has recently been a growing concern about yeast infections of the root canal ^[32]. Common dental sites for yeast infections are dental plaque, dental caries, and periodontal pockets ^[33]. The most common pathway for bacteria to enter the pulp is probably through open dentinal tubules ^[34].

Yeast particularly *Candida albicans*, have been isolated from infected dental pulp and root canals. The root canal systems of teeth had some communication with the oral cavity, via poor asepsis during endodontic treatment procedures, coronal restoration leakage, deep fracture line, sinus tract, oro-antral communication, incision/drainage of a swelling associated with the tooth some months earlier, and a deep vertical bony defect that communicated with the periapical lesion ^[17].

Dental caries were reported as the main source of fungus presence and the only part of entry of fungi into the root canal system ^[19]. Interestingly, yeasts have also been isolated from an intact non-vital tooth after trauma ^[35].

Candida Species In Root Canal System

The most regularly encountered species of opportunistic oral fungal pathogens are members of the genera Candida and Aspergillus, both belonging to the Deuteromycetes group. *Candida albicans* (CA) is the fungal species most commonly detected in the oral cavity ^[36].

Egan et al.^[17]carried out a study on the presence of CA in the root canals with apical periodontitis concomitant with a positive saliva test for CA was 13.8 times higher than a situation in which the saliva test was negative for CA.

e-ISSN:2320-7949 p-ISSN:2322-0090

Seven Candida species were identified in oral mucosa(*C. albicans, C. dubliniensis,C. guilliermondii, C. krusei, C. parapsilopsis, C. tropicalis* and *C. glabrata*). They were all identified from oral mucosa samples, while two of them (C. parapsilopsis and C. glabrata) were not found in the periapical zone of root canals with pulp necrosis ^[37]. C. dubliniensis was recently identified by Sullivan et al.^[38] and is believed to be strongly associated with human immunodeficiency virus infection ^[39]. Other species such as *C. glabrata, C. guillermondii ,C. incospicia* and *Geotrichum candidum* were also isolated by Waltimo et al ^[32].

Various filamentous fungi in the root canals of teeth with pulp necrosis and periapical lesions were isolated in situ from 17 of 60 samples (28.3%). Four species of aspergillus were identified: Aspergillus ustus, A. granulosus, A. niger, and A. sydowii. Emericella quadriluniata, sexual form of Aspergillus, was isolated from one sample. Penicillium species (Penicillium implicatum, P. micsynvisk, P. lividum, and P. citrionigrum) were isolated from four samples (24%). Fusarium species (Fusarium moniliforme and F. melanochorum) were isolated from two samples (12%). The species Aureobasidium pullulans, Exophiala jeanselmei, Eurotium amstelodame, and Cladosporium sphaerospermum were isolated from one sample each ^[31].

Candida albicans is adaptive oral yeast that can occasionally be isolated from the root canal in cases of persistent apical periodontitis both in pure culture and together with bacteria ^[32]. Yeasts were isolated most often together with facultative Gram positive bacteria, while Gram negative isolates were rare. The dominance of accompanying facultative Gram positive bacteria may be due to the harsh ecological conditions prevailing in the root canal in prolonged treatment.

C. albicans has been associated with root canal infections resistant to non-surgical therapy and a potent pathogen to infect periapical lesions. They are commonly associated with persistent cases of apical periodontitis, but yeasts can also be isolated in primary apical periodontitis. *C.albicans* do not seem to occur in perapical granuloma ^[40].

Virulence Factors Of Yeast

C albicans has a number of virulence factors required for tissue penetration. It was hypothesized that *Candida albicans* may survive and infect the periradicular tissues. The occurance of *Candida albicans* in persistent root canal infections and the number of factors that contribute to the virulence and invasiveness are:-

- Tolerance to harsh environmental conditions ^[41].
- Thigmotropism (contact sensing) for penetration [42].
- Production of proteolytic enzymes ^[41].
- Phenotypic switching phenomenon ^[43].
- Biofilm formation ^[44,45].
- Evasion and immunomodulation of the host defense [46].

C albicans adapt to an extremes range of pH, low oxygen and nutritional environment. Candida is polymorphic fungus that exists in blastophores, germ tubes, true hyphae, pseudohyphae and chlamydospores depending on environmental conditions which helps in survival. Provides ability to penetrate dentinal tubules via hyphal adherance and able to bind to collegen types I and IV. Candida species has ability to produce secreted aspartyl proteases, collegenases, hyaluronidase, acid and alkaline phosphatases help to degrade variety of host dentinal collagen and other extracellular proteins. Oral candidiasis is a prevalent disease in immunocompromised patients. A poorly functioning immune system might increase the risk of fungal infection in the root canal system.

Will Yeast Persist on Dentine?

Amongst the yeast, *C. albicans* is the most common and the most resistant to endodontic procedures and showed an ability to colonize canal walls and invade dentinal tubules ^[47]. *Candida albicans* is the most infective and invasive yeast among the candida species ^[48], and it has a particular affinity to dentin and smear layer ^[49]. They were also observed in the apical end of root canals and in dentine tubules, being considered to be dentinophilic microorganisms ^[25]. Candida must adhere to a surface for colonization to occur and infection to persist ^[4]. The mechanism of this attachment to any substratum is believed to involve the interaction of cell surface protein receptors of *C.albicans* with the target surface ^[50]. Once Candida species enter the root canal, they may further penetrate into root canal dentinal tubules by adoption of a range of growth patterns (blastospores and hyphae) ,use dentin as a source of nutrition for a long period and interact with other microorganisms to form a complex biofilm ^[44,45]. According to Cannon et al. ^[51], *C albicans* adheres poorly to clean tooth surfaces and always requires a pellicle of proteins.

The dentinal tubules are tapered structures measuring approximately 2.5 um in diameter near the pulp, 1.2 um in the midportion of dentine, and 0.9 um near the dentinoenamel junction ^[52]. The small diameter of

bacteria (0.3-0.8 um for most species) allows them to invade the pulp through the dentinal tubules. Yeast blastoconidia are 3-8 x 2-7 um ^[53] in size, and hyphae, when cultured in serum, are 1.9-2.6 um in diameter ^[54]. Therefore, the possibility that Candida spp. could penetrate into dentinal tubules cannot be excluded.

Dentinal smear layer is composed of organic (collagen) and inorganic(ca++ ions) materials ^[55]. Candida has a specific affinity for dentinal collagen type I and IV, significantly enhances candidal adherence ^[50]. The presence of calcium ions has a critical role in the control of candida morphogenesis ^[56] and the adherence potential of CA to various extracellular matrix proteins ^[57]. The increased adhesion in the presence of smear layer is the result of the availability of disintegrated organic structure of dentin and availability of calcium ions as a source of growth and adhesion ^[58].

Siqueira et al. ^[48] investigated the pattern of radicular dentin colonization by five fungal species: *Candida albicans, Candida glabrata, Candida guilliermondii, Candida parapsilosis,* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. C. albicans* showed the ability to colonize dentin as found in most of the specimens whereas the other four fungal species did not. This can explain why *C. albicans* is the fungal species most often found in endodontic infections. *Candida albicans* is able to invade dentinal tubules to a variable extent. Within tubules, the microorganism may be protected from lethal action of endodontic medicaments by inactivating effects of dentin ^[59].

Will Yeast be responsible for Endodontic Treatment Failure??

Endodontic treatment success, to great extent, depends on the depletion or elimination of microorganisms including fungi, from the complex three-dimensional root canal system *.Candida albicans* as a major role in endodontic treatment failure as the most important fungus isolated from the root canal system. To this end, the use of irrigating solutions with proper anti-microbial and anti fungal properties during canal debridement and preparation are considered enormously important ^[60].

Waltimo et al. introduced fungi as microorganisms resistant to endodontic treatment in apical periodontitis. Fourty-eight fungal types were isolated from 47 specimens (7%) out of 692 chronic apical periodontitis cases resistant to endodontic treatment³². Root canals function as an incubator since they are closed spaces with low oxygen concentration and therefore they promote the growth of microorganism ^[60]. Studies have demonstrated that fungi are also present in infections resistant to conservative root canal treatment and despite proper cleaning and irrigation of the root canal system play a role in failure of periapical lesions treatment ^[25].

Peciulene et al. isolated fungi as resistant microorganisms in the obturated root canals of teeth with chronic apical periodontitis ^[61]. CA was found in 25% of root filled canals. Proper isolation of teeth during endodontic treatment may prevent microorganisms, including CA from entering the root canal system ^[62].

How Medicaments Help In Eliminating Yeast From Root Canal System??

Endodontists have been long aware of the need to use proper antimicrobial strategies that include fungi elimination from infected root canals. This can be attested by the statement of Grossman ^[63]: "One of the problems in endodontic treatment is the presence of Candida organisms in infected root canals; it is necessary to eliminate these organisms to maintain the periapical tissue in a normal state or to restore it to a state of health." He proposed the use of antifungal agents as intracanal medication.

C.albicans is frequently associated with root canal treatments failures and has the ability to form biofilms on different surfaces. This property is one of the reasons why this species is considered to be more pathogenic than species that are less able to form biofilm^[64].

Since mechanical instrumentation and irrigation may not eliminate all microorganisms, it has been emphasized that antimicrobial agents should be used in the root canal between visits ^[65]. Common root canal microorganisms are readily killed after contact with a wide variety of intracanal disinfectants ^[66]. Residual microorganisms are likely to play a role in treatment failures ^[6]. To leave the canal empty is an opportunity for endodontic infection or reinfection ^[67]. However, when the root canals were left empty, it allows surviving bacteria in the root canal to multiply ^[68].

Mechanical instrumentation of canals may help to disrupt and expose biofilm organisms to irrigating solutions but at the same time may produce a smear layer that may enhance the growth of surviving yeasts cells. EDTA not only remove smear layer from the root canals but also remove the microorganisms entrapped in the smear layer ^[69]. Furthermore, EDTA has been shown to have a potent antifungal effect ^[70], possibly due to its ability to chelate calcium ions which have a critical role in morphogenesis and pathogenicity of *C. albicans*. Therefore it may be expected that other chelating or calcium binding agents would also have antifungal potential. EDTA and TiF4 may be recommended as an alternative irrigating solution particularly in persistent root canal infections and in

root canals of patients having a high incidence of oral candidiasis ^[71]. EGTA is also considered as a specific calcium ion chelator and it has been recommended as an alternative smear layer removal agent ^[72].

Fungi, unlike most bacteria, are highly resistant to routine root canal irrigants such as sodium hypochlorite⁷³ (NaOCI) and medication (calcium hydroxide) ^[74]. CA was resistant to killing by NaOCI in the presence of a smear layer ^[73]. Thus, it has been demonstrated that sequential use of EDTA and NaOCI limits the growth and adhesion of *C.albicans* to dentin ^[58]. Thus antifungal potential of EDTA will make it very valuable as an endodontic irrigating solution.

Ruff et al.^[75] showed that 6% NaOCI and 2% CHX were equally effective and statistically superior to BioPure MTAD and 17% EDTA in antifungal activity. MTAD was significantly better than 17% EDTA as a final rinse on C. albicans in vitro. 17 % EDTA had the highest antifungal activity compared to 5% NaOCI and Savrolin(1.5% CHX, 15% cetrimide) ^[70].

The best interappointment medicament available in endodontics is calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)2) ^[76], but it doesnot effectively kill candida species ^[74]. Sen et al. hypothesized that if there is a yeast infection in the root canal, the use of common intracanal medicaments during endodontic therapy may favour the overgrowth of yeasts between visits ^[73].

Combinations of the medicaments were equally or less effective against CA than the more effective component. Calcium hydroxide inhibited the effect of chlorhexidine and iodine potassium iodide whilst the combinations were more effective than calcium hydroxide alone. Combination of calcium hydroxide and sodium hypochlorite was an effective as pure sodium hypochlorite. CHX inhibited the effect of iodine potassium iodide and sodium hypochlorite. Iodine potassium iodide and sodium hypochlorite. Iodine potassium iodide and sodium hypochlorite inhibited each other. Sodium hypochlorite, iodine potassium iodide and CHX were all clearly more effective on CA than calcium hydroxide. These disinfectants can be useful as irrigants or local medicaments in cases of persistent apical periodontitis with yeasts. The high pH of calcium hydroxide is unaffected in combinations with CHX acetate or iodine potassium iodide. Thus combination of calcium hydroxide with another disinfectant may be useful in treating persistent cases of apical periodontitis ^[77].

Recently it has been shown that a direct correlation existed between MTA concentration and its inhibition effect on CA growth. Both gray-colored and white colored MTA in concentrations of 50 mg/ml and 25 mg/ml are effective in killing CA for periods of upto 1 wk. Lower concentrations of grey-colored MTA may still be effective while lower concentrations of white MTA may not be effective.

CONCLUSION

CA is an opportunistic microorganism and is becoming increasingly more prevalent in modern medicine. Presence of fungi in root canals more than expected and the knowledge of persistent periapical infections may advocate the use of antifungal agents in modern endodontic therapy. More effective antifungal methods could possibly become more important in endodontic therapy. The presence of CA in the microbial population of root canals and in persistent lesions has prompted researchers to test new (intracanal) medications and methods to eliminate these fungi from the root canal system. However, further investigations are needed to evaluate the antifungal activity associated with various intracanal medicaments used routinely.

REFERENCES

- 1. Shepherd MG. Basic mycology. In: Slots J, Taubman MA, Contemporary oral microbiology and immunology. St Louis: Mosby; 1992: 59-62.
- Dupont B, Graybill JR, Armstrong D, Laroche R, Touze JE, Wheat LJ. Fungal infections in AIDS patients. J Med Vet Mycol. 1992;30(Suppl 1):19-28.
- 3. Marsh P, Martin MV. Oral microbiology. 4th ed. Oxford (England): Wright ; 1999.
- 4. Odds FC. Candida and Candidosis. 2nd ed. London: Bailliere Tindall; 1988.
- 5. Sen BH, Piskin B, Demirci T .0bservations of bacteria and fungi in infected root canals and dentinal tubules by SEM. Endodont Dental Traumatol.1995;11:6–9.
- 6. Molander A, Reit C, Dahle n G, Kvist T (1998) Microbiological status of root-filled teeth with apical periodontitis. Int Endodont J.1998;31:1–7.
- 7. Waltimo TMT, Haapasalo M, Zehnder M & Meyer J.Clinical aspects related to endodontic yeast infections, EndodontiTopics.2004;9:66–78.
- 8. Calderone RA, Fonzi WA. Virulence factors of *Candida albicans*. Trends Microbiol. 2001;9:327-35.
- 9. Johnson SAM, Gijzmok MG, Agurlera CT .Candida (Moniliaj albicans. Effect of amino acids, glucose, pH, chlortetracycline saureom3' cin), dibasic sodium and calcium phosphate and anaerobic and aerobic conditions on grou1;h. Arch Dermatol.1954;70:49-60.
- 10. Arendorf TM, Walker DM. The prevalence and intra-oral distribution of *Candida albicans* in man. Arch Oral Biol. 1980;25:1-10.

- 11. Jacob LS, Flaitz CM, Nichols CM, Hicks MJ. Role of dentinal carious lesions in the pathogenesis of oral candidiasis in HIV infection. J Am Dent Assoc. 1998;129:187-94.
- 12. Lynch E, Beighton D. A comparison of primary root caries lesions classified according to colour. Caries Res. 1994;28:233-9.
- 13. Pizzo G, Barchiesi F, Falconi Di Francesco L, Giuliana G, Arzeni D, et al. Genotyping and antifungal susceptibility of human subgingival *Candida albicans* isolates. Arch Oral Biol. 2002;47:189-96.
- 14. Reynaud AH, Nygaard-Ostby B, Boygard GK, Eribe ER, Olsen I, Gjermo P. Yeasts in periodontal pockets. J Clin Periodontol. 2001;28:860-4.
- 15. Duchmann R, Neurath MF, Meyerzum BU,Schenfelde KH. Responses to self and non-self intestinal microflora in health and inflammatory bowel disease. Res Immunol. 1998;148:589–594.
- 16. Fidel Jr., P. L., Sobel, J. D. (1994) The role of cell-mediated immunity in candidiasis. Trends Microbiol. 1994;6:202–206.
- 17. Egan MW, Spratt DA, Ng Y-L, Lam JM, Moles DR, Gulabivala K. Prevalence of yeasts in saliva and root canals of teeth associated with apical periodontitis. Int Endodont J. 2002;35:321–329.
- 18. Grossman LI(1952) Root canal therapy.3rd edn, London. UK: Henry Kimpton.
- 19. Slack G.The bacteriology of infected root canals and in vitro penicillin sensitivity. Br Dent J.1953;3:211-4,
- 20. Slack G. The resistance to antibiotics of microorganisms isolated from root canals. Br Dent J.1957;18:493-4,
- 21. Mac Donald JB, Hare GC, Wood AWS. The bacteriologic status of the pulp chambers in intact teeth found to be non-vital following trauma, Oral Surg, Oral Medi Oral Pathol. 1957;10:318-22.
- 22. Jackson FL, Halder AR. Incidence of yeast in root canals during therapy. Br Dent J. 1963;115:459–60.
- 23. Wilson MI, Hall J. Incidence of yeasts in root canals. J British Endodon Soc. 1968;2:56–9.
- 24. Matusow R. Acute pulpal-alveolar cellulitis syndrome. ID. Endodontic therapeutic factors and the resolution of a *Candida albicans* infection. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.1981;52:630-4.
- 25. Nair R, Sjogren U, Krey G, Kahnberg KE, Sundquist G. Intraradicular bacteria and fungi in root-filled, asymptomatic human teeth with therapy –resistant perapical lesions: a long-term light and electron microscopic follow-up study. J Endod. 1990;16:580-8.
- 26. Naizar-Fleger D, Filipovic D, Prpic G, Kobler D.Candida in root canal in accordance with oral ecology. Int Endodont J.1992;25:40.
- 27. Sen BH, Piskin B, Demirci T. Observations of bacteria and fungi in infected root canals and dentinal tubules by SEM. Endodont Dental Traumatol.1995;11: 6–9.
- 28. Baumgartner JC, Watts CM, Xia T. Occurance of *Candida albicans* in infections of endodontic origin. J Endod. 2000;26:695-8.
- 29. Ferrari PHP, Cai S, Bombana AC. Effect of endodontic procedures on enterococci, enteric bacteria and yeasts in primary endodontic infections. Int Endodont J. 2005;38: 372–380.
- 30. Ashraf H, Samiee M, Eslami G, Reza M, Hosseini G. Presence of *Candida albicans* in root canal system of teeth requiring endodontic retreatment with and without periapical lesions. Iranian Endod J. 2007;2:24-28.
- 31. Gomes C, Fidel S, Fidel R, de Moura Sarquis, Maria Ines. Isolation and Taxonomy of Filamentous Fungi in Endodontic Infections. J Endodont. 2010;36:626-629.
- 32. Waltimo TMT, Siren EK, Torroko HLK, Olsen I, Haapasalo MPP. Fungi in therapy resistant apical periodontitis. Int Endodont J 1997;30:96-101.
- 33. Waltimo TMT, Ørstavik D, Meurman JH, Samaranayake LP, Haapasalo MPP. In vitro susceptibility of *Candida albicans* isolated from apical and marginal periodontitis to common antifungal agents. Oral Microbiol Immunol.2000;15:245–8.
- 34. Kinirons MJ. Candidal invasion of dentine complicating hypodontia. Br Dent J.1983;154:400-401.
- 35. MacDonald JB,Hare GC,Wood AWS(1957). The bacteriologic status of the pulp chambers in intact teeth found to be non-vital following trauma, Oral Surg Oral Medi Oral Pathol 10, 318-22.
- 36. Soll DR. Candida commensalism and virulence: the evolution of phenotypic plasticity. Acta Trop. 2002;81:101-10.
- 37. Natalia Nastri, Maria Nastri, Virginia Jewtuchowicz, Maria Mujica, et al. Prevalence of candida species in necrotic pulp with chronic periapical processes. Acta Odontol. 2011;24 :183-187.
- 38. Sullivan DJ, Westerneng TJ, Haynes KA, Bennett DE, Coleman DC.Candida dubliniensis sp.: phenotypic and molecular characterisation of a novel species associated with oral candidosis in HIV-infected individuals. Microbiol.1995;14:1507–21.
- 39. Coleman DC, Sullivan DJ, Bennett DE, Moran GP, Barry HJ, Shanley DB. Candidiasis: the emergence of a novel species, Candida dubliniensis. AIDS.1997;11:557–67.
- 40. Waltimo T, Kuusinen M, Jarvensivu A, Nyberg P, Vaananen A, Richardson M, Salo T, Tjaderhane L. Examination on Candida spp. in refractory periapical granulomas, Int Endodont J. 2003; 36:643-647
- 41. White TC, Agabian N.Candida albicans secreted aspartyl proteinases: isoenzyme pattern is determined by cell type, and levels are determined by environmental factors. J Bacteriol.1995;177:5215-21.
- 42. Sweet SP. Selection and pathogenicity of *Candida albicans* in HIV infection. Oral Dis. 1997;3:S88-S95.
- 43. Slutsky B, Buffo J, Soll DR. High frequency switching of colony morphology in *Candida albicans*. Sci.1985;230:666-9.

- 44. Bagg J, Silverwood RW. Coagglutination reactions between *Candida albicans* and oral bacteria. J Med Microbiol.1986;22:165–9.
- 45. Sen BH, Safavi KE, Spångberg LSW.Growth patterns of *Candida albicans* in relation to radicular dentine. Oral Surgery, Oral Med Oral Pathol.1997;84:68–73.
- 46. Luigina Romani. Innate and adaptive immunity in *Candida albicans* infections and saprophytism. J Leucocyte Biol.2000;68:175-9.
- 47. Sirén EK, Haapasalo MPP, Ranta K, Salmi P, Kerosuo ENJ.Microbiological findings and clinical treatment procedures in endodontic cases selected for microbiological investigation. Int Endodont J.1997;30:91–5.
- 48. Siqueira JF,Rocas IN, Lopes HP, Elias CN,de Uzeda Milton. Fungal Infection of the Radicular Dentin. J Endod. 2002; 28:770-773.
- 49. Sen BH, Safavi K, Spangberg LS. Colonization of *Candida albicans* on cleaned human dental hard tissues. Arch Oral Biol. 1997; 42:513-20.
- 50. McCourtie J, Douglas LJ. Relationship between cell surface composition, adherence, and virulence of *Candida albicans*. Infect Immun. 1984; 45:6-12.
- 51. Cannon RD, Holmes AR, Mason AB, Monk BC. Oral Candida: clearance, colonization, or candidiasis. J Dent Res. 1995; 74: 1152-61.
- 52. Ten Cate AR (1994) OraJ Histology. Development. Structure, and Function. 4th edn. p, 174. St. Louis, Missouri, USA: Mosby.
- 53. De Hoog GS, Guarro J .Atlas of Clinical Fungi.1995;1st edn. Baarn and Delft.
- 54. Gow NAR. Gooday GW. Growth kinetics and morphology' of the filamentous form of *Candida albicans*. J Gen Microbiol.1982;128:2187-94,
- 55. Sen BH, Wesselink PR, Turkun M. The Smear layer: a phenomenon in root canal therapy. Int Endodont J. 1995; 28: 141-8.
- 56. Holmes AR, Cannon RD, Shepherd MG. Effect of calcium ion uptake on *Candida albicans* morphology. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1991;77:187-93.
- 57. Klotz SA, Rutten MJ, Smith RL, Babcock SR, Cunningham MD. Adherence of *Candida albicans* to immobilized extracellular matrix proteins is mediated by calcium- dependent surface glycoproteins. Microb Pathol. 1993;14:133-47.
- 58. Sen BH, Chugal NM, Liu H, Fleishmann J. A new method for studying the adhesion of *Candida albicans* to dentin in the presence or absence of smear layer. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Radiol Endodont. 2003;96:201-6.
- 59. Haapasalo HK, Siren EK, Waltimo TM, Ørstavik D, Haapasalo MP. Inactivation of local root canal medicaments by dentine: an in vitro study. Int Endodont J. 2000;33:126-31.
- 60. Baumgartner JC, Hutter Jw, Siqueira JF. Endodontic microbiology and treatment of infections. In: Cohen S. Hargreaves KM. Pathways of the pulp, 9th Edition. St Louis : CV Mosby, 2006:589-91.
- 61. Peciuliene V, Reynaud AH, Balciuniene I, Haapasalo MPP. Isolation of yeasts and entire bacteria in rootfilled teeth with chronic apical periodontitis. Int Endodont J. 2001;34:429-34.
- 62. Ashraf H, Samiee M, Eslami G,Hosseini MRS. Presence of *Candida albicans* in root canal system of teeth requiring endodontic retreatment with and without periapical lesions. Iranian Endod J. 2007;2:24-28.
- 63. Grossman LI. Evaluation of antifungal agents for endodontic use. J Dent Res. 1967;46:215-7.
- 64. Al-Hezaimi Khalid,Naghshbandi Jafar,Oglesby Samuel,Simon James H.S, Rotstein I. Comparison of Antifungal Activity of White-Colored Mineral Trioxide Aggregate(Mta) at Similar Concentrations against *Candida albicans*. J Endodont. 2006; 32:365-367.
- 65. Bystrom A, Sundquist G. Bacteriologic evaluation of the efficacy of mechanical root canal instrumentation in endodontic therapy. Scand J Dent Res. 1981; 89: 321-328.
- 66. Spangberg L. Endodontic medicaments. In: Smith DC, Williams DF, eds. Biocompatability of dental materials. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 1982; 223-257.
- 67. Gomes BPFA, Lilley JD, Drucker DB.Variations in the susceptibilities of components of the endodontic microflora to biomechanical procedures. Int Endodont J.1996;29:235–41.
- 68. Lage-Marques JL, Antoniazzi JH (2000) Quando a medicac `a`o intracanal e´ fundamental para o sucesso da terapia endodo ^ntica. In: Feller C, Gorab R (Eds) Atualizac `a`o na clı´nica odontolo´gica. Sa`o Paulo: Artes Me´dicas, pp. 59–89.
- 69. Sen BH, Akdeniz G, Denizci AA. The effect of ethylenediamine- tetraacetic acid on *Candida albicans*. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Radiol Endod. 2000;90:651–5.
- 70. Mustafa Ates, Bendriye Guniz Akdeniz and Bilge Hakan Sen, Izmir Turkey. The effect of calcium chelating or binding agents on *Candida albicans*. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2005;100:626-30.
- 71. Calt S, Serper A. Smear layer removal by EGTA. J Endod 2000; 26: 459-61.
- 72. Sen BH, Kamran E, Safavi KE, Spångberg LS. Antifungal effects of sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine in root canals. J Endodont.1999;25:235–8.
- 73. Waltimo TMT, Sirén EK, Østervik D, Haapasalo MPP. Susceptibility of oral Candida species to calcium hydroxide in vitro. Int Endodont J.1999;320:94–8.
- 74. Ruff, Melissa L.; McClanahan, Scott B.; Babel, Britta S. In Vitro Antifungal Efficacy of Four Irrigants as a Final Rinse. J Endod. 2006;32:331-333.

- 75. Law A, Messer H. An evidence-based analysis of the antibacterial effectiveness of intracanal medicaments. J Endod 2004;30:689-94.
- 76. Waltimo TMT, Orstavik D, Siren EK, Haapasalo MPP. In vitro susceptibility of *Candida albicans* to four disinfectants and their combinations. Int Endodont J 1999;32:421-429.
- 77. Al-Hezaimi Khalid, Naghshbandi Jafar, Oglesby Samuel, Simon James H.S, Rotstein I. Comparison of Antifungal Activity of White-Colored Mineral Trioxide Aggregate(Mta) at Similar Concentrations against *Candida albicans*. J Endod. 2006; 32:365-367.