

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

Energy and Exergy Analysis of Double Exposure Box-Type Solar Cooker

K,Saravanan¹, B.Janarthanan²

Research Scholar, Department of Physics, Karpagam University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India¹

Associate Professor, Department of Physics, Karpagam University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India²

ABSTRACT: This study has been made to analyze the exergy and energy assessment of double exposure solar cooker (DESC) under local climatic condition in Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India. Cooking test of food stuffs has been done with vessels viz., copper, aluminium, stainless steel and ceramic pots. Energy balance equations have been written for the temperature elements of the cooker. It has been found that the copper vessel has significant impact on the performance of the cooker and the theoretical and experimental observations are in good agreement.Exergy and Energy assessment of the cooker was also carried out.

KEYWORDS: Energy, Exergy, Double exposure ,Box-type solar cooker, Efficiency, Absorber plate.

I. INTRODUCTION

The effective method of utilizing solar energy to meet the demand for cooking can be achieved by solar cooking. Many countries have utilized solar energy for cooking rice, vegetables, meat etc., by using different designs of solar cookers. Researchers around the world have made attempts to analysis the performance of various designs of cookers in different locations.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Energy and exergy efficiency comparison of community-size (CSC) and domestic-size paraboloidal solar cooker(DSC) performance by S.C. Kaushik et al. [1]. It is found that the CSC provides high performance, which is indicated by high energy efficiency, exergy efficiency and low characteristic boiling time in comparison with the DSC.

Pandey et al. [3] paraboloid type and box type have been evaluated using exergy analysis. It is found that the exergy efficiency vary with the cooking stuff. Panwar et al. [4] has been made energy and exergy analysis of a domestic size parabolic solar cooker. It is found that maximum energy and exergy efficiencies of the cooker were experimentally evaluated, and it is about 46.82% and 32.97%, respectively. Negi et al. [13] design a box type solar cooker utilizing non-tracking concentrator optics to enhance the solar energy availability inside the box for efficient cooking. It was confirmed that the cooker provided the increased heat collection and faster cooking compared with that of the conventional box type solar cooker.

Hasan simply designed and the low cost parabolic type solar cooker and evaluated the energy and exergy efficiencies of the cooker. Over all exergy output is 2.9-6.6W.Ozturk [6] has been made energy and exergy for solar box and parabolic cookers. It is found that energy and exergy efficiency for the box type solar cooker and parabolic solar cooker was in the range 3.05–35.2%, 0.58–3.52% and 2.79–15.65%, 0.4–1.25% during the experimental period. Ozturk [7] have been made energy and exergy efficiencies of a solar box-cooker and the average daily energy and exergy efficiencies of the box type solar cooker are 18.3 and 2.2%, respectively

Exergy based analysis on solar cookers by Naveen Kumar et al.[2]. It is found that the exergy power output and exergy power lost with temperature difference for different loads/mass of water for solar box type cooker and the four different parameters, use for exergy based test protocol for solar cookers. The experimental results had shown that the late evening cooking was possible due to the three reflectors which enhanced the incident solar radiation.

Amer [14] carried out the theoretical and experimental assessment of a double exposure solar cooker with reflector and concluded that the cooking time was reduced by 30-60 min compared with that of the conventional box type solar cooker.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

Sebaii and Ibrahim [12] have constructed box type solar cooker with one (model I) and four (model II)cooking pots in Tanta and evaluated the figure of merit F1 and F2. It has been confirmed that the cooking power P obtained is in agreement with the International standard test procedure for solar cookers. Funk [17] has proposed international standard for testing solar cookers and the procedure has been applied for historical solar cooker test data for proving as a useful tool for evaluating the relative performance of different designs of solar cookers.

Sunita Mahavar [19] designed a Single family solar cooker and its thermal and cooking performance F1 and F2.Mahavar et al. [15] have fabricated two novel cookers for the cooking requirements of small families and are small family solar cookers(SFSC-I), small family solar cookers(SFSC-II). The essential values of first figure of merit (F2) and second figure of merit(F2) and standard and cooking power suggested by Indian standard and International standard for box-type solar cookers has been evaluated and presented.

Further, Schwarzer et al. [16] discussed the basic characteristics and experimental procedures of general types of solar cookers. A simple analytical model was also presented by them, to design a simple cooking system with an optimum design parameter. A solar cooker with phase change material and three reflectors was designed and analysed by Buddhi et al. . Further, many researchers have been presented the energy and exergy efficiency of the box type and parabolic solar cookers (S. C. Kaushik et al. [1],[5], T.C. Kandpal et al. [19]).

In the present work an attempt has been made to propose and simulate the double exposure box type solar cooker. The analytical solutions for the temperature elements of the proposed cooker are developed by energy and exergy and temperature components of the cooker.

III. DESCRIPTION OF DOUBLE EXPOSURE SOLAR COOKER

The photograph, schematic diagram and sectional view of the DESC are shown in the Figs. 1, 2 and 3. DESC consists of a rectangular box of inner and outer enclosure of dimensions $0.52 \times 0.84 \times 0.30$ m and $0.44 \times 0.75 \times 0.30$ m made of plywood. Glass wool insulation has been filled in the gap between the enclosures to trap the solar radiation within the cooker. Commercially available .005 m thick copper plate (0.35 x 0.60 m) was used as the absorber plate and painted black to absorb maximum amount of solar radiation. It has been fixed at a distance of 0.15 m from the top and bottom edge of the box. The inner sides of the cooker on either side of the absorber plate are provided with reflectors with an inclination of 30° with respect to the absorber plate. The glass cover of thickness 0.004 m has been used to cover the top and bottom side of the cooker. The lid of the cooker has a rectangular shape of dimension of 0.45×0.85 m and fixed at the top side of the cooker.



Fig. 1. (a) Photograph of box type double exposure solar cooker (b) Schematic diagram of box type double exposure solar cooker (c) Sectional top view of the box

Cooking vessels made of stainless steel, aluminum, ceramic pot and copper with diameter of 0.15 m and depth of 0.10 m has been used for cooking different food materials. The base of the vessels have been selected in a way to have good thermal contact with the absorber plate. The cooker is made air tight with the help of silicone sealant. Reflectors are fixed at an angle of 45° at the bottom on either sides of the cooker to reflect the radiation towards the absorber



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

plate through the bottom. Another reflector has been fixed at a distance of 0.80 m beneath the bottom surface of the cooker.

IV. INSTRUMENTATION AND MEASUREMENTS

To analyze the energy and exergy assessment of the proposed cooker, experiments have been conducted in Department of Physics, Karpagam University, Coimbatore (11°N latitude and 76°56'E longitude), Tamilnadu, India during April to October 2013. Observations for one of the typical experimental days have been used for the evaluation of the different parameters for the performance of the proposed cooker. Calibrated Copper-Constantan thermocouples have been used to measure the temperature elements of the cooker and cooking fluid. Solar radiation monitor and digital thermometers have been used to measure the total solar radiation and ambient temperature.

V. ANALYSIS OF ENERGY AND EXERGY IN DESC

EXERGY ANALYSIS

The maximum degradation of energy in the locations of proposed cooker can be found by analysing the exergy input and output. Moreover the actual measurement of the performance of the system is found by using the concept of exergy. For available energy flux of radiation inside the cooker, exergy input to the solar cooker can be found by using the equation derived by Petela [23]

Exergy input
$$\Xi i = I_b [1 - (T_0/T_s)(4/3)] A_{ab}$$
 (1)

Exergy output to the solar cooker can be found by using the equation given by Kaushik and Gupta [1].

Exergy output
$$\Xi_0 = M_w C_w [(T_{wf} - T_{wi}) - T_0 ln(T_{wf}/T_{wi})]/t$$
 (2)

By using the exergy input and output, Exergy efficiency can be calculated by using equation given by Kaushik and Gupta [1].

$$\Psi = \frac{\text{Exergy output}}{\text{Exergy input}}$$

$$\Psi = \frac{M_w C_w [(T_{wf} - T_{wi}) - T_0 \ln(T_{wf}/T_{wi})]/t}{I_b [1 - (T_0/T_s)(4/3)]A_{ab}}$$
(3)

ENERGY ANALYSIS

The energy input to the solar cooker is the amount of solar radiation transmitted through the top and bottom glass cover and getting absorbed in the absorber plate. The amount of transmitted solar radiation intercepted by the absorber plate can be obtained by

$$\mathsf{E}_{i} = \mathsf{I}_{t} \mathsf{A}_{ab} \tag{4}$$

The energy output from a solar cooker depends on the quantity of cooking fluid and number of cooking vessels on the absorber plate. In such scenario, the energy output can be calculated by using the equation

$$\mathsf{E}_{0} = \frac{\mathsf{M}_{w}\mathsf{C}_{w}(\mathsf{T}_{wf} - \mathsf{T}_{wi})}{\mathsf{t}} \tag{5}$$

VI. RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

Experiments have been conducted with the proposed DESC in Department of Physics, Karpagam University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India during the month of April, May and June (summer) and in August, September and October 2013 (winter). Four cooking vessels (Copper, Aluminium, stainless steel and ceramic) have been used to cook



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

different food stuffs in different days by using the cooker during the working hours of the day in both summer and winter months. The intensity of solar radiation and ambient temperature has been recorded for the experimental days with solar radiation monitor and digital thermometer. Among the experimental days in winter and summer, observations of the typical days have been considered for the exergy and energy analysis of the cooker with different cooking vessels in the cooker. Figure. 2 shows the variation of solar radiation and ambient temperature for one of the typical days in winter and it is observed that the intensity of solar radiation gradually increases and reached a maximum at 13:00 hrs and the intensity started decreasing after 13:00 hrs. Observations have been made from 10:00hrs in the morning as the intensity of solar radiation is lesser than 300 W/m² during early morning hours. The ambient temperature followed the same trend as that of the intensity of solar radiation and ambient temperature for one of the typical days in summer with different cooking vessels. The intensity of solar radiation and ambient temperature for one of the typical days in summer with different cooking vessels. The intensity of solar radiation and ambient temperature during summer days are higher than that of the winter days due to the clear sky and higher interception of solar radiation in the location.



Fig.2 variation of global solar radiation and temperature winter days (at Coimbatore)





(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

Fig.3 variation of global solar radiation and temperature summer days (at Coimbatore)

The base temperature of the cooking vessels without load has been recorded to find the effective vessel for cooking. Observations of one of the typical days in winter and summer have been taken and discussed. It has been observed that the intensity of solar radiation and ambient temperature are comparable for all the working days in winter as well as in summer separately with different cooking vessels and observations have been given equal footage. Figure. 4 represents the variation of temperature of the base of the four cooking vessels with respect to time. The temperature of the base of the cooking vessels have been seen for about 80 minutes in winter. It is observed that, during the winter days, the temperature of the base of the cooking vessels are 80° C, 75° C, 72° C and 62° C for copper, aluminium, stainless steel and ceramic pot vessels. It is seen that the copper base vessel has shown higher temperature compared to the other vessels due to high thermal and low specific heat capacity of copper. Similarly in summer, the temperature of the base has been examined after 70 minutes and it is observed that the vessels have reached the temperature of 110° C (copper vessel), 94° C (aluminum vessel), 84° C (silver vessel) and 66° C (ceramic vessel). For all the vessels, the temperature of the base of the vessels has shown higher than that of winter days due to clear and sunny weather. This led to the conclusion that, copper vessel has significant impact on convecting thermal energy to the cooking fluid.



Fig.4 variation of temperature of the different base of the vessels in summer and winter days (at Coimbatore)

Experiments have been proceeded with load of 1 kg of water in the four vessels and tested. The boiling point of water has been reached in 70, 80, 90, 100 minutes during summer and 80, 90, 100, 110 minutes for copper, aluminium, stainless steel and ceramic pot vessel during winter respectively. The cooker with load confirmed the effectiveness of copper vessel that dominates the other vessels for cooking. Hence in the proposed DESC, copper base vessels can be used effectively for cooking in short interval of time and number of times during the working hours of the day in both summer and winter days.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014



Fig.5 Time variation of temperature of water in different base of the vessels in summer and winter days (at Coimbatore)

Further 1 kg of gingili oil has been taken in the copper base vessel and the cooker is exposed to solar radiation from 10:00 hrs in the morning during summer and winter days. Figure. 6 shows the variation of the oil temperature for one of the typical days in summer and winter. One kilogram of gingili oil has been taken in a copper bottom vessel and was heated in both winter and summer days. It has been observed that the oil temperature has reached 147°C and 138°C in summer and winter with time duration of 4hrs 30 min. Since the cooker is doubly exposed, the temperature difference of the oil during the time is only 11°C. Hence the cooker has shown significant impact on cooking and can be used in both winter and summer with less maintenance.





(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

Fig.6 Time variation of temperature of gingili oil in copper vessel in summer and winter days (at Coimbatore)



Fig. 7. Energy efficiency of summer and winter days

Energy and exergy assessment of the proposed cooker has been studied by finding the energy and exergy efficiency with 1/2 kg of water in copper vessel during summer and winter. Figures. 7 and 8 represents the energy and exergy efficiency of the cooker during summer and winter along with the intensity of solar radiation. From Fig. 7, it has been observed that the average energy efficiency in summer and winter was found to be 16.793% and 25.7462%. The average energy efficiency during summer is lesser than in winter due to the faster convection of large amount of energy to the cooking fluid. In winter, the efficiency is higher due to decreased intensity of solar radiation. Since the input energy in winter is lesser than summer, the energy efficiency has shown higher value.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014



Fig.8 Exergy efficiency of summer and winter days

From Figure.8, it is clear that the average exergy efficiency in winter is slightly higher than in winter. This is due to the maximum degradation of energy in summer than in winter. It has been found that the average exergy efficiency in summer and winter are 1.127 and 1.2872%. The variation of instantaneous energy and exergy efficiency of the proposed DESC during summer and winter has been presented in Table. 1 and Table 2.

From the tables. 1 and 2, it has been observed that the instantaneous exergy efficiency during summer and winter is lesser than the instantaneous energy efficiency due to the degradation of energy into work by the proposed cooker throughout the working hours of the day. The assessment provides an optimistic hope for the utilization of the cooker in the climatic conditions of Coimbatore in a positive manner.

Table: 1

Performance of DESC with copper base vessels and mass of water in half kg in Summer seasons

Time	Solar radiation (W/m ²)	Ambient (K)	Input (W)		Cooker Output (W)		Efficiency (%)	
			Energy	Exergy	Energy	Exergy	Energy	Exergy
9.30	889	301.1						
10.00	993	302.4	379.823	376.615	76.351	1.629	20.102	0.433
10.30	1121	303.1	428.788	425.162	75.536	0.931	17.617	0.219
11.00	1187	303.5	454.028	450.193	75.071	6.982	16.534	1.551
11.30	1218	306.5	465.885	461.951	71.579	10.007	15.364	2.166
12.00	1213	310.8	463.973	460.055	66.574	5.818	14.349	1.265

Table: 2

Performance of DESC with copper base vessels and mass of water in half kg in Winter seasons



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

Time	Solar radiation (W/m ²)	Ambient (K)	Input (W)		Cooker Output (W)		Efficiency (%)	
			Energy	Exergy	Energy	Exergy	Energy	Exergy
9.30	525	297						
10.00	527	299	201.5775	200.3680	66.3417	2.3273	32.9112	1.1615
10.30	490	300	187.4250	186.3005	65.1778	2.3273	34.7754	1.2492
11.00	521	301	199.2825	198.0868	64.0139	4.6546	32.1222	2.3498
11.30	681	303	260.4825	258.9196	61.6861	2.3273	23.6815	0.8989
12.00	850	304	325.1250	323.1743	60.5222	2.3273	18.6151	0.7202
12.30	820	305	313.6500	311.7681	59.3583	4.1892	18.9250	1.3437

VII. CONCLUSION

The following conclusions have been drawn from the experiment during summer and winter and are

- (i) The double exposure solar cooker is found to be efficient in both summer and winter
- (ii) Copper vessel has significant impact on the performance of the cooker
- (iii) Energy and exergy assessment led to the definite suitability of the cooker in the climatic conditions of Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India
- (iv) Cooker can be used for number of times in a day without much problem
- (v) Food cooked using the cooker is found to be dust-free and highly nutritious
- (vi) The cooker can be commercialized with an optimistic hope to reduce the consumption of conventional sources for cooking
- (vi) Design optimization can be done for the cooker for large scale installations

Nomenclature

- A_{ab} Surface area of the solar cooker (m²)
- C_w Specific heat of water (J/kg K)
- E_i Energy input (W)
- E_o Energy output (W)
- Ξ_i Exergy input (W/m²)
- Ξ_0 Exergy output (W/m²)
- T_{ab} Temp of absorber plate (K)
- T_{wi} Initial temperature of the water (K)
- T_{wf} Final temperature of the water (K)
- T₀ Outside temperature (K)
- T_s Temperature of the Sun (6000 K)
- M_w Mass of the water (kg)
- t Time in seconds



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

- It Transmitted solar radiation inside the cooker
- H_s Total solar radiation on horizontal surface

REFERENCES

- [1] Kaushik, S.C., and Gupta, M.K., "Energy and exergy efficiency of community- size domastic parabolaidal solar cooker performance", Energy for sustainable Development, Vol.X11, Issue 3, pp.60-64, 2008.
- [2] Naveen Kumar, "Effect of load variations on exergy performance of solar box type cooker", Journal of Renewable and sustainable energy, Vol.4, 9pages, 2012.
- [3] Pandey, A.K., Tyagi, V.V., Park, S.R., and Tyagi, S.K., "Comparative experimental study of solar cookers using exergy analysis", Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, xxxx,2012.
- [4] Panwar, N.L., "Thermal modeling, energy and exergy analysis of animal feed solar cooker", Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy, Vol.5, 2013.
- [5] Panwar, N.L., Kaushik, S.C., and Surendra Kothari, "Experimental investigation of energy and exergy efficiencies of domestic size parabolic dish solar cooker", Renewable Sustainable Energy, Vol.4, 2012.
- [6] HuseyinOzturk, H., "Comparison of Energy and Exergy Efficiency for Solar Box and Parabolic Cookers", J. Energy Eng, Vol.133,Issue.1,pp.53–62,2007.
- [7] Ozturk, H.Huseyin., "Energy and exergy efficiencies of a solar box-cooker", International Journal of Exergy, Vol.1, Issue.2, pp.202-214, 2004 .
- [8] Kaushik, S.C., and Gupta, M.K., "Energy and exergy efficiency comparison of community size and domestic-size paraboloidal solar cooker performance", Energy Sustainable, Vol.3, pp.60–64,2008.
- [9] Murat Ozturk, "Exergy analysis of low and high temperature water gas shift reactor with parabolic concentrating collector", Clean energy system and experiences, pp.107-130;2010.
- [10] Kumar, N., Vishwanth, and Gupta, A., "An exergy based unified test protocol for solar cookers of different geometries", Renewable Energy, Vol.44, pp.457–462, 2012.
- [11] AreskiHarmim, "Experimental study of a double exposure solar cooker with finned cooking vessel", Science direct solar energy, Vol.82, pp.287-289,2008.
- [12] El-sebaii, A.A., and Ibrahim, A., "Experimental testing of a box-type solar cooker using the standard procedure of cooking power", Renewable Energy, Vol.30 pp.1861-1871,2005.
- [13] Negi, B.S., and Purohit, I., "Experimental investigation of a box type solar cooker employing a non-tracking concentrator", Energy conversion and management, Vol.464, pp.577-604, 2005.
- [14] EmadH.Amer, "Theoretical and experimental assessment of a double exposure solar cooker", Energy conversion and management, Vol.44, pp.2651-2663,2003.
- [15] Mahavar, S., Verma, M., Rajawat, P., Sengar, N., and Dashora, P., "Novel solar cookers, Suitable journal of sustainable energy ,vol.32,no.6,pp.574-586,2012.
- [16] Schwarzer, K., and daSliva, M.E.V., "Characterization and design methods of solar cookers", Solar energy, Vol.82, pp.157-163, 2008.
- [17] Funk, P.A., "Evaluating the international standard procedure for testing solar cookers and the reporting performance", Solar energy. Vol.68; pp.1-7, 2000.
- [18] Mullick, S.C., Kandpal, T.C., and Saxena, A.K., "Thermal test procedure for box-type solar cookers", Solar Energy, Vol.39, pp.353–360, 1987
- [19] SunitaMahavar, NamartaSengar, ManichVerma and Prabha Dashora, "Extensive Experimental Studies of a Single Family Solar Cooker", International journal of Information and Communications, Vol.2, Issue.4,pp.169-179,2011.
- [20] Venugopal, D., Chandraskaran, J., Janarthanan, B., and Shanmugan, V., "Parametric optimization of a box -type solar cooker with an inbuilt paraboloid reflector using Cramers rule", International journal of sustainable energy, pp.1-15,2011.
- [21] James.R.Lowery, George.C.Marsball, "Solar absorption Characteristics of several coatings and surface finishes", NASA Technical memorandum, 1977.
- [22] Mullick, S.C., Kandpal, T.C., and Subodh Kumar, "Testing of box-type solar cookers: second figure of merit F₂ and its variation with load and number of pots", Solar Energy, Vol 57, Issue.5, pp.409-413, 1996.
- [23] Petela, R., Sol. Energy Vol.74, pp. 469, 2003.