INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PLANT, ANIMAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

Volume-3, Issue-2, April-June-2013 Copyrights@2013

ISSN 2231-4490 Coden : IJPAES www.ijpaes.com

Received: 15th Mar-2013

Revised: 19th Mar-2013

Accepted: 20th Mar-2013

Research article

ESTIMATION OF NITRATE, NITRITE, ARSENIC AND OTHER PHYSIC-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF WATER.

N.M.Kugali^{*}, R.F.Ankalagi¹ and M.S.Yadawe²

Basaveshwar Science College Bagalkot *S.B.Arts and K.C.P.Science College Bijapur, Nehru Science College Hubli

ABSTRACT: Contamination of drinking water by nitrates, nitrites and arsenic is an evolving public health concern since nitrate can undergo endogenous reduction to nitrite and nitrosation of nitrites can form N-nitroso compounds which are potent carcinogens. Nitrites can lead among infants to the disease called methemoglobinemia (blue baby syndrome). There is a strong relationship between nitrate concentration and recurrent diarrhoea and also other illness. The objective of this study is investigation and determination of nitrate, nitrite, arsenic and other physic-chemical parameters of Bagalkot district. 46 samples of water were analysed for pH, total hardness, chloride, fluoride, iron, total alkalinity, nitrate, nitrite, arsenic and sulphates. It was found that water samples had within the permissible limits of WHO.

Key words: Nitrate, Nitrite, Arsenic, methemoglobinemia etc.

INTRODUCTION

As the whole human population needs drinking water for sustaining life the provision of a safe supply is a high priority issue for safeguarding the health and well being of humans. The production of adequate and safe drinking water is the most important factor contributing to a decrease in mortality and morbidity in developing countries. The World Health Organisation (WHO) reported that nearly half of the population in these countries suffers from health problems associated with lack of drinking water or the presence of microbiologically contaminated water [1]. Water is a very good solvent, hence it dissolves some toxic and hazardous substances producing water pollution problem posing many public parameters of interest for water quality assessment and nitrates out of them. An increase of nitrates in water is often associated with farming fertilizer, pesticide or poor sanitary activities [2-7]. The use of nitrate contaminated drinking water to prepare infant formula is a well known risk factor for infant methemoglobinemia. Affected infants develop a peculiar blue-grey skin colour and may become irritable or lethargic depending on the severity of their condition. The condition can progress rapidly to cause coma and death if it is not recognised and treated appropriately [8]. There is a positive association between nitrates in drinking water and n-Hodgkin lymphoma and colorectal cancer⁹. In 1986 WHO fixed the limit of the contents of nitrates and nitrites in drinking water, taking guidance from which Indian standards were developed. High nitrate may cause methemoglobinemia, gastric cancer and birth defects [10]. Other health effects on humans that are potentially influenced by elevated levels of nitrate in drinking water include tetragonic toxicity and hypertrophy of the thyroid [11]. Nitrate in high concentration has been observed in ground water of Churu of Rajasthan [12]. In an effort to prevent the disease ICMR has recommended the concentration of 2 mg/L nitrate in water to be used for infant feeding, while more than 100 mg/L was not recommended for human consumption. Moreover, the increased nitrate level in drinking water may adversely affect the central nervous system [13]. Twenty three incidents of ground water arsenic contamination have been reported so far in different parts of the world. The largest population at risk is in Bangladesh [14]. Groundwater arsenic contamination and associated skin lesions have also been reported from Nepal [15], state of Bihar etc [16].

The research work is being persuaded to analyse ground water quality and to find out chemical and biochemical remediation of problematic ions. In this context the work published here provides insight into the problems faced by the people of Bagalkot district, Karnataka India.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Groundwater samples collected from the bore wells, hand pumps, lakes of 46 sampling stations were analysed (Table.1). Samples were collected in clean Teflon bottles of 1 litre capacity. Highly pure chemicals and double distilled water was used for preparing solutions for analysis. Physical parameters like, pH, TDS were measured using digital meters immediately after sampling. The total hardness, alkalinity, nitrate, nitrite were analysed in the laboratory using standard methods [17]. The flow injection hydride generation atomic absorption spectrophotometer (FI-HG-AAS) method was used for arsenic analysis [18].

RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

Table.1 presents an overview of groundwater parameters. In the studied localities were free from colour, odour, turbidity and bacteria. The PH values of groundwater were ranged from 5 to 8.5 and most of the water samples are acidic in nature. The lower value of pH may be due to the dilution of alkaline substances or atmospheric CO_2 . The dust particles are rich in calcium carbonate/bicarbonate which are the major buffering agents for acidity generated by $SO_4^{2^-}$ and NO_3^- in Bagalkot district. It is the indicator of hydrology and aesthetic quality of water. During study, the hardness was ranged from 105 to 1680mg/L.

WHO recommended safe permissible limit for hardness i.e, 500mg/L. Water hardness in most ground water is naturally occurring from weathering of limestone, sedimentary rock and calcium bearing minerals. Hardness can also occur locally in groundwater from excessive application of lime to the soil in agricultural areas. Very hard water results in urinary concentrations, disease of kidney or bladder or stomach disorder. Chloride is the indicator of contamination with animal and human waste. The chloride contents varied from 0.1 to 1150mg/L indicates pollution status of water body. Increase in chloride concentration may be due to the entry of allochthonous material into catchment area. The rain contributes in increasing the chloride content in water has also been reported [19, 20]. Fluoride content ranges from 0.3 to 10.00mg/L. The study indicates that most of the water samples (about 23%) contain fluoride above 1mg/L, the highest desirable level set by WHO and ICMR. Studies in this area revealed that fluoride is more than the permissible limit i.e, 0.3 to 10mg/L as reported in our previous work [21,22]. Sulphate is the indicator of hydrology and solution of fertilizer into water.

During the study, sulphate ranged from 15 to 210mg/L. Iron content of water samples ranged from 0.1 to 0.3mg/L. The values of alkalinity ranged from 135 to 1250 mg/L. It provides guidance in applying doses ofchemicals in water and waste water processes particularly in coagulations, softening and operational control of anaerobic digestion. Nitrate and nitrites of water samples collected lie in the range from0.3 to 58 mg/L and 0.2 to 25 mg/L respectively. About 18% of samples collected have high values of nitrate and exceeds the permissible limit proposed by BIS and WHO (45mg/L). Due to its solubility and anionic form nitrate is very mobile can easily leach into the water table [23]. Nitrates and nitrites are indicators of remote and recent faecal pollution respectively. The results of investigations of Yang et al [24], showed that there is a significant positive association between drinking water nitrate exposure and gastric cancer mortality. According to Gupta et al [25], a review of literature indicated an association among high nitrate ingestion methamoglobinemia and pathologic changes in bronchi and lung parenchyma. Generally the nitrate contamination in our water samples reaches high levels as a result of agricultural run off, refuse, dump run off or contamination with human or animal waste [3, 25]. Significant positive relationship with rainfall amount was also important. Bagalkot district is faced with a serious problem of potable water supply. The arsenic and bacteria were not detected in the studied water samples. The sanitation is even worst in villages, which lack public water distribution system. There is a need to evaluate these waters and develop strategies to reduce and prevent their contamination

Ī	Sr.no	pН	Total	Cl	F	Fe	SO4	Total	NO3	NO ₂	As	B.T	T.B
┝	1	65	naromess 210	NL1	0.5	NT1	50	650	50	0.2	NT1	h1 a a 1 a	NT:1
╞	1	0.0	210	11/11	0.5		150	200	50	0.2	1111	DIACK	1911
╞	2	0.0	390	11/11	2.0	11/11	150	/00	5.0	0.2	1111	INII	IN11
	3	6.8	450	125	1	Nil	150	1050	5.0	0.15	Nil	Nil	Nil
	4	7.5	775	75	1.8	Nil	150	650	58	4.5	Ni1	Nil	Nil
٠ļ	5	5.0	450	125	0.5	Nil	nil	750	0.5	0.2	Ni1	Nil	Nil
	6	7.5	420	0.2	0.5	0.3	150	nil	45	1.2	Ni1	Nil	Nil
ſ	7	5.0	360	50	3.5	Nil	200	405	25	4.8	Ni1	Nil	Nil
ľ	8	8.4	1080	Nil	Nil	Nil	I80	300	10	0.8	Ni1	Nil	Nil
ŀ	9	5.0	750	60	0.5	Nil	180	300	25	0.6	Ni1	Nil	Nil
ſ	10	5.0	525	190	0.5	Ni	180	450	50	0.3	Ni1	Nil	Nil
ł	11	5.0	600	Nil	Ni1	0.3	100	450	10	0.3	Ni1	Nil	Nil
ł	12	55	300	80	2.5	Ni	100	80	50	10	Ni1	Ni1	Nil
ł	13	6.8	1110	250	10	Nil	150	950	10	0.5	Nil	Nil	Nil
t	14	5.0	525	330	NJ1	0.3	150	180	10	0.5	Ni1	Ni1	Nil
┢	15	65	1020	NL1	0.5	NE1	200	225	15	2.2	 NE1	<u>ъщ</u>	NG1
┝	15	0.5	450	150	0.5	TAII PE1	200	225	40	2.2	TALL VE1	1911 NT1	NT:1
· -	10	7.J 6	540	75	0.0	TAII PE1	100	1050	25	5.5	TALL VE1	1911 NT1	NT:1
ł	17	0			0.92	1111	100	760	55		1111	1111	1111
	18	1.5	600	15	0.5		0C	750	24	2.8		IN11	IN11
	19	5	1050	Nıl	0.5	Nıl	150	750	0.3	Nıl	Nıl	Nıl	Nıl
	20	5.5	570	90	8.5	Nil	25	750	5	0.8	Nil	Ni1	Nil
	21	6.5	375	130	0.5	Nil	100	450	51	0.2	Nil	Nil	Nil
L	22	5.8	375	130	1.5	Nil	100	450	54	0.2	Ni1	<u>Ni1</u>	Nil
╞	23	<u> </u>	980	/) 100	0.5	Nil Nil	200	400	25		Nil Nil	N11	Nil Nil
┢	24	7.8	105	60	2.2	Nil	15	450	25	8.0	Nil	Ni1	Nil
F	26	7.5	450	75	1.2	Nil	55	300	25	25	Nil	Nil	Nil
	27	7.4	450	75	0.7	Nil	50	300	45	25	Nil	Ni1	Nil
	28	5.5	375	31	0.65	Nil	100	450	12	10	Nil	Ni1	Nil
	29	5.5	1680	40	0.5	0.3	200	300	5	1.0	Nil	<u>Ni1</u>	Nil
	30	5.8	1050	90	0.3	Nil	200	950	6.5	1.2		Nıl	Nil
<u> </u>	20).ک مح	1250	900	0.5	1111	100	750	10	U.D	Nil NI	N11	N11
┝	32	0.J 55	1200	52	0.0	100	100 NE1	675	15	1.2	1111 NT1	<u>الالا</u> ۲۳۱۱	T411
⊢	34	8.5	1050	115	0.5	Nil	210	675	51	0.5	Ni1	Ni1	Nil
⊢	35	6.5	405	53	0.2	Nil	150	750	12	0.3	Nil	Ni1	Nil
	36	7.5	525	75	0.4	Nil	150	650	15	0.8	Nil	Nil	Nil
	37	7.5	690	105	1.0	Nil	50	700	10	2	Nil	Ni1	Nil
	38	8.5	625	80	5	Nil	150	600	5	1.0	Nil	Ni1	Nil
	39	8.5	450	150	0.5	Nil	50	750	25	0.5	Nil	Ni1	Nil
	40	8.4	525	250	2.0	Nil	85	56	0.8	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	41	5.2	330	60	0.5	0.3	100	1250	35	2.5	Nil	Ni1	Nil
	42	8.3	390	20	4.5	0.1	200	300	35	10	Nil	Ni1	Nil
	43	8.0	645	0.1	0.5	Nil	150	300	35	8	Nil	Ni1	Nil
	44	6.5	325	75	2.5	0.22	100	450	25	2	Nil	Ni1	Nil
	45	6.5	525	70	0.5	Nıl	50	450	45	1.5		111	Nil
	40	7.5	1 300	50	0.5	INI	150	1 120	10	0.8		[Nıl	N1I

 Table.1: Overview of Groundwater Parameters

WHO Standards:

B.T. Black colour 24hrs Tu

Turbidity: 525

Note: P= Permissible Limit E=Excessive Limit

	P	E
pH	7-8.5	6.5-9.2
Total hardness	300	600
C1-	200	600
F-		1.5
Fe	0.3	1.0
Total alkalinity	200	-
NO2-	45	N
SO4-	200	400

CONCLUSION

The total hardness of ground water of Bagalkot district area fall in the hard category. Nitrate and nitrite in the study area indicates the sign of deterioration which calls for at least primary treatment of ground water before being used for drinking. The ground water quality improves with the increase in depth and distance of the bore well or lake from the pollution source.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are thankful to UGC and BVVS management and staff of Basaveshwar Science College for finance assistance and co-operation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Van Leeuwen, FXR.Safe drinking water: toxicologists approach. Food and Chemical Toxicology 2000:38,551-558.
- [2] Laanthe P.A,Dick W.A, Brown I.C., 2000. Bioremidiation of nitrate contaminated shallow soils and waters via water table management techniques; evolution and release of nitrous oxide soil Biology and Biochemistry,;32(3),371-82.
- [3] Neal C, Neal M, Wickham H, Harrow M. 2000. The water quality of tributary Thames. The Pang Southern: Science of the total environment,;251-252(1-3), 469-75.
- [4] Nolan B.T, Stoner J.D, 2000. Nutrients in ground waters of the Conterminous United States, 1992-1995; Environmental Science and Toxicology;34(7);1156-65.
- [5] Georg M.H, Wilkund L, Aastrup M, Pousette J, Thunholm, Seldeen J et al, 2001. Incidence and geographical drinking water levels. Eur-J-Clin-Invest,;31(12);1083-94.
- [6] Yadawe M.S, Pujar A.S, Pujeri U.S and Hiremath S.C; 2012. Elixir pollution 53,11894-11897.
- [7] Yadawe M.S, Pujar A.S, Pujeri U.S and Hiremath S.C; 2011. RJPBCS vol 2issue 4,1086-1090.
- [8] Knobeloch L,Salna B,Hogen A, Postle J, Anderson H 2000, Blue babies and nitrate contaminated well water (clinical conference), Environmental Health Perspectives, 108,7,675-678.
- [9] Gulis G,Czompolyova G, Cerhan J.R;2002. An Ecologic study of nitrate in municipal drinking water and cancer incidence in Trnava district, Slovakia, Environmental Research; 88,3;182-187.

- [10] Morvish S.S, Nature 1985;315, 461-462.
- [11] Dorsch S S, Scragg R.K, Mc Michael R.K, 1984. American J Epidemiology; 473-486.
- [12] Ozha D.D, Varshney Bohra 1993. J, Ind J Environmental Health ,; 35(1) 15-19.
- [13] Chern L, Kra G,Postle J, Nitrate in ground water a continuing issue for Wiscnsin citizens, Wisconsin Department of natural resources. http://www.dnr.state US/org/water/dwg/gw/pubs/Nitrate.pdf Last visit 30-07-05.
- [14] Rahman M.M, Mandal B.K, Roychowdhary T, Sengupta M.K, Choudhury U.K, Lodh D, Chanda C.R, Basu G.K, Mukharjee S.C, Saha K.C and Chakraborti D, 2003, Arsenic affected districts of West Bengal, India the seven years study report, J.Environ.Sci Health A, 38(1) 25-29.
- [15] Shretha R.R, Shretha M.P, Upadhya N.P, Pradhan R, Khadka R, Maskey A, Mahajan M, Tuladhar S, Dahal B.M, and Shrestha K, 2003 Ground water arsenic contamination, its health impact and mitigation program in Nepal, J.Environ.Sci.Health, A 38(1),185-200.
- [16] Chakraborti D, Sengupta K, Rahaman M.M, Ahmed S, Choudhary U.K, Mukharjee S.C, Pati S, Saha K.C,Datta R.N and Zaman Q Q, 2004. Ground water arsenic contamination and its health effects in the Ganga- Meghna-Brhmaputra Plain., J.Environ Monitor; 6,74N-83N.
- [17] APHA 1998..Standard Methods for the analysis of water and waste water, 18th edition, American Public Health Association Washington D.C
- [18] Samanta G and Chakraborti D, 1997. Flow injection atomic absorption spectrometry for the standardisation of arsenic in environmental and biologic standard reference materials, Fernius J.Anal.Chem, 357(7) 827-832.
- [19] Hutchinson G.E, A Treotise on Limnology, 1957,vol 1, part 2; Chemistry of lakes. John willey and stones, New York.
- [20] Sehgal H.S, Limnology of lake Sruinsar, Jammu with reference to zooplankton and fisheries prospectus, Ph.D Thesis, University of Jammu.
- [21] Kugali N.M and Yadawe M.S , 2010. IJABPT vol 1 issue 2., ,322-325.
- [22] Yadawe M.S, Hiremath D.M and Patil S.A; 2010, Assessment of fluoride content in ground water and surface water and its environmental impact at B.Bagewadi and Muddhebihal taluka of Bijapur district Karnataka India, E-Journal of Chemistry, 7(2),641-647.
- [23] Fetter C.W, 1988. Applied Hydrology 2nd edition Merril Publishing company, London.p-592.
- [24] Yang C.Y, Cheng M.F, Tsai S.S, Hsieh Y.L 1998. Calcium,magnesium and Nitrate in drinking water and gastric cancer mortality,Japaneese Journal of Cancer Research., 89,2,124-130.
- [25] Kuzmi S.S, Colicine typing and characterisation of shigella S P isolated from food and water , M.Phil Desertation, Dept of Biological Sciences, Quad-I-Azam University, Islamabad.