

# Fuzzy Pairwise Strongly Pre-Continuous Mappings

Madhulika Shukla

Associate professor, Department of Applied Mathematics, GGITS Engineering College, Jabalpur (M.P.) India

**ABSTARCT:** We define and characterize a fuzzy pairwise strongly pre-continuous mappings on a fuzzy bitopological space. We investigate some of their properties. We establish some equivalent conditions of fuzzy pairwise strongly pre-continuous mappings on a fuzzy bitopological space.

**KEYWORDS:**  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy preopen,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy preclosed,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy semi-open,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy semi-closed,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy pairwise precontinuous,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy pairwise semicontinuous,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy pairwise stongly pre-continuous,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy pairwise strongly preclosed.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In 1981, K.K. Azad [4] introduced the concept of semi-open sets in fuzzy topology. A.S. Bin Shahana [1] has defined the concept of fuzzy pre-open sets in fuzzy topological spaces.

In 1989, A. Kandil [5] introduced the notation of fuzzy bitopological space. Further in 1996, S.S. Thakur and R. Malviya [9] defined fuzzy semi-open and fuzzy semi-continuous in fuzzy bitopological space. Sampath kumar [10] defined a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy pre-open set and characterized a fuzzy pairwise precontinuous mappings on a fuzzy bitopological space. Further M. Shrivastava, J.K.m maitra And M. Shukla [7] in 2006 defined fuzzy strongly pre-continuous mapping in fuzzy topological space.

In this article we have established equivalent conditions for a mapping to be fuzzy pairwise strongly pre-continuous mapping in fuzzy bitopological space. Further we have studied some properties of fuzzy pairwise pre-continuous mapping.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . Then we call  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  a fuzzy bitopological space [fbts].

A mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  is fuzzy pairwise continuous [fpc] if the induced mapping  $f: (X, \tau_k) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_k^*)$  is fuzzy continuous for  $k = 1, 2$ .

A mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  is fuzzy pairwise open [fp open] ( fuzzy pairwise closed [fp closed]) if the induced mapping  $f: (X, \tau_k) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_k^*)$  is fuzzy open (fuzzy closed) for  $k = 1, 2$ .

**Notations.** (1) Throughout this paper, we take an ordered pair  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  with  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ .

# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

(2) For simplicity, we abbreviate a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open set  $\mu$  and a  $\tau_j$ -fuzzy closed set  $\mu$  with a  $\tau_i$ -fo set  $\mu$  and a  $\tau_j$ -fc set  $\mu$  respectively. Also, we denote the interior and the closure of  $\mu$  for a fuzzy topology  $\tau_i$  -  $int\mu$  and  $\tau_i$  -  $cl\mu$  respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on a *fbts*  $X$ . Then we call  $\mu$ :

- (i) a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy semi-open  $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fso]$  set on  $X$  if  $\mu \leq \tau_i - cl(\tau_j - int(\mu))$  [9].
- (ii) a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy semi-closed  $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fsc]$  set on  $X$  if  $\tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(\mu)) \leq \mu$  [9].
- (iii) a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy pre-open  $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpo]$  set on  $X$  if  $\mu \leq \tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(\mu))$  [10].
- (iv) a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy pre-closed  $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpc]$  set on  $X$  if  $\tau_i - cl(\tau_j - int(\mu)) \leq \mu$  [10].

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on a *fbts*  $X$ .

- (i) The  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semi interior of  $\mu$ ,  $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - sint\mu]$  is  $\cup \{\vartheta: \vartheta \leq \mu, \vartheta \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - fso \text{ set}\}$  [9].
- (ii) The  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semi closure of  $\mu$ ,  $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - scl\mu]$  is  $\cap \{\vartheta: \vartheta \geq \mu, \vartheta \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - fsc \text{ set}\}$  [9].
- (iii) The  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pre interior of  $\mu$ ,  $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - pint(\mu)]$  is  $\cup \{\vartheta: \vartheta \leq \mu, \vartheta \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpo \text{ set}\}$  [10].
- (iv) The  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -pre clouser of  $\mu$ ,  $[(\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(\mu)]$  is  $\cap \{\vartheta: \vartheta \geq \mu, \vartheta \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpc \text{ set}\}$  [10].

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then  $f$  is called;

- (i) fuzzy pairwise semi-continuous [*fps* continuous ] mapping if  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fso$  set on  $X$  for each  $\tau_i^* - fo$  set  $\mu$  on  $Y$  [9].
- (ii) fuzzy pairwise pre-continuous [*fpp* continuous] mapping if  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpo$  set on  $X$  for each  $\tau_i^* - fo$  set  $\mu$  on  $Y$  [10].
- (iii) fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -continuous [*fpsc* open] mapping if  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - f\alpha o$  set on  $X$  for each  $\tau_i^* - fo$  set  $\mu$  on  $Y$  [9].

It is clear that every *fpac* mapping is a *fpsc* and *fppc* mappings on *fbts*. But the converse may true in general.

### III. FUZZY PAIRWISE STRONGLY PRE-CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then  $f$  is called a fuzzy pairwise strongly pre-continuous [*fpsp* continuous] mapping if  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpo$  set on  $X$  for each  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fso$  set  $\mu$  on  $Y$ .

## International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

Since any fuzzy open set is a fuzzy semi-open, it follows that every fuzzy pairwise strongly precontinuous map (*fpsp* continuous) is fuzzy pairwise precontinuous (*fpp* continuous). However, converse may not be true in general. We have the following example.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$  and  $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$  and  $\mu, \vartheta, \mu^*, \vartheta^*$  be fuzzy sets defined as follows.

$$\mu(x_1) = 0.5, \mu(x_2) = 0.6,$$

$$\vartheta(x_1) = 0.2, \vartheta(x_2) = 0.4,$$

$$\mu^*(y_1) = 0.3, \mu^*(y_2) = 0.4,$$

$$\text{and } \vartheta^*(y_1) = 0.4, \vartheta^*(y_2) = 0.5.$$

Let  $\tau_1 = \{0, \mu, 1\}, \tau_2 = \{0, \vartheta, 1\}$  and  $\tau_1^* = \{0, \mu^*, 1\}, \tau_2^* = \{0, \vartheta^*, 1\}$ . Then the mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  defined by  $f(x_1) = y_1, f(x_2) = y_2$  is fuzzy pairwise precontinuous [*fpp*-continuous] but not fuzzy pairwise strongly precontinuous [*fpsp*-continuous] mapping.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent;

- (i)  $f$  is fuzzy pairwise strongly pre-continuous [*fpsp* continuous] mapping.
- (ii) The inverse image of each  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*)$ -*fsc* set on  $Y$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -*fpc* set on  $X$ .
- (iii)  $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(\mu)) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(\mu))$  for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on  $X$ .
- (iv)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(\vartheta)) \leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(\vartheta))$  for each fuzzy set  $\vartheta$  on  $Y$ .
- (v)  $f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - sint(\vartheta)) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - pint(f^{-1}(\vartheta))$  for each fuzzy set  $\vartheta$  on  $Y$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $\vartheta$  be a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*)$ -*fsc* set on  $Y$ . Then  $\vartheta^c$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*)$ -*fso* set on  $Y$ . Since,  $f$  is *fpsp* continuous,  $f^{-1}(\vartheta^c) = (f^{-1}(\vartheta))^c$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -*fpo* set on  $X$ . Hence,  $f^{-1}(\vartheta)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -*fpc* set on  $X$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $\mu$  is a fuzzy set on  $X$ . Then  $f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(\mu)))$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -*fpc* set on  $X$ . Thus  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(\mu) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(f(\mu))) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(\mu)))) = f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(\mu)))$ . Hence,  $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(\mu)) \leq f(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(\mu)))) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(\mu))$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Let  $\vartheta$  be a fuzzy set on  $Y$ . Then  $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(\vartheta))) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(f^{-1}(\vartheta))) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(\vartheta)$ . Hence  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(\vartheta)) \leq f^{-1}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(\vartheta)))) \leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(\vartheta))$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v). Let  $\vartheta$  be a fuzzy set on  $Y$ . Then  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(\vartheta^c)) \leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(\vartheta^c))$ . Hence,  $f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - sint(\vartheta)) = f^{-1}(((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - pcl(\vartheta^c))^c) \leq ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - scl(f^{-1}(\vartheta^c)))^c = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - sint(f^{-1}(\vartheta))$ .

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $\vartheta$  be a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*)$ -*fso* set on  $Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\vartheta) = f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - sint(\vartheta)) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - pint(f^{-1}(\vartheta))$ .

## International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

Hence  $f^{-1}(\vartheta)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fso set on  $X$  and therefore,  $f$  is *fpsp* continuous function.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  be a bijection.  $f$  is *fpsp* continuous mapping if and only if for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on  $X$ .

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(f(\mu)) \leq f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(\mu)\right).$$

Proof: Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on  $X$ . Then, by Theorem 3.3,  
 $f^{-1}\left((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(f(\mu))\right) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}\left(f^{-1}(f(\mu))\right).$

Since  $f$  is a bijection,

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(f(\mu)) = f\left(f^{-1}\left((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(f(\mu))\right)\right) \leq f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(\mu)\right).$$

Conversely, let  $\vartheta$  be a fuzzy set on  $Y$ . Then

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}\left(f(f^{-1}(\mu))\right) \leq f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(f^{-1}(\vartheta))\right).$$

Recall that  $f$  is a bijection. Hence

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(\vartheta) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(f(f^{-1}(\vartheta))) \leq f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(f^{-1}(\vartheta))\right).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } f^{-1}\left((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(\vartheta)\right) &\leq f^{-1}\left(f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(f^{-1}(\vartheta))\right)\right) \\ &= (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(f^{-1}(\vartheta)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by theorem 3.3,  $f$  is *fpsp* continuous mapping.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then  $f$  is called

- (i) a fuzzy Pairwise strongly pre-open [*fpsp* open] mapping if  $f(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fso$  set on  $Y$  for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpo$  set  $\mu$  on  $X$ .
- (ii) a fuzzy Pairwise strongly pre-closed [*fpsp* closed] mapping if  $f(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fsc$  set on  $Y$  for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpc$  set  $\mu$  on  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then the following statement are equivalent:

- (i)  $f$  is *fpsp* open mapping.
- (ii)  $f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(\mu)\right) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(f(\mu))$  for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on  $X$ .
- (iii)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(f^{-1}(\vartheta)) \leq f^{-1}\left((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(\vartheta)\right)$  for each fuzzy set  $\vartheta$  on  $Y$ .

Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on  $X$ . Then  $f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(\mu)\right)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fso$  set on  $Y$  and  $f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(\mu)\right) \leq f(\mu)$ . Hence

$$f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(\mu)\right) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}\left(f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(\mu)\right)\right)$$

## International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

$$\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(f(\mu)).$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $\vartheta$  be a fuzzy set on  $Y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(f^{-1}(\vartheta))\right) &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(f(f^{-1}(\vartheta))) \\ &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(\vartheta). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(f^{-1}(\vartheta)) &\leq f^{-1}\left(f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(f^{-1}(\vartheta))\right)\right) \\ &\leq f^{-1}\left((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(\vartheta)\right). \end{aligned}$$

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $\mu$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpo$  set on  $X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(\mu) &\leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pint}(f^{-1}(f(\mu))) \\ &\leq f^{-1}\left((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(f(\mu))\right). \end{aligned}$$

We have  $f(\mu) \leq f(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(f(\mu)))) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(f(\mu))$ .

Hence  $f(\mu) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{sint}(f(\mu))$ . Consequently,  $f(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fso$  set on  $Y$  and therefore,  $f$  is  $fpsp$ -open mapping.

**Theorem 3.7.** A mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  is  $fpsp$ -closed mapping if and only if  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{scl}(f(\mu)) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pcl}(\mu))$  for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on  $X$ .

Proof. Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on  $X$ . Then  $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pcl}(\mu))$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fso$  set on  $Y$  and  $f(\mu) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pcl}(\mu))$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{scl}(f(\mu)) &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{scl}\left(f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pcl}(\mu)\right)\right) \\ &= f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pcl}(\mu)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let  $\mu$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fpc$  set on  $X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{scl}(f(\mu)) &\leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pcl}(\mu)) \\ &= f(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $f(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fpc$  on  $Y$  and therefore  $f$  is a  $fpsp$ -closed mapping.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  be a bijection. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $f$  is  $fpsp$ -closed mapping.
- (ii)  $f^{-1}\left((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{scl}(\vartheta)\right) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{pcl}(f^{-1}(\vartheta))$  for each fuzzy set  $\vartheta$  on  $Y$ .
- (iii)  $f$  is  $fpsp$ -open mapping.
- (iv)  $f^{-1}$  is  $fpsp$  continuous mapping.

## International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let  $\vartheta$  be a fuzzy set on  $Y$ . Then by Theorem 3.7,

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(f^{-1}(\vartheta))) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(\vartheta))).$$

$$\text{Hence } f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(f^{-1}(\vartheta)))) \leq f^{-1}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(\vartheta)))).$$

Since  $f$  is a bijection,

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(\vartheta)) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(\vartheta)).$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set on  $X$ . Then

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(\mu))) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(f(\mu))).$$

$$\text{Hence } f\left(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(\mu)))\right) \leq f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(f(\mu)))\right).$$

Since  $f$  is a bijection,

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(\mu)) \leq f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(\mu)\right).$$

Therefore by the theorem 3.7,  $f$  is  $f$ psp-closed mapping.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let  $\vartheta$  be a fuzzy set on  $Y$ . Then

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(\vartheta^c)) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(\vartheta^c)).$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i, \tau_j) - pint(f^{-1}(\vartheta)) &= ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(\vartheta^c)))^c \\ &\leq f^{-1}(((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(\vartheta^c))^c) \\ &= f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - sint(\vartheta)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f$  is  $f$ psp-open mapping from Theorem 3.6 .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Let  $\vartheta$  be a fuzzy set on  $Y$ . Then

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - pint(f^{-1}(\vartheta)) \leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - sint(\vartheta)).$$

Since  $f$  is a bijection. by Theorem 3.4 ,  $f^{-1}$  is  $f$ psp continuous mapping.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). It is clear from Theorem 3.3.

We have the following corollaries from Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.6.

## International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then  $f$  is  $fpsp$  closed and  $fpsp$  continuous if and only if  $f\left((\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(\mu)\right) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(f(\mu))$  for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  on  $X$ .

**Corollary 3.10.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  be a mapping. Then  $f$  is  $fpsp$  open and  $fpsp$  continuous if and only if  $f^{-1}\left((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - scl(\vartheta)\right) = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - pcl(f^{-1}(\mu))$  for each fuzzy set  $\vartheta$  on  $Y$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2), (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2), (Z, \omega_1, \omega_2)$  be fuzzy topological spaces. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is fuzzy pairwise strongly precontinuous [ $fpsp$  continuous] mapping and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is fuzzy pairwise semicontinuous [ $fps$  continuous] mapping then  $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$  is fuzzy pairwise precontinuous [ $fpp$  continuous] mapping.

### Concluding remark:

1. We have introduced and studied new kind of map fuzzy pairwise Strongly pre-continuous maps on fuzzy bitopological spaces.
2. We defined the relation between fuzzy pairwise pre continuous and fuzzy pairwise strongly continuous map. We investigated some of their properties.
3. We proved that the fuzzy pairwise strongly pre-continuous map is stronger form of fuzzy pairwise pre-continuous map by use of example.
4. We have established some significant properties of fuzzy pairwise strongly pre-continuous maps.
5. We introduce and study new kind of fuzzy pairwise strongly pre-closed and investigate of their properties.

### REFERENCE

1. A. S. Bin Shahana, On fuzzy strong semi-continuity and fuzzy pre continuity, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **44** (1991), 303-308.
2. C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **24** (1968), 182-190.
3. H.S. Lee, J.S. Lee and Y.B. Im, Fuzzy pairwise strong pre-irresolute continuous mappings. *J. Appl. Math & Informatics*. **28**(2010), 1561-1571.
4. K.K. Azad, On fuzzy semi continuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weak continuity. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **82** (1981), 14-32.
5. Kandil, Biproximities and fuzzy bitopological spaces. *Simon Stevin*. **63**(1989) 45-66.
6. L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy Sets, Inform. And control*, **8** (1965), 338-353.
7. M. Shrivastava, J.K. Maitra J.K. and M. Shukla., On fuzzy strongly pre continuous mappings, *VISLESANA*, **10-B** (2) (2006), 109-117.
8. M. Shukla, Fuzzy pairwise strongly  $\alpha$ -continuous mapping in *J. Comp. & Maths. Sci.* Vol. 3, No.6, (2012), pp 631-636.
9. S. S. Thakur and R. Malviya., Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in fuzzy bitopological spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. **79** (1996) 251-256.
10. S. Sampath kumar. On fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -continuous and fuzzy pairwise pre-continuity. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. **62** (1994), 231-238.