In-situ Bioremediation Modern Perspective

Maulin Pramod Shah*

Industrial Waste Water Research Lab, Division of Applied & Environmental Microbiology, Enviro Technology Ltd., Ankleshwar, Gujarat, India

EDITORIAL

Received: 14/12/2017
Accepted: 18/12/2017
Published: 23/12/2017

***For Correspondence**

Maulin Pramod Shah, Industrial Waste Water Research Lab, Division of Applied & Environmental Microbiology, Enviro Technology Ltd., Ankleshwar, Gujarat, India, Tel: +91-9099965504.

E-mail: shahmp@beil.co.in

Keywords: Bioremediation, Technology, Environment, Soil, Bacteria

EDITORIAL

Bioremediation is the use of microorganisms, plants or biological enzymes to achieve hazardous Bioremediation means the utilization of microbes, plants or biological enzymes to attain hazardous waste treatment [1]. The treatment can focus on different types of media with different possible targets. Bioremediation *in situ*, bioremediation in the sub superficial layer is easily accessible to the environment compared to *ex situ* bioremediation. Bioremediation can be applied *in situ* an unsaturated zone or in saturated soils and groundwater. Local bioremediation technology was originally developed as a less costly and more efficient alternative to the standard pumping and treatment methods used to clean the soil and water contaminated with organic chemicals, but since then it has spread and toxic metals. On site bioremediation has the potential to offer benefits, such as the total destruction of contaminants, lower risk for employees in the workplace and lower/ operational teams costs One way to classify bioremediation *in situ* is the type of metabolism. Two categories of high level metabolism are aerobic and anaerobic. The objective of metabolism for bioremediation *in situ* will depend on pollutants of interest. Some contaminants break down aerobically, some are anaerobic and some contaminants can be biodegradable in aerobic or anaerobic conditions. Another way to classify bioremediation *in situ* is the degree of human intervention. On-site accelerated bioremediation is at an end of the scale where there is a high degree human intervention [2-4]. With on-site accelerated bioremediation, substrates or nutrients was added to the subway to stimulate the growth of a specific bacteria consortium. Generally, Target bacteria are cultures of natural but enriched bacteria that are very effective at degrading them to introduce a contaminant in particular in a layer of water, which is called bio actualization. An accelerated bioremediation is used *in situ* where it is desirable to increase the speed biotransformation rate of contaminants, which may be limited by lack of requirements nutrients, electron donor or electron recipient. The type of adaptation required depends on the objective metabolism for this contaminant. Aerobic bioremediation on site may only require oxygen such as an electron acceptor, while an anaerobic bioremediation *in situ* in general requires the addition of an electron donor and possibly an electron acceptor [5]. Particularly chlorinated solvents usually require the addition of carbon substrate to stimulate reductive depletion. The purpose of accelerated bioremediation is to increase biomass by polluting the amount of biomass aquifer layer, thereby achieving effective biodegradation in adsorbed and dissolved impurities On the other hand, natural attenuation on the scale is a method of using bioremediation without significant humanitarian efforts. Natural damping is a versatile approach, the only one component is the degradation/transformation of household microorganisms without human intervention. Characterization of the site, possible assessment of natural damping and long-term monitoring includes the necessary measures to carry out the natural attenuation. The mapping determines the degree of contamination, scale characteristics, water geochemistry and the nature of the biological reactions of the water supply. This characteristic information can be used to determine the potential of natural care in order to avoid the accumulation of pollutants from those receivers. Analytical and / or numerical models can be used to estimate the fate of the impurities in a single line evidence for supporting natural depletion. Long-term observation is used to evaluate item transport of pollutants compared to forecasts. There may be several evaluations repeat so that more information is collected and the system is better understood. If the accelerated bioreferens are used for -saturated or natural damping, in particular site

e-ISSN:2347-7830
p-ISSN:2347-7822

depends on the characteristics of groundwater, chemical concentrations, the development of the project and the economy of each option. The rate of degradation impurities tend to be slower in the natural damping scenario than in the active bioreactor, because the concentration of bacteria is much higher in accelerated bioremediation and speed the measure is proportional to the amount of biomass. Natural deterioration usually takes longer. On-the-spot accelerated bioreemics usually offer faster solutions, but they have invested a lot more on materials, equipment and labor.

Advantages

1. Organic pollutants can be converted to completely harmless substances.

2. Accelerated *in-situ* bioremediation can provide volumetric treatment where both dissolved and sorbent contaminants are treated.

3. Treating underground *in situ* with accelerated bioremediation can often be faster than pumping and handling processes.

4. *In situ* bioremediation often costs less than other recovery opportunities.

5. The Bioremediation Forum's treatment area may be larger than other repair techniques as the treatment moves by the tire and can reach areas that otherwise were unavailable.

6. *In situ* vs. technology usually produces small secondary waste.

7. *In-situ* vs. technology reduces the permeability of pollutants by different means.

8. *In situ* vs. technology reduces human exposure to contaminated materials.

Disadvantages:

1. Depending on certain locations, some impurities may not completely change into harmless products.

2. If biotransformation stops in the intermediate compound, the intermediate may be more toxic and/or more mobile than the starting compound.

3. Some pollutants cannot be biodegradable.

4. If not used properly, the injection molds may be clogged with abundant microbial growth caused by the addition of nutrients, electron donor and/or electron recipients [6,7].

5. Accelerated *in situ* bioremediation is based on the distribution of appropriate changes, so it may be difficult to implement completely in low permeability or heterogeneous waterfalls.

6. Heavy metals and toxic concentrations of organic compounds can inhibit the activity of the original microorganisms.

7. *In situ* bioremediation requires a population of a normally adapted microorganism that may not develop with recent leakage or gloom.

REFERENCES

1. Chapelle FH, et al. Ground-Water Microbiology and Geochemistry. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., New York. 1993:1-444.
2. Gibson DT, et al. Microbial Degradation of Organic Compounds. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York. 1984:1-535.
3. Gill RT, et al. Electrokinetic-enhanced bioremediation of organic contaminants: a review of processes and environmental applications. Chemosphere. 2014;107:31-42.
4. Liu Z, et al. Development of bioremediation in China--a review. Sheng Wu Gong Cheng Xue Bao. 2015;31:901-916.
5. Azubuike CC, et al. Bioremediation techniques–classification based on site of application: principles, advantages, limitations and prospects. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2016;32:1-18.
6. Rekha P, et al. Bioremediation of contaminated lake sediments and evaluation of maturity indicies as indicators of compost stability. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2005;2:251-262.
7. Kis AE, et al. Characterization of the Rhodococcus sp. MK1 strain and its pilot application for bioremediation of diesel oil-contaminated soil. Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung. 2017;64:463-482.