Retreatment of Mandibular Fractures: A 7-year Retrospective Study of 34 Cases
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INTRODUCTION

Mandibular fractures are common facial injuries \[^{1-3}\]. The prognosis of these fractures is influenced by many confounding variables which directly or indirectly determine their treatment outcomes \[^{4-7}\]. There is no consensus in the literature on the most prevalent factors associated with post-operative complications due to the treatments of mandibular fractures. The treatment of these fractures have evolved over the decades from non-rigid fixation to rigid internal fixation (RIF) in an effort to enhance patients’ wellbeing in the post-operative period, improve treatment outcome and minimize complications that would lead to the retreatment of the fracture. However, complications can occur after treatment which may sometimes require retreatment of the fracture \[^{8,9}\]. The consequences of retreatment on the patient include greater morbidity, longer period of hospitalization and absenteeism from work, increased economic and social costs among others \[^{10,11}\]. From the available literature, only very few studies have been reported evaluating mandibular fractures’ retreatment. Therefore, the purpose of this seven year retrospective study was to evaluate the characteristics and the types of treatment carried out in patients requiring retreatment of mandibular fractures.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

The retrospective study was designed to evaluate 1,373 patients with 1,589 mandibular fractures, treated by closed reduction technique, and thereafter characterize those that were retreated for non-union and mal-union of fractures. The sample size for this study was calculated by using the formula recommended by Dupont and Plummer [12]. The patients presented at the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Clinic of the institution between January 2008 and December 2014. Informed consent was obtained from the patients before their inclusion in the study. The study was granted an exemption from ethical clearance by the Research and Ethics Committee of our institution because of the retrospective nature of the study. All the known cases that presented with non-union and mal-union of mandibular fractures within this period were included in the study. Excluded were patients with incomplete data. The diagnosis of non-union and mal-union of mandibular fractures was made post-operatively by clinical and radiographic examination of the fracture sites between five and six weeks.

Information obtained from the hospital register, case files and plain radiographs of the patients were recorded in a pro-forma questionnaire designed for the study. The information recorded were age, gender, dental status, etiology, type, site, degree of fragmentation and number of fractures; associated injuries/factors, time between injury and initial treatment, and treatment used to correct non-union and mal-union. The data obtained were analyzed using EPI INFO 7.0.2.0, 2012 version software (CDC, Atlanta, GA, USA). P<0.05 were considered to be significant.

RESULTS

Overall, 1,373 patients with 1,589 fractures were evaluated, and 39/1589 fractures (2.5%) were retreated due to non-union (n=35, 2.2%) and mal-union (n=4, 0.3%). There were 30 (88.2%) males and 4 (11.8%) females with male to female ratio of 7.5:1 (Table 1). The age of the patients ranged from 26 to 57 years with a mean age of 41.3 ± 4.3 years.

Table 1: Age and gender distribution of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 showed the age and gender distribution of the subjects with the majority (n=27, 79.3%) in the 4th and 5th decades of life, and this was significant (p=0.001). All the fractures that resulted in non-union and mal-union were due to road traffic accidents (RTA), and were compound, single and non-committed. The distribution of the fracture sites were as follows: symphysis (n=16, 41.0%), parasymphysis (n=13, 33.3%), angle (n=7, 18.0%) and body (n=3, 7.7%).

The distribution of the patients according to age and associated clinical factors (Table 2). The premature disruption of the fixation (IMF) after treatment was the most common (n=21, 61.8%) associated factor and this was significant (p=0.001). The associated injuries were Le Fort 1, 11 and zygomatic complex fractures (n=4, 14.7%) and fractures of the long bones of the legs.
(n=2, 5.9%). Also 4 (11.8%) of the patients were initially treated by traditional bone setters before consultation at the clinic. On the dental status of the patients, 28/34 (82.3%) were partially edentulous while 6/34 (17.7%) were dentate. The mean duration between injury and initial treatment was 1.8 ± 2.4 weeks (range 5 days-6.3 weeks). The patients that had mal-union presented with mal-occlusion, while those with non-union had symptoms and signs of pain, tenderness and mobility at the fractured sites.

The cases of mal-union (n=4, 0.3%) were retreated by re-fracture, non-union involving the mandibular angle (n=7, 0.4%) by debridement with removal of bone sequestration, trans-osseous wiring while the rest of the non-union (n=28, 1.8%) by debridement with removal of bone sequestration. All the patients were thereafter placed on IMF; the IMF was left in-situ for 6 weeks in cases of mal-union, and 8 weeks for non-union. The mean follow-up period after the retreatment of the complicated fractures was 12 ± 1.2 months (range 4-19 months). The fractures that presented with non-union and mal-union were successfully retreated using these methods.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study showed that the prevalence of retreatment of mandibular fractures in this series was 2.5% of fractures; and the factors that contributed to retreatment were due to non-union and mal-union of fractures. The re-treatments were by debridement, removal of bone sequestration, re-fracture, trans-osseous wiring and IMF. The prevalence in this study is lower than the 4.7% reported by Luz et al. but higher than the 0.94% documented in another study. 11 However, it was closely similar to the 2.7% reported by Bell and Wilson of the treatment of only mandibular angle fractures using open reduction and RIF technique. Unlike the present study, the initial treatment methods of the mandibular fractures in the studies by Luz et al. and Bell and Wilson were by RIF, and a combination of IMF and RIF respectively. This prevalence varies from one study to another making it difficult to draw definitive conclusion from them due to the differences in study design, type of fractures treated, the number and type of patients as well as the methods of treatment employed which were not standardized. It has been stated that the ideal method for treating mandibular fractures is RIF using bone plates or mini-plates. It is a more cost-effective treatment than non-rigid methods like IMF, partly due to the reduced probability of postoperative complications that could necessitate further retreatment like re-operating. Also the associated clinical factors identified in this study may have contributed to the prevalence obtained, particularly the premature disruption of the IMF for want of food and its inconveniences which was found to be significant (p=0.001). All the other clinical factors identified in the present study particularly diabetes mellitus and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection which compromise the immune system and decrease the body defences of the patients have been reported by previous researchers as contributing factors to the occurrence of complications after treatment of mandibular fractures as well as the reasons for the retreatment of these fractures. Furthermore, it has been reported that patient factors contribute more to complications than do iatrogenic factors in the treatment of mandibular fractures.

The mean age recorded in this study was 41.3 years. It was stated that older patients are more likely to develop complications than the younger ones. Increasing age has been found to be associated with abnormal union of mandibular fractures which may be due to declining general health of such patients. There were more males than females in this study which supports what is generally known about these fractures that a significant number of patients sustaining this kind of injuries are always males, and hence more complications that may require retreatment.

Majority (82.3%) of the patients with complications in the present study were partially edentulous. This is similar to a previous study that showed a greater number of partially edentulous patients in a series of mandibular fractures complicated by non-union. The probability that mal-occlusion may induce post-operative instability at the reduced fracture site in partially edentulous patients should be considered and taken into account during pre-operative evaluation of the patients to forestall this complication.

Majority of the complicated fractures requiring retreatment were located in the symphysis (41.0%) and parasymphyseal (33.3%) regions. This is similar to the report of Yamamoto et al. but contrary to an earlier report where the mandibular body was the most common fracture site involved. The symphysis and parasymphyseal regions contain less cancellous but more of cortical bone than other parts of the mandible and this may predispose to complications when blood supply to these sites are impaired or compromised following fractures or for whatever reason.

On the degree of fragmentation, all the re-operated fractures in this series were single and non-comminuted but were associated with other fractures. From the available literature, cases involving both comminuted and non-comminuted mandibular fractures have been retreated due to mal-union and non-union. Multiple fractures in a patient can induce instability of the reduced segments after treatment or delay treatment of mandibular fractures particularly when fractures of other body parts are also present. In the study by Luz et al. poly-trauma, time elapsed between the trauma and initial treatments were cited as reasons for retreatment of mandibular fractures. In the present study, the mean duration between injury and initial treatment was 1.8 weeks, which may have contributed to the treatment outcome.

The methods of treatment used in the present study for the initial treatment and the subsequent retreatment of the fractures have been documented by earlier researchers. However RIF using mini-plate osteosynthesis is the preferred treatment of choice as it enhances patients’ comfort and wellbeing in the post-operative period, and because of better rigidity at the fractured sites after reduction and fixation, minimizes complications that will lead to retreatment of fractures.
CONCLUSION

The present study concluded that the mandibular fractures that required retreatment after initial treatment by closed reduction technique (IMF) were due to non-union and mal-union of these fractures. Consequently, the procedures needed for successful retreatment were debridement, removal of bone sequestration, re-fracture and placement of IMF. The use of mini-plate osteosynthesis, (which reduces IMF complications) is the universal practice nowadays and is recommended to reduce the frequency of the fractures that are retreated.
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