

Sleep Monitoring Techniques

M Rekha*

Department of Pharmacy, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Kakinada, India

Short Communication

Received: 07/05/2021

Accepted: 21/05/2021

Published: 28/05/2021

***For Correspondence:**

M Rekha,
Department of Pharmacy,
Jawaharlal Nehru Technological
University, Kakinada, India

E-mail: desai.rek@gmail.com

Keywords: Sleep disorders; Sleep
practices; Sleep stages

ABSTRACT

At the appropriate time of time a few rest evaluation strategies have arisen. Chiefly in this decade, new techniques in blend to cutting edge innovations like versatile applications and certain equipment sensors to measure the reactions through skin. We looked into not many late strategies for rest discovery going from straightforward those solitary make a differentiation between conscious or snoozing states to complex; recognize diverse rest stages.

DESCRIPTION

Sleep is vital for human health. Sleep disorders often signal for ill health and also indicate about upcoming health complications. Therefore, sleep assessment is very important factor among many of health checkup. As such, several health care systems establish mechanisms to check sleep disorders by providing precise strategies in relation to educational and awareness of better sleep practices.

In due course of time several sleep assessment methods have emerged. Mainly in this decade, new methods in combination to advanced technologies like mobile apps and certain hardware sensors to measure the responses through skin. We reviewed few recent methods for sleep detection ranging from simple those only make a distinction between awake or asleep states to complex; distinguish between different sleep stages [1].

To establish the validity and reliability of the Bodymedia Sensewear Unit as an objective sleep monitoring option for athletes 2) determine the correlation between objective and subjective sleep measures and 3) review previous research that has monitored sleep in an athletic population. An electronic literature search was conducted using Pubmed, Cochrane Library, PEDro, CINAHL, AMED, EMBASE and Web of Science. Articles then underwent specific inclusion and exclusion criteria to be included in the critical appraisal process. Articles were then critiqued and analysed with a study design specific tool.

The very close to the truth or true number test/evaluation of sleep is very important to better understand and figure out the worth, amount, or quality of its role in health and disease. The boom in wearable technology is part of the digital health revolution and is producing many novel, highly fancy or smart and cheap related to people who use a product or service devices collecting data from many sensors and claiming to extract information about users' behaviors, including sleep. These devices are now able to earn the loyalty and affection of different bio-signals for deciding/figuring out, for example, heart rate and its quality of changing over time or at different places, skin conductance, and temperature, in addition to activity [2]. They do/complete 24/7, creating almost completely large datasets, with the possible power or ability within/possibility of offering a never-before-seen window on users' health. Unfortunately, little guidance exists within and outside the scientific sleep community for their use, leading to confusion and argument-causing event/arguments between people about their something is truly what it claims to be and application. The current the best design available now review aims to highlight use, validation and utility of person who uses a product or service wearable sleep-trackers in medicine-based practice and research. Guidelines for a test that asks everyone the same questions and that is scored the same way for everyone of device performance is thought of/considered necessary, and several very important factors or unique sets of computer instructions, device not work properly/failure to work properly, firmware updates need to be thought about/believed before using these devices in medicine-based and sleep research rules of conduct [3]. In the end, wearable sleep technology holds promise for advancing understanding of sleep health, however, a careful path forward needs to be traveled safely through, understanding the benefits and hidden traps of this technology as applied in sleep research and medicine-based sleep medicine [4-6].

CONCLUSION

The Bodymedia Sensewear unit offers a practical and reliable option for monitoring sleep in athletic populations. Subjective sleep data extracted from athletic populations should be interpreted with caution given the established poor correlation between subjective and objective sleep data. While within healthy ranges athletes have poorer sleep patterns than the general population and interstate air travel does not appear to influence sleep.

REFERENCES

1. Baron KG, et al. Feeling validated yet? A scoping review of the use of consumer-targeted wearable and mobile technology to measure and improve sleep. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2018;40:151–159.
2. Lorenz C. Sleep apps: what role do they play in clinical medicine? *Curr Opin Pulm Med.* 2017;23(6):512–516.
3. Shelgikara, et al. Sleep tracking, wearable technology, and opportunities for research and clinical care. *Chest.* 2016;150(3):732–743.
4. Roomkhani S, et al. Promises and challenges in the use of consumer-grade devices for sleep monitoring. *IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering.* 2018;11:53–67.
5. Bianchi M. Sleep devices: wearables and nearables, informational and interventional, consumer and clinical. *Metabolism: clinical and experimental.* 2018;84:99–108.
6. Dunn J, et al. Wearables and the medical revolution. *Per Med.* 2018;15(5):429–448.
7. Iber C. The AASM manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events: rules, terminology and technical specifications. American Academy of Sleep Medicine; 2007.
8. Sadeh A. The role and validity of actigraphy in sleep medicine: an update. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2011;15(4):259–267.