# Studies on Heterosis and Inbreeding Depression for Yield and Its Component traits in Green Gram [*Vigna Radiata* (L.) Wilczek]

Pushpendra Singh\*

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, BNV College Rath, UP, India

#### **Review Article**

Received: 10/08/2021 Accepted: 24/08/2021 Published: 31/08/2021

#### \*For correspondence:

Pushpendra Singh, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, BNV College Rath, UP, India

#### E-mail: psinghkvk@gmail.com

Keywords: Heterosis, inbreeding depression, green gram, gene action

# ABSTRACT

A ten parent line x tester analysis was carried out to study the extent of heterosis and inbreeding depression for yield and its component traits in green gram at Brahmanand Mahavidyalaya Rath (Hamirpur), Uttar Pradesh. Heterosis over mid parent and better parent was significant to highly significant for seed yield/plant along with other attributing traits. The crosses exhibiting high heterosis also expressed high inbreeding depression both in the positive and negative direction for days to 50% flowering, pods/plant, pods/cluster, harvest index and seed yield/plant. Heterosis coupled with inbreeding depression revealed the predominance of both non-additive and additive gene action for most of the characters studied. Owing to its autogamous genetic architecture and biological constraints of the crop, the heterosis could be exploited only by isolating the desirable segregants for yield and its attributes adopting selection in early segregating generations followed by single plant selection in subsequent generations.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Green gram is the third most important pulse crop after chickpea and black gram with more nutritive, palatable and digestible values. The productivity of green gram is very low and is often related to its poor genetic architecture. The exploitation of heterosis is a quick and convenient way of combining desirable genes present in different parents into a single genotype has important implications for obtaining desirable transgressive segregants for many quantitative characters in advanced generations. Green gram being an autogamous crop, commercial hybrid seed production is not readily feasible [1]. However, the presence of desirable heterosis and inbreeding depression particularly in negative direction can be utilized in pulse crops for the development of high yielding pure line varieties. Heterosis or inbreeding depression plays an important role in the choice of breeding methodologies as well as selection of parents so as to obtain desirable segregants. Therefore, the present investigation was carried out to estimate the magnitude of the heterosis and inbreeding depression for traits of economic importance at F1 and F2 generations of twenty four crosses of green gram.

# LITERATURE REVIEW

Twenty four crosses including both F1s and F2s of green gram along with ten parents were evaluated in randomized block design with three replications at the Brahmanand Mahavidyalaya Rath (Hamirpur), Uttar Pradesh during zaid, 2018. The row to row and plant to plant distance was 30 cm and 10 cm, respectively. All the recommended package of practices was followed in raising a good crop. Data were recorded on 5 randomly selected competitive plants in non-segregating and segregating generations, respectively for thirteen characters *viz*, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, branches/ plant, plant height, clusters/plant, pods/cluster, pods/plant, seeds/pod, seed weight per pod, 100 seed weight, biological yield (dry weight/plant), harvest index, and seed yield/plant [2]. Heterosis over mid parent (relative heterosis), better parent (heterobeltiosis) and inbreeding depression from F1 to F2 in each cross was estimated for 13 characters using standard formulae.

Relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis as well as inbreeding depression in five crosses of green gram for 13 quantitative traits are presented. The magnitude of relative heterosis for seed yield/plant ranged from 21.26 (PKVAKM 4 × PDM 1) to 139.13 per cent (JM 10 × AKM 8802) while heterobeltiosis ranged from 6.93 (PKVAKM 4 × PDM 1) to 123.96 per cent (JM 10 × AKM 8802) and inbreeding depression ranged from -7.51(JM 10 × PDM 1) to 15.15 (PKVAKM 4 × AKM 8802). Significant and positive heterotic effects with negative to moderate inbreeding depression recorded in crosses for seed yield/plant suggests the operation of both additive and non-additive gene effects in the inheritance of seed yield/plant in green gram. The crosses *viz.*, JM 10 × AKM 8802 and BM4 × PDM1recorded maximum significant relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis with low to moderate inbreeding depression (11.54 and 9.06) indicating the preponderance of non-additive genes with significant presence of additive gene effects for seed yield. However, the crosses *viz.*, PKVAKM 4 × AKM 8802 and ML131 × HUM 1 recorded significant heterosis effects coupled with considerable inbreeding depression (15.15 and 14.72) indicating the presence of epistatic gene effects which may likely to give desirable segregants in the subsequent generations. These results were in accordance with the findings high heterobeltiosis for seed yield in green gram.

Among the component traits, relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis for days to 50% flowering ranged from -15.48 (IM721 × TJM3) to 12.10 (JM 10 × HUM 1) and -28.87 (JM721 × TJM 3) to 6.92 (JM 10 × HUM 1) respectively. Thirteen crosses out of twenty four manifested significant and negative heterotic effects with low negative inbreeding depression for days to 50 per cent flowering and days to maturity suggesting the preponderance of non-additive gene effects in the expression of these characters. However, out of 24 crosses, 6 crosses exhibited positive heterotic effects with low inbreeding depression indicating the operation of additive and additive x additive type of inter-allelic interactions in the inheritance of earliness.

# DISCUSSION

In the present investigation all the crosses showed positive and significant relative heterosis. However four crosses could not excel the better parent. The cross JM721  $\times$  HUM 1 exhibited highest heterosis both relative and over better parent (51.45 and 28.43 respectively) followed by the crosses BM 4  $\times$  TJM 3 and JM721  $\times$  HUM1 with low to moderate inbreeding depression indicating the role of non-additive and additive x additive type of gene interaction in the inheritance of primary branches/plant. Similar results of higher magnitude of relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis for branches/plant were reported.

For plant height most of the crosses had significant but low to moderate heterotic effects, the maximum heterosis was found with cross BM4 × TJM3 (21.50 MP and 21.13 BP) followed by BM4 × PDM1 (18.73MP and 11.60 BP) and BM4 × HUM1(14.63 MP and 12.46 BP), with lower inbreeding depression indicating the operation of additive and/or additive × additive type of variance [3]. However, three crosses out of twenty four showed significant and negative heterobeltiosis while three other showed non-significant negative values. These results are in agreement with the findings.

Out of 24 crosses 18 crosses showed positive and significant relative heterosis for pods per cluster. BM4 × PDM1 exhibited maximum (39.29) followed by BM4 × TJM3 (38.96) and BM4 × AKM8802 (35.11). Relative heterosis ranged from -10.86 (JM721 × HUM1) to 39.29 (BM4 × PDM1) while heterobeltiosis ranged from -26.54(JM721 × HUM1) to 18.87 (ML131 × TJM3). Inbreeding depression was mostly significant and negative ranging from -36.00 (JM10 × PDM1) to 6.21 (PKVAKM4 × TJM3) indicating preponderance additive gene action and additive × additive interaction. Relative heterosis for cluster per plant was significant for all the crosses except one (TARM2 × TJM3) and it ranged from 1.27 (TARM2 × TJM3) to 85.65 (BM4 × HUM1). Heterobeltiosis was significant for all the

crosses except four crosses. Significant and positive heterotic effects were seen for most of the crosses for clusters/plant with low to moderate inbreeding depression and negative for seven crosses suggesting the predominance of non-additive gene action along with additive × additive epistasis.

All the crosses except a few (three in relative heterosis and 5 in heterobeltiosis) exhibited significant and positive heterotic effects for pods/plant with low to moderate inbreeding depression indicating the operation of non-additive gene action. Therefore, selecting superior lines and intermating them followed by recurrent selection may improve the character [4]. Out of 24 crosses, eight expressed the negative inbreeding depression indicating the role of additive or additive × additive type of gene action for pods/pant. Similar results of positive heterosis over midparent and better parent were also reported.

All the crosses showed positive and significant heterosis over mid parent and better parent except two crosses over better parent for biological yield (dry weight) per plant with low to moderate inbreeding depression. This indicates the predominance of non-additive gene action with additive x additive gene action. It provides a lot of scopes to get transgressive segregants in desirable direction at later generations in the crosses having lower or negative inbreeding depression.

The number of seeds per pod is an important component of seed yield and it will help break the yield ceiling in green gram. Nine crosses out of twenty-four exhibited significant and positive relative heterosis while it was mostly non-significant over better parent except two crosses *viz*, BM4 × TJM3 and PKVAKM4 × AKM8802 (0.50 and 0.44 percent respectively) with lower magnitude of inbreeding depression. It indicates the operation of additive gene action and additive × additive gene interaction.

Seed weight per pod is one of the most important components of seed yield per plant. Out of 24, ten crosses exhibited significant and positive heterosis and seven heterobeltiosis. Maximum heterosis was recorded for cross JM721 × AKM8802 (24.58 and 15.75 percent relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis respectively). Most of the crosses exhibited low and negative inbreeding depression which indicates preponderance of additive and additive × additive interaction of genes.

Out of twenty four crosses, one cross viz., ML131 × TJM 3 exhibited significant positive relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis (12.37 and 10.00 respectively) for 100 seed weight with low inbreeding depression (1.95) while other seven crosses viz., JM721 × PDM1, ML131 × PDM 1, ML131 × HUM1, PKVAKM4 × PDM1, JM10 × AKM8802, JM10 × TJM3 and JM10 × HUM1 expressed the significant positive related heterosis with low inbreeding depression (2.92, -0.37 and 2.26) suggesting the role of additive and/or additive × additive variance. The rest of the crosses showed significant negative or non-significant positive heterosis. Similar results of significant negative or positive heterosis over mid parent and better parent were also reported.

Two cross combinations PKVAKM4 × AKM8802 and ML131 × HUM1 exhibited significant heterotic effects over mid and better parents for harvest index with low to moderate inbreeding depression (5.60 and 16.80) indicating the presence of additive gene action. Nine crosses exhibited non-significant heterobeltiosis and/or relative heterosis with considerable negative inbreeding depression [5]. The other crosses expressed significant positive relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis with mostly negative low to moderate inbreeding depression. This suggests the role of non-additive and additive × additive gene actions in the expression of the character.

# CONCLUSION

Use of heterosis breeding may be promising for yield improvement in green gram as the heterosis for yield was the result of cumulative occurrence of heterosis for branches per plant, pods/cluster, clusters per plant, pods/plant, and biological yield, seeds/pod and harvest index. The nature and magnitude of heterosis and inbreeding depression varied among crosses as well as characters. A close relationship between heterotic response and inbreeding depression for characters suggests the predominance of non-additive genetic variance as well as additive x additive inter allelic gene action. Therefore, a few cycles of recurrent selection following the pedigree method would be effective and useful in utilizing all types of gene effects by maintaining considerable heterozygosity through the mating of selected plants in early segregating generations.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Bhagora NB, et al. Hybrid vigour study in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Asian Reson. 2013;2:51-55.
- 2. Dubois M, et al. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Analytical Chemist. 1956;28:350-356.
- 3. Isleib TG, et al. Heterosis in test cross of exotic peanut cultivars. Crop Sci. 1983;23:832-841.
- 4. Narsimhulu R, et al. Studies on heterosis and inbreeding depression for yield and its attributes in green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Legume Research. 2016;39:896-899.
- 5. Reddisekhar M, et al. Heterosis for yield and yield components in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). Indian J Genet Plant Breeding. 1994;54:1-5.