

Theories of Identity and its Inclusiveness in building diversity democracy

Theory Article

ABSTRACT

Till recently identity theories to use as a guiding principle to fully realize the protection and safeguarding of diversity and diverse identities that exist among heterogeneous communities across the globe have not been exist. On such issue of consideration, different theories of identity are developed for the purpose of fully realization of all round respect and protection of the right of diverse communities with taking into account the norm and identity belongs to all. With this aim, the following theories of identity are developed and well discussed in this paper. These include Socio-Cultural Relativism (Sociorelativism), Socio-Politicorelativism (Politicorelativism), Socio-Econorelativism (Econorelativism) as well as Social Abstainism (Abstainism). With this regard, these theories are mainly used in building diversity democracy in appropriate way.

Received date: Jan 14, 2021

Accepted date: Feb 8, 2021

Published date: Feb 15, 2021

*For Correspondence

Meseret Bekele, Addis Ababa University,
Ethiopia.

E-mail: meseretbekele49@yahoo.com,
motibekele63@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Identity theory is obliged in diversity democracy to fully include any social norms, moral values including any indigenous aspects belongs to certain community into politicoeconomic governance and administrative system. As consideration taken in various works, theories of identity and its relating issue of diversity to manage justice and fair utilization of resources as well as participation in politicoeconomic issues for the benefit of diverse having communities still lacking. Likewise, in the area of diversity democracy as well as identity issue as a fundamental assumption to govern the work of politicoeconomic to handle diversity and for the sake the total protection of any kind of diversity as theories of identity is obliged. As to such issue is concerned, the material produced by [1] Center for Ethics entitled "Diversity, Justice and Democracy" states about the existence of restraint or limitation of fundamental theory to govern and guide the work towards the realization all diversity recognition, respect and protection in equal manner without any domination by one or few. The domination by one or few to mean that the rest of diversity and diverse elements whether by stating a certain diverse identity as an official among others without any criteria of inclusion and exclusion ignoring the rest as if designing and accomplishments assimilation at state level still exist. Specially what is propagated among several regimes that undemocratically handling the governance of several countries as economic growth priority giving over human and democratic right as well as priority advocating for party politics over identity politics mentioning simply as a propaganda to systematically damage those targeted diversity and diverse identity as if managing such likely possible whether the case of party politics or identity mentioning political governance for the political authority of any country if positive attitude towards all diversity and diverse identity protection, recognition to work for all to benefit all as if exist and becomes the aim of the national implementation.

As to such also the propaganda of party politics over identity politics as well economic growth over human right or democratic right consideration for all as if purposively stating at international level to get support and recognition from international community in relation to the identity based human and democratic right violation by regimes handling the governance of many countries. But, on the ground the politics of few has been built by few groups of political elites that handle the governance of the state. This has seen in many countries. As stated within the aforementioned materials released by [1] Center for Ethics as the question that state the lack of fundamental theory of identity as such also leads to the line of attack on diversity and diverse identity as follows:

"what ideal should govern our understanding of what counts as just social relations in contexts of demographic diversity? Over the course of the 20th century, candidates have included: "social cohesion," "assimilation," "integration" and "multiculturalism." Each of these has come under critical attack. None currently provides a broadly accepted point of orientation. What theories of identity and of the human need for recognition should we defend? How do we incorporate those theories in our accounts of justice? How do we need to revise our theories of justice, or core social ideals, if we are to do better at achieving fair and just forms of democratic life in conditions of significant demographic diversity? Can we develop concepts that are applicable both in societies with a small number of social groups and those with a multitude of categories in a muddled hierarchy? In other words, can our concepts be independent of our many contexts and yet be useful in charting directions toward fair and just democracies?"

As has been indicated here above, the material tried to relate the issue of inequality confronted diverse global communities due to lack of appropriate theory of identity. To defend what the diverse global communities largely confronted as well as building

democratic state and community across the globe based on the issue of “non domination” theorizing identity politics of diversity in the way diversity democracy could be well implemented as if must consideration taken. As such this paper exclusively come up with at least three theories of identity to be used in the stated diversity democracy as to such to combat domination and social hierarchy or social class building in political and economic as well as social context of any country across the globe. On this issue, such study of theory development has targeted to be used in diversity democracy to fill the confronted gap as such gap also still unresearchable and respective theory or perspective could not be developed yet.

Based on aforementioned attack on diversity and diverse identity the core ideal to confront the hierarchy building in politics, economic and social context of domination developing the stated theories has been mandatory. Based on this, the manuscript developed with the following aim i) to come up with identity theory ii) to highlight diversity democracy in to communal owned identity as well as individual based right protection iii) to discuss the developed theories of identity. For the purpose, issues of identity theories area well highlighted as follows.

Discussion

An overview on the study of theories of Identity

Theories of identity come to being with the aim of defending the diversity and diverse identity of any kind across the globe. That is to mean mentioning such theories as an appropriate argument to protect the hampering and damaging of any diversity and diverse identity as an international level as such theory of argument still lacking. With such assumption the following theories of identity are developed. Those theories include Socio-Cultural relativism (Sociorelativism), Socio-Politicorelativism (Politicorelativism), Socio-Econorelativism (Econorelativism) as well Social abstainism (Abstainism). For the sake of simplicity utilization, those names used for the school of thoughts of cultural relativism likely possible alternatively or independently for the first three theories of identity. In other instances, the names of those schools of thoughts of cultural relativism indicatively as Sociorelativism, Politicorelativism and Econorelativism where inclusively possible to demonstrate to take into account the aforementioned diversity and diverse identity issues in the area of social, political as well economic issues of mentioning possibly.

As the fundamental assumption of theories of identity, the core ideal could not only target aggregate diverse identities exist among any community but also the consideration and reconsideration of the protection and safeguarding of the rights of any kind of all members of the community including at family or individual level. For the case, all the reality exist and socially constructed elements among any communities must consideration taken. The developed theories of identity have been must be consideration taken and used in politics as well as within the advocacy of the respect of all kinds of right issues whether the case of advocacy and campaign engagement on human rights as well as democratic right in relation to diversity of any kind as an identity exist among any communities. Identity means all reality exist among the respective communities taking into consideration. As to such, the theories of identity inclusively discussed in this part as the main target of this discussion section of the theory building of the manuscript:

Socio-cultural relativism (Sociorelativism)

This theory of identity taking into consider the social issues and social realities including norms, cultures, language as well as other socially constructed among the certain communities. Regarding this, socially constructed means that totally what the community mutually established, promoted and handed to generation-to-generation for long since ancient time or mythical time. According to the fundamental assumption of this theory, hampering and any attempt to destruct such social norms and moral values has been usually regarded as imperiling and jeopardizing the livelihood of the respective community not only at the aggregate but also up to the family and individual level of hampering the right of the targeted groups of community. So therefore, this must be banned at international level as the case lead to the violation of human and democratic rights that resulted inability to lead livelihood in harmony of all members of the community with each other as well with other diverse communities nearby to them and so on. If not outlawed, the case would totally or partially destruct or hamper the communal as well as the individual right of the targeted community members of diverse identity having directly or indirectly. Diverse elements of any kind should be considered as the ethical norms and guiding principle as the customary issue as well as in modernity sense in that the way every member of the community should lead their livelihoods within the community. The case also considered as showing and guiding all members on the right way as well as guiding all members to be abstains from doing what the community at an aggregate regarded as unethical and out of norm. As to such sometimes, right based theories of identity issuing probably hampers a certain right of some members of the community with having side effect as well as resulted some obstacles to certain positive impacting elements. In this case, such side effect practices that negatively affected as if could be possibly changed with long-term education to eradicate and with certain right based modification as if education is mandatorily seen as a means that resulted all advantage bearing for the community itself. However, if not taking consider the long-term awareness creation through education the case might lead to hampering extra identity as well leading to violation of rights.

As to such if not taking into consider as the norm and identity of the respective community usually in political situation

