

Performance of voice in integrated WiMAX-WLAN and UMTS-WLAN

Karthika A L¹, SumithraM G², Shanmugam A³

M.E. Student, Dept. of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Bannari Amman Institute of Tech, Sathyamangalam, India¹

Professor, Dept. of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Bannari Amman Institute of Tech, Sathyamangalam, India^{2,3}

Abstract: Usage of wireless network is growing day by day, so it is very necessary to increase the quality of data delivery. The wireless networks like WLAN, WiMAX and UMTS has its own advantages. By integrating these wireless networks into heterogeneous network architecture, it is possible to improve the Quality of Service. In this paper, WLAN with WiMAX and WLAN with UMTS are integrated and the performances are analyzed by transmitting the voice packets using Voice over Internet Protocol based on parameters such as jitter, delay and Mean Opinion Score value.

Keywords: WLAN, WiMAX, UMTS, VoIP

I. INTRODUCTION

Even though, the wired network has benefits like less packet loss, more security and less delay, it has its main drawback as immobility which makes the wireless network to be prominent since it supports mobility. The wireless networks which are developing in this recent year are WLAN, WiMAX and UMTS. All these networks are infrastructure network since it has centralized routing. The WLAN has an Access Point to transmit data packets to their users. The maximum coverage area of WLAN is 820 meters (IEEE 802.11n) and the maximum data rate is about 150 Mbps (IEEE 802.11n). The UMTS which is developed in third generation improved the coverage area of 20 km (more than WLAN) but failed to improve the data rate which is about 42 Mbps (less than WLAN) [4]. So the WiMAX is developed in fourth generation which covers 50km area with data rate of about 1 Gbps. The transmission of data packets to the users in UMTS is done by using GGSN, SGSN, RNC and Node B [19], where as in WiMAX the transmission of data is done through Base Station.

Nowadays, the voice and video transmission is widespread among the mobile users. In this case, the effective quality of speech at the destination side is more essential. The quality of speech is determined by using Mean Opinion Score (MOS) value [18]. If we transmit the voice/video in an individual network, then the quality of speech at the destination will be underprivileged due to coverage area, mobility and data rate. This problem can be solved by integrating the individual network into heterogeneous network.

The voice transmission is done by using Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP). The main advantage of VoIP is that it reduces the cost or completely free by transmitting the voice/video calls using existed Internet Protocol [10]. The principle of VoIP is that it converts the analog into digital and transmits that data using the existed Internet Protocol, example Skype.

A. Interworking Network Architecture

The interworking network architecture or integrated network indicates the combination of two or more networks into a single network. Since in India, the number of WLAN users is more and the WLAN covers its hot spot by getting the signal from other network, the integration can be done with WiMAX and WLAN and UMTS and WLAN.As all the networks are infrastructure network, the integration is very simple.





Fig.1. Integrated Network

Figure 1 shows the integrated network or heterogeneous network.

WLAN-WiMAX integrated network: In this integrated network, the base station of WiMAX is connected to the Access Point of WLAN by means of wireless backhaul. So the WLAN gets signal easily from the Base Station of WiMAX. The base station of WiMAX is then linked to the server via IP cloud and router. The users can get signal from WiMAX or WLAN (depends on signal strength).

WLAN-UMTS integrated network: In this, the normal UMTS architecture is integrated with the WLAN architecture. So the users can utilize either UMTS or WLAN which depends on the signal strength. Finally it is connected to the server via router and switch.

II. RELATED WORKS

For real time multimedia applications like interactive audio and video, the WiMAX is very efficient which is in paper [1]. Also for VoIP, the WiMAX gives better quality of performance is reported in paper [2]. It is due to its advantages like high datarate and larger coverage area. The UMTS has coverage area of about 20km and high down rate is in paper [3]. In paper [4], the authors said that the UMTS supports high mobility and real time multimedia application such as VoIP with peak data rate of about 14.4 Mbps. Even though, the WLAN is sensitive to latency and traffic, it is more popular among wireless communication network due to its hot spot which is stated in paper [5]. As in paper [6] and [7], to get more benefits of WiMAX and UMTS in voice application, we can integrate the network like WiMAX and UMTS with WLAN. The MOS value determines the quality of voice and this MOS value can be obtained by different methods is in paper [8]. The authors in paper [9] said that the voice application (VoIP) is always depends on the voice codec used and the obtained MOS value. The better voice codec is determined in paper [10] and it is G.711 since it offers best MOS value and it is approximately about 4 to 5. A parameter such as distance is very important for voice transmission. For larger distance the latency is less when compared to smaller distance, so that the jitter and traffic is less for larger distance, which in turn, it improves the quality of voice. The larger communication uses larger frame size, so for area which needs high voice quality uses larger frame size which is detailed in paper [11]. In the integrated UMTS and WiMAX, the users are always connected to access dynamic and powerful application such as Internet, voice and video which is explained in [13]. The integration of UMTS and WLAN provide ubiquitous connectivity and high data rate at low cost to the end user [14] and [15]. The scenario model for WiMAX and WLAN integration is in paper [16] and [17] also the integrated UMTS and WLAN are described in paper [19] and [20]. For simulation, the tool used here is OPNET since it is very easy for implementing QoS, integration and real time services [12].



III. SIMULATION RESULTS



Fig. 2.WiMAX-WLAN architecture



Fig. 3.UMTS-WLAN architecture

Figure 2 and 3 represents the integrated WiMAX-WLAN and integrated UMTS-WLAN architecture respectively.

The parameters that are used for this scenario are,

TABLE 1. PARAMETERS USED			
Parameters	WiMAX-WLAN	UMTS-WLAN	
No. of nodes	WiMAX-2	UMTS-2	
	WiFi-2	WiFi-2	
Mobility of nodes	Fixed	Fixed	
Application coder	G.711	G.711	
Traffic Type	VoIP	VoIP	
Router	Cisco	Cisco	
Simulation time	1 hour	1 hour	

The above table 1 shows the parameters that are used in this simulation scenario.





Fig. 4. Voice: Jitter

Figure 4 portrays that the jitter for WiMAX-WLAN is more than UMTS-WLAN integration. It is due to the distance that the WiMAX-WLAN integrated network covers more than UMTS-WLAN. Though the jitter is more for WiMAX-WLAN network, it does not cause any problem in receiving the voice in continuous manner, since the jitter is very small and it is approximately 0.005 sec.

MOS is a numerical value which represents the quality of speech. The value ranges from 1 to 5. The value 1 implies the bad quality of voice at the receiver whereas 5 points out the excellent quality of voice.

TABLE 2.				
MOS AND ITS QUALITY				
MOS	Quality	Impairment		
5	Excellent	Imperceptible		
4	Good	Perceptible but not annoying		
3	Fair	Slightly annoying		
2	Poor	Annoying		
1	Bad	Very annoying		

The UC solution provides different MOS values. They are, Listening MOS, Sending MOS, Network MOS, and Conversational MOS. In this paper, we consider Network MOS (NMOS) since it depends on the network parameters.



Fig. 5. Voice: NMOS

The above figure 5 depicts that the WiMAX-WLAN has good voice quality than the UMTS-WLAN since it has high NMOS value of above 4. It is due to the high data rate of WiMAX. UMTS-WLAN provides comparably less NMOS value and it gives fair quality of voice due to low data rate.





Fig. 6.Voice: End-to-End Delay

Figure 6 depicts that the WiMAX-WLAN has more delay than UMTS-WLAN. It is because of the WiMAX-WLAN network covers larger area than UMTS-WLAN. Since the area is larger the packets take some time to reach the destination. But due to the data rate and integration, the delay is not much higher and it is approximately about 0.14 sec which is nearly 0.04 sec more than UMTS-WLAN network.



Fig. 7.WLAN: Load

Load indicates the amount of data/traffic that is being carried by the network. Since the bandwidth for WLAN is 20 MHz, UMTS is 5 MHz and WiMAX is 5 GHz, the load is more for WLAN in WiMAX-WLAN than the WLAN in UMTS-WLAN which is shown in figure 7.



Fig. 8.WLAN: Throughput

Generally, the throughput is inversely proportional to load, so, the WLAN in WiMAX-WLAN and UMTS-WLAN must have low throughput when compare to the load. But in figure 8, the WLAN in WiMAX-WLAN has more throughput than WLAN in UMTS-WLAN network. It is due to the effective Cisco router that has been used in this simulation.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we simulated two different heterogeneous networks and compared to find which gives better voice quality. Initially UMTS-WLAN network is integrated, simulated and compared with the later simulated WiMAX-WLAN network. The parameters that are validated in this simulation setup are NMOS value, jitter, delay, load and throughput. From this comparison, the overall performance to increase the MOS value at the end user is easily done by integrated WiMAX-WLAN. The advantage of this network is coverage area, hot spot, low cost, high data rate and easy integration. The router plays its role in improving the voice clarity by reducing jitter.

REFERENCES

- [1] IwanAdhicandra, "Measuring Data and VoIP Traffic in WiMAX Networks", Journal of Telecommunication, vol. 2, issue. 1, pp. 1-6, 2010
- [2] Aruna Malik, Harsh K Verma, Raju Pal, "Impact of Firewall and VPN for securing WLAN", International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering, vol. 2, issue. 5, pp. 407-410, 2012
- [3] Safdar Rizvi, N.M. Saad and Brahim Belhaouari Samir, "A Comparative Analysis of UMTS with the Loosely Coupled Integrated UMTS/WLAN Networks" in Proc of 4th International Conference on Intelligent and Advanced Systems (ICIAS), pp. 269-273, 2012.
- [4] SheetalJadhav, Haibo Zhang and Zhiyi Huang, "Performance Evaluation of Quality of VoIP in WiMAX and UMTS" in Proc of 12th International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing, Applications and Technologies, pp. 375-380, 2011.
- [5] HaniyehKazemitabar, Sameha Ahmed, KashifNisar, Abas B Said, Halabi B Hasbullah, "A Survey on Voice over IP over Wireless LANs", World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology 71, Special Journal Issue, pp. 352-358, 2010.
- [6] Kumudu S. Munasinghe, and Abbas Jamalipour, "Interworked WiMAX-3G Cellular Data Networks: An Architecture for Mobility Management and Performance Evaluation", IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, Vol. 8, No. 4, April 2009.
- [7] Vangelis Gazis, Nancy Alonistioti and LazarosMerakos, "Toward a Generic "Always Best Connected" capability In Integrated WLAN/UMTS Cellular Mobile Networks (And Beyond)", IEEE Wireless Communications, June 2005.
- [8] Ravi Shankar Ramakrishnan, Vinodkumar. P, "Performance Analysis of Different Codecs in VoIP Using SIP", Mobile and Pervasive Computing, pp. 142-145, 2008.
- [9] MohdNazri Ismail, "Analyzing of MOS and Codec Selection for Voice over IP Technology", Annals. Computer Science Series (Anale. SeriaInformatica), tome. 7, fasc. 1, pp. 263-276, 2010.
- [10] Xuan Wang, Ke Yu, Lin Zhang, "Improving Performance of VoIP Services in IEEE 802.16 OFDMA System", Proc. 5th International Conference on Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing, Beijing, pp. 1 – 4, 2009.
- [11] Ming Chou, Chen-Yuan Li, Wei-Min Chien, Kun-chanLan, "A Feasibility Study on Vehicle-to-Infrastructure Communication: WiFi vs. WiMAX", in Proc. 10th International Conference on Mobile Data Management: Systems, Services and Middleware, Taipei, pp. 397-398, 2009.
- [12] Bojovic. Z. Peric, Delic.V, Secerov. E, Secujski. M, Senk. V, "Comparative Analysis of the Performance of Different Codecs in a Live VoIP Network using SIP Protocol", Journal of Electronics and Electrical Engineering, vol. 117, no.1, pp. 37-42, 2012.
- [13] Vijayalakshmy. G and Sivaradje. G, "WiMAX-UMTS Converging Architecture with IMS Signalling analysis to achieve QoS" in Proc of 2nd International Conference on Communication, Computing & Security [ICCCS], pp. 16-23, 2012
- [14] Changliang Wang, Weiwei Xia, LianfengShen, Song Tiecheng, "A System Level Simulation Platform for the Study of QoS Provisioning in UMTS/WLAN Interworking" in Proc of 1st International Conference on Information Science and Engineering (ICISE), pp. 2518-2521, 2009.
- [15] MukhwinderKaur, Bhawna, G.C.Lall, "An Architecture of Integration of 802.11 WLAN Network & UMTS", International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering (IJSCE), Volume-2, Issue-2, May 2012.
- [16] Wei Wang, Xin Liu, John Vicente and PrasantMohapatra, "Integration Gain of Heterogeneous WiFi/WiMAX Networks," IEEE Trans. on Mobile Computing, vol. 10, issue. 8, pp. 1131 – 1143, Aug. 2011
- [17] AggelikiSgora, Christos A. Gizelis, Dimitrios D. Vergados, "Network selection in a WiMAX–WiFi environment," International Journal of Pervasive and Mobile Computing (Elsevier), vol. 7, issue. 5, pp. 584–594, Oct. 2011
- [18] Shaffatul Islam, Mamunur Rashid, Mohammed Tarique, "Performance Analysis of WiMax/WiFi System under Different Codecs," International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 18, no.6, pp. 13-19 March 2011
- [19] SarraBenoubira, MounirFrikha, Sami Tabbane, "Loose coupling Approach for UMTS/WiMAX Integration" in Proc of Wireless Days (WD), 1 -3, Oct. 2011
- [20] Changliang Wang, Weiwei Xia, LianfengShen, Song Tiecheng, "A System Level Simulation Platform for the Study of QoS Provisioning in UMTS/WLAN Interworking", in Proc of First International Conference on Information Science and Engineering, vol. 1, pp 2518-2521, 2009.
- [21] Salkintzis. A .K, "Interworking techniques and architectures for WLAN/3Gintegration toward 4G mobile data networks," Wireless Communications, IEEE, vol.11, no.3, pp. 50- 61, June 2004.
- [22] Song. W, Jiang H, Zhuang W, and Shen X, "Resource management for QoS support in cellular/WLAN interworking," IEEE Network, vol.19, no. 5, pp. 12-18, 2005.
- [23] Song W, Zhuang W, and Saleh A, "Interworking of 3G cellularnetworks and wireless LANs," International Journal of Wireless and Mobile Computing, vol. 2, no.4, pp. 237-247, 2007.
- [24] Zarai F, Boudriga N, and Obaidat M.S, "WLAN-UMTS Integration:Architecture, Seamless Handoff, and Simulation Analysis," SIMULATION, vol.82 (6), pp. 413-424, 2006.
- [25] Siddiqui F, Zeadally S, and Fowler S, "A Novel Architecture forRoaming between 3G and Wireless LANs,"1st International Conference on Multimedia Services Access Networks, MSAN '05. 2005.



BIOGRAPHY

A.L.Karthika is doing M.E in Communication Systems in Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, Sathyamangalam, Tamil Nadu. She did her B.E. in Electronics and Communication Engineering from Sona College of Technology, salem Tamil Nadu.

Dr. M.G.Sumithra has been serving as a Professor in Electronics and Communication Engineering department in Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, Sathyamangalam, Tamil Nadu. She did her B.E. in Electronics and Communication Engineering from Madras University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu and M.E. in Medical Electronics from Anna University, Chennai. She got doctorate from Anna University, Chennai.

Dr. A.Shanmugam has been serving as a Professor in Electronics and Communication Engineering department in Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, Sathyamangalam, Tamil Nadu. He completed his B.E. from PSG College of Technology, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu in 1972 and M.E. from College of Engineering, Guindy, Chennai in 1978 and Doctor of Philosophy in Electrical and Electronics Engineering from Bharathiar University, Coimbatore in 1994.