

**International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,
Engineering and Technology**

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

ON UNIFORM CONTINUITY AND COMPACTNESS IN PSEUDO METRIC SPACES

Dr. S.M.Padhye¹ and Ku. S.B. Tadam²

Associate Professor, Head of Dept. of Mathematics, Shri R.L.T. College of Science, Akola, Maharashtra, India¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Shri R.L.T. College of Science, Akola, Maharashtra, India²

Abstract: The Pseudo-metric spaces which have the property that all continuous real valued functions are uniformly continuous have been studied.

It is proved that the following three conditions on pseudo-metric space X are equivalent

- Every continuous real valued function on X is uniformly continuous.
- Every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\lim d(x_n) = 0$ has a convergent subsequence.
- Set A is compact and for every $\delta_1 > 0$, there is $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $d(x, A) > \delta_1$ implies $d(x) > \delta_2$.

Here $A =$ set of all limit points of X and $d(x) = d(x, X - \{x\})$

Further it is proved that in a pseudo-metric space X , a subset E of X is compact if and only if every continuous function $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous and for every $\epsilon > 0$ the set $\{x \in E / d(x) > \epsilon\}$ is finite.

Keywords: Pseudo-metric space, Uniform space, Uniformly continuous function.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let X denote a pseudo-metric space with pseudo-metric d . For any $x \in X$ and any subset D of X we shall denote by $d(x, D)$, the distance from x to D ,

ie. $d(x, D) = \inf\{d(x,y) / y \in D\}$. We shall denote by $d(x)$, the distance from x to $X - \{x\}$.

Recall that a point $x \in X$ is called an accumulation point of a subset E of X if for every

$r > 0$, there is $y \in E$ such that $y \neq x$, $d(x,y) < r$. It follows that x is an accumulation point of E if and only if $d(x, E - \{x\}) = 0$. The set of all accumulation points of X will be denoted by

$A = \{x \in X / d(x) = 0\}$. Since $A = d^{-1}\{0\}$ and d is continuous, A is closed.

For any subset D of X , we have $x \in \bar{D}$ if and only if $d(x, D) = 0$.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

II. INTERMEDIATE RESULT

Theorem1: If every continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is uniformly continuous then every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n) = 0$ has a convergent subsequence .

proof: Suppose that every continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is uniformly continuous but the condition is not satisfied. Thus there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n) = 0$ having no convergent subsequence. Then there is a sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that $y_n \neq x_n$ for all n and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$.

We claim that $\{y_n\}$ does not have a convergent subsequence. If $\{y_n\}$ had a subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}$ which is convergent then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) = 0$. This would imply that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ also converges to the same limit to which $\{y_{n_k}\}$ converges, which gives contradiction since $\{x_n\}$ does not have any convergent subsequence.

Thus no point of $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ is repeated infinite times.

Hence a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ can be extracted such that $\{x_{n_k}\} = E$ and $\{y_{n_k}\} = F$ are disjoint. As, $\{x_{n_k}\}$ and $\{y_{n_k}\}$ have no convergent subsequences they have no limit points. Thus the derived sets of E and F are empty.

Thus $E = \{x_{n_k}\}$ and $F = \{y_{n_k}\}$ are disjoint closed subsets of X .

As each pseudo metric space is normal by Urysohn's Lemma there is $f: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ which is continuous such that $f(E) = 0$ and $f(F) = 1$. As, $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous function then by hypothesis f is uniformly continuous. Thus there is $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ $d(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow d(f(x), f(y)) < 1$. Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) = 0$, there is K such that for $k \geq K$, $d(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) < \delta$ and hence $|f(x_{n_k}) - f(y_{n_k})| < 1$ but $|f(x_{n_k}) - f(y_{n_k})| = 1$, a contradiction.

Hence, every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n) = 0$ has convergent subsequence.

Theorem 2: If every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n) = 0$ has a convergent subsequence then the set A is compact and for every $\delta_1 > 0$ there is $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $d(x, A) > \delta_1 \Rightarrow d(x) > \delta_2$.

Proof: Firstly we show that the set $A = \{x : d(x) = 0\}$ is compact. ie. To show that every sequence in A has a convergent subsequence in A .

Let $\{x_n\}$ be any sequence in A . Then $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in $A \subset X$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n) = 0$ and hence by hypothesis $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence say $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \in X$. But d being continuous, $d(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}) = 0$.

Thus $x \in A$ and A is compact.

Now we show that for every $\delta_1 > 0$ there is $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $d(x, A) > \delta_1 \Rightarrow d(x) > \delta_2$

Let $\delta_1 > 0$ be given and $\delta'_2 = \inf \{d(x) : d(x, A) > \delta_1\}$. We claim that $\delta'_2 \neq 0$. If it is zero then for every $n \geq 1$ there is x_n with $d(x_n, A) > \delta_1$ and $d(x_n) < \frac{1}{n}$. $\therefore d(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence (by hypothesis) say $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$ As $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is a subsequence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$, $d(x) = 0 \therefore x \in A$. $\therefore \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, A) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x) = 0$ i.e. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, A) = 0$. This contradicts to $d(x_n, A) > \delta_1 \quad \forall n \geq 1$. Thus Our assumption that $\delta'_2 = 0$ is wrong and hence $\delta'_2 > 0$. Thus if $d(x, A) > \delta_1$ then $d(x) \geq \delta'_2 > \delta'_2 / 2 = \delta_2$. This proves the result.

Theorem 3: If the set A is compact and for every $\delta_1 > 0$ there is $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $d(x, A) > \delta_1 \Rightarrow d(x) > \delta_2$ then every continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is uniformly continuous.

Proof: Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be any continuous function. Then to show that f is uniformly continuous, suppose $\epsilon > 0$ is given. For each point $x \in A$, there exists $\delta_x > 0$ such that $d(x, y) < \delta_x, y \in X \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ (1)

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

$\{ B(x, \frac{\delta_x}{3}) : x \in A \}$ is the collection of open balls which covers A and A is compact. \therefore there exist points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in A such that $A \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, \frac{\delta_{x_i}}{3})$. Let $\delta_1 = \frac{1}{3} \inf \{ \delta_{x_1}, \delta_{x_2}, \dots, \delta_{x_n} \}$. Choose $\delta_2 > 0$ satisfying the given condition. Take, $\delta = \min \{ \delta_2, \delta_1 \}$.

Now we prove that $x, y \in X, d(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$. Suppose, $x, y \in X$ such that $d(x, y) < \delta$.

Now we consider two cases.

Case I: Let $d(x, A) > \delta_1$. Then by hypothesis $d(x) > \delta_2$ and $d(x, y) < \delta \leq \delta_2 < d(x)$. This is possible only if $x = y$, for if $x \neq y$ then $d(x) \leq d(x, y) < d(x)$ gives a contradiction. Thus $x = y$ & $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$.

Case II: Let $d(x, A) \leq \delta_1$. Consider a mapping $h: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given by $h(z) = d(x, z), z \in A$

Then h is continuous since d is continuous. Now, A is compact hence $h(A)$ is compact. Since infimum is attained for $h(A)$ there exists $z \in A$ such that $\inf \{ h(z') : z' \in A \} = h(z) = d(x, z)$. ie. $d(x, z) = d(x, A) \leq \delta_1$.

Since $A \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, \frac{\delta_{x_i}}{3})$, $d(z, x_k) < \frac{\delta_{x_k}}{3}$ for some $k \leq n$.

Now $d(y, x_k) \leq d(y, x) + d(x, z) + d(z, x_k)$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \delta + \delta_1 + \frac{\delta_{x_k}}{3} \\ &< \delta_1 + \delta_1 + \frac{\delta_{x_k}}{3} \\ &< \frac{\delta_{x_k}}{3} + \frac{\delta_{x_k}}{3} + \frac{\delta_{x_k}}{3} \\ &\hspace{10em} (\text{since } \delta_1 < \frac{\delta_{x_k}}{3}) \\ &= \delta_{x_k} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $|f(y) - f(x_k)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$.

Also, $d(x, x_k) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, x_k)$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \delta_1 + \frac{\delta_{x_k}}{3} \\ &< \frac{\delta_{x_k}}{3} + \frac{\delta_{x_k}}{3} \\ &< \delta_{x_k} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|f(x) - f(x_k)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq |f(x) - f(x_k)| + |f(y) - f(x_k)| \\ &< \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \frac{2\epsilon}{3} < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

This proves that f is uniformly continuous.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013

III. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 4: On Pseudo metric space X following conditions are equivalent.

- Every continuous real valued function on X is uniformly continuous.
- Every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\lim d(x_n) = 0$ has a convergent subsequence.
- Set A is compact and for every $\delta_1 > 0$, there is $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $d(x, A) > \delta_1$ implies $d(x) > \delta_2$.

Proof:

[a] \Rightarrow [b] follows from theorem 1.

[b] \Rightarrow [c] follows from theorem 2.

[c] \Rightarrow [a] follows from theorem 3.

Theorem 5: E is compact iff every continuous function $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous, and for every $\epsilon > 0$, the set $\{x \in E / d(x) > \epsilon\}$ is finite.

Proof: Let E be compact. Since on a compact space every continuous real valued function is uniformly continuous, first condition is satisfied. Now to show that the set $\{x \in E / d(x) > \epsilon\}$ is finite, for every $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose for some $\epsilon > 0$, the set $\{x \in E / d(x) > \epsilon\}$ is infinite. We know that $\{B(x, \epsilon) : x \in E\}$ is a family of open spheres covering E . Then by compactness of E , this open cover has a finite subcover say $\{B(x_i, \epsilon) / i=1, \dots, n\}$ for E . Now we show that $\{x \in E / d(x) > \epsilon\} \subset \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$.

Let $y \in \{x \in E / d(x) > \epsilon\}$ Then $d(y, x_i) \geq \inf \{d(y, x) / x \in X - \{y\}\} = d(y) > \epsilon$ for all $i=1, 2, \dots, n$.

ie. $y \notin B(x_i, \epsilon)$ for all $i=1, \dots, n$. But $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, \epsilon)$, which gives a contradiction.

This proves that the set $\{x \in E / d(x) > \epsilon\}$ is finite.

Conversely:

Suppose that both the conditions are satisfied. For compactness of E let $\{x_n\}$ be any sequence in E . We show that $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence in E . If $\{x_n\}$ is finite, there is at least one point in $\{x_n\}$ which is repeated infinite times. This gives a constant subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ which converges.

If $\{x_n\}$ is infinite, then going to a subsequence if required we may assume that $\{x_n\}$ contains all distinct elements.

We now show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n) = 0$.

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n) \neq 0$, then there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $N \geq 1$ there is $n > N$ with $d(x_n) \geq \epsilon$. For $N=1$, there is $n_1 > 1$ such that $d(x_{n_1}) \geq \epsilon$. Choose $n_2 > n_1$ such that

$d(x_{n_2}) \geq \epsilon$. Continuing this procedure we get a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $d(x_{n_k}) \geq \epsilon$ for all $k \geq 1$. But

by hypothesis $\{x \in E / d(x) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}$ is finite. This means that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is finite which is a contradiction as all x_n 's are distinct.

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n) = 0$ and by applying theorem 1, we can conclude that $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- Hermann Hueber, On Uniform Continuity and Compactness in Metric spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly Mar. 81, P. 204.
- J. L. Kelley, General Topology, Van Nostrand, Princeton, Toronto, Melbourne, London 1955.
- G. F. Simmons, Introduction to Topology and Modern Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.