Research and Reviews: Journal of Social Sciences

A Mini Review on Fundamentals of Conventional Financial Development and It's Functions to Accomplish Economic Benefit

Cartreal Davison*

School of Business and Industry, Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, Florida, USA

Mini Review

Received: 14-Apr-2023, Manuscript No. JSS-23-96471; **Editor assigned:** 17-Apr-2023, Pre QC No. JSS-23-96471 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 02-May-2023, QC No. JSS-23- 96471; **Revised:** 09-May-2023, Manuscript No. JSS-23-96471 (R); **Published:** 16-May-2023, DOI: 10.4172/JSocSci.9.2.010

***For Correspondence:** Cartreal Davison, School of Business and Industry, Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, Florida, USA

E-mail: cartreal1.davison@famu.edu

Citation: Davison C. A Mini Review on Fundamentals of Conventional Financial Development and It's Functions to Accomplish Economic Benefit. RRJ Soc Sci. 2023;9:010.

ABSTRACT

Collaboration is the process of groups of people working or acting together for normal, common, or some fundamental advantage, rather than working in rivalry for narrow minded benefit. This is a major principle of economic growth through personal responsibility. Cooperation manifests in different species in the animals of the world collectively. Collaboration opens the potential for development and the change of human resources creative mind and inventiveness into new items and products, where shared benefit is deliberate. While cooperation is fundamental for customary financial development, the way to remarkable monetary development and improvement is collaborative effort. Collaboration is a functioning practice by which people work together to accomplish economic benefit. Collaboration works with people and is the answer for unrivaled advancement that would not otherwise be imagined. It separates the human species from all others.

Keywords: Collaboration; Innovation; Mental silos; Cognitive reintegration; Economic development

INTRODUCTION

The two terms collaboration and cooperation are many times confounded as most people view them as exactly the same thing. As a general rule they might be comparative, but they are not exactly the same thing [1-3]. They actually have different consequences for the economy [4]. Cooperation is an arrangement and execution thereof by members, each with their very own personal responsibility and financial improvement at the top of the priority list yet accidental yielding shared benefits. Collaboration is an arrangement and execution thereof by members working together for their purposeful common advantage of shared objectives, goals, and prizes. For partnerships and organizations to succeed, collaboration should be at the forefront of their business model. “Cooperation can result in the unintended consequences of mutual benefit; collaboration is planned intentionally by participants to provide for their mutual benefit” [10]. Capitalism, democracy, and rule of law are crucial components of monetary cooperation in quest for unprecedented financial development. Lowitt explicitly describes an economy in which “private, public, and civil sectors work together for their own good and for the collective” [5]. But this is not collaboration because the parties are working for “their own good”. Cooperation is functionally unique in relation to collaboration and creates various results. Collaboration enhances innovation. Collaboration includes cooperation yet goes past cooperation for such it surpasses its capacity for individual human progression and economic development. Animals are likely to cooperate but only human beings can demonstrate collaboration. Economic cooperation is a concept that is used as a simile for entrepreneurial, industrial, financial or productive cooperation. “Ridley (2022) showed that 21% of per capita real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) adjusted for purchasing power parity (GDPppp) is the optimal national collaboration required for reinvestment in growth and to cover maintenance, depreciation and obsolescence” [6,7]. The motivation behind this paper is to review the manner by which collaboration can apply a piece of reinvestment gathered from tax collection to the improvement of the economy to support individuals and society, and the headway of future economic development.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Economic development through collaboration

Collaboration begins to shape in kids at an early age. People associate since early on through individual and group activities, social connections, and organized youth Montessori-type training that advance the improvement of interactive abilities. “In the context of team sports, researchers have examined in-group and out-group dynamics, shared identities, cultural affinity, building social networks, friendship, competition, collaboration, socialization, and other social variables and behaviors that emerge in early childhood and evolve through the lifespan” [11]. At the point when juvenile kids partake in group activities, they work together to design and execute the quest for group (shared, or joint) objectives. Group activities allow them to team up later in the work environment. As kids grow up while utilizing collaboration, this skill improves the capacity to team up as grown-ups. We consider innovation to be an intermediary for collaboration. An example of this is when the CEO of Procter and Gamble, Lafley, took a huge leap forward and made a strategic bet by turning to a collaborative approach to drive innovation in the company. P and G wanted to create a more efficient duster, at first this product was not much of a success. It was not until Lafley decided to collaborate with the Japanese company UniCharm that would allow P and G to create the Swiffer and become a success. The focus of this review is to see how collaboration affects economic growth/development of businesses. Collaboration has a direct impact on economic growth and development, this review focuses on GDP and how collaboration has this effect on the world.

Gross domestic product rises as the worth of the multitude of items and administrations that an economy produces. Innovation influences collaboration which additionally influences GDP, standard of living-GDPppp. Ridley and Korovyakovskaya obtained GDPppp reported by the IMF and the global innovation index (GII) from the world intellectual property organization (WIPO) (Indicator Rankings and Analysis/Global Innovation Index) [8]. The GII comprises an innovation input sub-index and an innovation output sub-index. The innovation input sub-index comprises institutions, human capital and research, infrastructure, market sophistication and business sophistication. In order to see how collaboration affects economic growth they looked into the pathway by which innovation leads to GDPppp and then determined how collaboration affects innovation. To determine the effects of collaboration they looked into the relationship between innovation and total market capitalization. Total market capitalization is the total dollar market value of a company's outstanding shares of a stock. It incorporates exogenous ex nihilo human thoughts of creative mind and innovativeness, and endogenous capital load of information, PCs and programming, accounts, licenses, abilities, and so forth, and fixed capital of plant and apparatus. Exogenous capital starts in the human brain and is changed over into endogenous capital stock. One issue with capital stock is that it will deteriorate and become out of date. In indigenous communities, particularly in the Americas, collaboration frequently entails the entire community working towards a common goal in a horizontal structure with flexible leadership [9]. In some indigenous American communities, children work alongside adults. Children can contribute to the achievement of goals by taking on tasks that are appropriate for their abilities. Indigenous learning techniques include observing and participating. A study of Mayan fathers and children with traditional Indigenous ways of learning, for example, found that they worked together more frequently when building a 3D model puzzle than Mayan fathers with western schooling. Furthermore, the Chillihuani people of the Andes place a high value on work and organize work parties in which members of each household in the community participate. Children from indigenous-heritage communities want to volunteer to help around the house. Schoolchildren in Mexico's Mazahua Indigenous community demonstrate initiative and autonomy by contributing in their classroom, completing activities as a group, and assisting and correcting their teacher during lectures when an error is made.

DISCUSSION

Fifth and sixth graders from the community collaborate with the teacher to install a classroom window; the installation becomes a class project in which the students work alongside the teacher. They all work together without needing to be led, and their movements are all coordinated and fluid. It is not a learning process, but rather a hands-on experience in which students work in a synchronous group with the teacher, switching roles and sharing tasks. Collaboration is valued in these communities, and learners are encouraged to take the initiative. While one works, the other observes intently, and all are permitted to attempt tasks, with the more experienced stepping in to complete more complex parts while others observe.

Hence, the main wellspring of wealth is human resources, thoughts of creative mind and innovativeness which straightforwardly supports development. From their exploration they confirmed that innovation affects GDP as well as all out market capitalization. Likewise they see how all out market capitalization and GDP correspond and what they mean for one another. From the examination we comprehend that innovation is positively correlated with all out capital and capital is conveyed for the age of GDP. The path to economic growth is Innovation → Capital → Collaboration → GDPppp.

CONCLUSION

There is a comprehension from past exploration that the elusive absolute market capitalization, a vote based system and rule of law along with natural resources and geographic scope incorporate around 90% of GDPppp. The wellspring of wealth is human resources thoughts of creativity and innovation. Regular natural resources and geography have a minor effect on GDPppp. Ridley (2020a, 2020b) show that government spending, country size; location, culture and population physical characteristics have a negligible effect on GDPppp. Nonetheless, infrastructure that is expected for economic development will not be built by any one individual or company to support all others. Hence, government spending is expected for aggregate and collaborative infrastructure development. We also know from Ridley, Ngnepieba and de Silva that learning that takes place in a collaborative mode produces university course grades that are higher and that are symmetric normally distributed. There is no distributed information for collaboration, so innovation is utilized as an intermediary for collaboration. The review shows that GDPppp is decidedly associated with capitalization and also with collaboration. From past examinations directed by Dr. Ridley and myself, we found that the optimal tax rate for maximizing economic growth is around 21%.The technique for wealth creation and better quality of living is the association of capital through collaboration. Since human thoughts are limitless, wealth is limitless. This research centers on how individuals team up and collaborate to benefit economic growth. An idea for future exploration is collaboration to serve the financial improvement of individuals.

REFERENCES

1. Aiim. What is collaboration?.
2. Arjun A. Playing with modernity the decolonization of Indian cricket. Altre Modernita. 2015;14: 1-24.
3. Enrique F. Economic cooperation. AGCI. 1999.
4. IMF Data. International monetary fund.
5. Lowitt E. The collaborative economy: how to meet business, social, and environmental needs and gain. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 1972.
6. Dennis R. Economic development *via* collaborating populations. Theor Econ Lett. 2021;11:1101-1121.
7. Dennis R, et al. Collaboration and rule of law. SEAJBEL. 2022;26:194-205.
8. Dennis R, et al. Economic growth the log symmetry of collaborative learning populations. Theor Econ Lett. 2022; 12:1417-1451.
9. Robert T. From social activism to active socialization: The evolution of football ideology in community-owned football clubs. Strateg Change. 2000;29:459-470.
10. Michael T. Two key steps in the evolution of human cooperation: The Interdependence Hypothesis. Curr Anthropol. 2012;53:673-672.
11. Stephen W. Cricket and national identity in the postcolonial age. Routledge. 2005;17:2016.