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#### Abstract

Six Sigma at many organizations simply means a measure of quality that strives for near perfection. Six Sigma is a disciplined, data-driven approach and methodology for eliminating defects in any process, from manufacturing to transactional and from product to service. The statistical representation of Six Sigma describes quantitatively how a process is performing. To achieve Six Sigma, a process must not produce more than 3.4 defects per million opportunities. A Six Sigma defect is defined as anything outside of customer specifications. A Six Sigma opportunity is then the total quantity of chances for a defect. In this article, desining of Six Sigma Quick Switching Variables Sampling System [SSQSVSS $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}} ; \mathrm{k}\right)$ ] indexed by Six Sigma Quality Level's is presented. Procedures are indicated and tables are constructed for designing the system indexed by six sigma quality levels, viz., six sigma acceptable quality level (SSAQL) and six sigma limiting quality level (SSLQL).
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## I. INTRODUCTION

In quality control, the statistical procedure employed in determining whether to accept or reject a production batch. If the proportion of the units having a certain negative characteristic exceeds the acceptable limit for a given batch, it is rejected. Two types of acceptance sampling are (1) attributes sampling, in which the presence or absence of a characteristic in the inspected item is only taken note of, and (2) variable sampling, in which the presence or absence of a characteristic in the inspected item is measured on a predetermined scale.

Dodge (1967) proposed a sampling system called a 'quick switching system' (QSS), consisting of pairs of normal and tightened plans. The implication of the system is as follows:
(1) Adopt a pair of sampling plans--a normal plan ( N ) and a tightened plan ( T ). (2) Use plan N for the first lot (optional, but can start with plan T). (3) For each lot inspected, if the lot is accepted, then use plan N for the next lot; if the lot is rejected, then use plan T for the next lot.

Romboski (1969) studied the QSS with the single-sampling plan as a reference plan and introduced a system designated as $\operatorname{QSS}\left(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{kn} ; \mathrm{c}_{0}\right)$, where ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{c}_{0}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{kn}, \mathrm{c}_{0}$ ), $\mathrm{k}>1$ are respectively the normal and the tightened singlesampling plans. It is found that this system requires a lower average sample number (ASN) than that of its equivalent single-sampling plan. Also, the system offers an operating characteristic (OC) curve, referred to as a 'composite OC curve', which is more discriminating than the OC curves of corresponding normal and tightened single-sampling plans. Govindaraju (1991), Deveraj Arumainayagam (1991) and Taylor (1996) investigated how to evaluate and select quick switching systems. Soundarajan and Palanivel (1997 \& 2000) have investigated on a quick switching variables sampling (QSVS) systems. Radhakrishnan and Sivakumaran (2008) have developed the procedure for the construction of six sigma repetitive group sampling plans of type $\quad\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}\right.$; c) indexed by six sigma quality levels with attributes sampling plan as a reference plan. Senthilkumar and Esha Raffie (2012) have designed the procedure for six sigma quick switching variables sampling system indexed by six sigma quality levels.
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In this article, designing of six sigma quick switching variables sampling system [SSQSVSS $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{k}\right)$ ] indexed by six sigma quality level's is presented. The SSQSVSS $\quad\left(n_{T}, n_{N} ; k\right)$, where $\left(n_{N}, k\right)$ and $\left(n_{T}, k\right), n_{T}>n_{N}$ are respectively the normal and tightened single sampling plans. Procedures are indicated and tables are constructed for designing the system indexed by six sigma acceptable quality level (SSAQL) with the producer's risk $\alpha^{*}=3.4 \times 10^{-6}$ and six sigma limiting quality level (SSLQL) with the consumer's risk $\beta^{*} \geq m \alpha^{*}$, where $m=2$.

## II. SIX SIGMA QUICK SWITCHING VARIABLES SAMPLING SYSTEM OF TYPE SSQSVSS ( $n_{T}$, $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathbf{k}$ )

The conditions and the assumptions under which the SSQSVSS scheme can be applied are as follows:

## Conditions for applications

- The production is steady, so that results on current and preceding lots are broadly indicative of a continuous process.
- Lots are submitted substantially in the order of production.
- Inspection is by variables, with the quality being defined as the fraction of non- conforming.
- The sample units are selected from a large lot and production is continuous.
- The production process should be depends on automation and man handling the process is very limited.


## Basic Assumptions

- The quality characteristic is represented by a random variable $X$ measurable on a continuous scale
- Distribution of $X$ is normal with mean and standard deviation.
- An upper limit U , has been specified and a product is qualified as defective when $\mathrm{X}>\mathrm{U}$. [when the lower limit L is Specified, the product is a defective one if $\mathrm{X}<\mathrm{L}]$.
- The Purpose of inspection is to control the fraction defective, p in the lot inspected.

The fraction defective in a lot will be $\mathrm{p}=1-\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{v})=\mathrm{F}(-\mathrm{v})$ with $\mathrm{v}=(\mathrm{U}-\mu) / \sigma$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{y})=\int_{-\infty}^{\mathrm{y}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-z^{2} / 2} d z \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{z} \sim \mathrm{N}(0,1)$. Under the $\sigma$ - method plan, the lot would be accepted if $\overline{\mathrm{X}}+\mathrm{k} \sigma \leq \mathrm{U}$, where U is the upper specification limit or $\bar{X}+\mathrm{k} \sigma \geq \mathrm{L}$, where L is the lower specification limit.

## III. $\operatorname{SSQSVSS}\left(\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathbf{k}_{\sigma}\right)$ WITH KNOWN $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ VARIABLES PLAN AS THE REFERENCE PLAN

The operating procedure of the six sigma quick switching variables sampling system with known $\sigma$ variables plan is as follows:

## Operating Procedure

Step 1: Under normal inspection, draw a sample of size $n_{N \sigma}$ from the lot, inspect and record the measurement of the quality characteristic for each unit of the sample. Compute the sample mean $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$. Where $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}=\sum \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}$
Step2: i) If $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{k} \sigma \leq \mathrm{U}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{k} \sigma \geq \mathrm{L}$ accept the lot and repeat Step 1 for the next lot.
ii) If $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{k} \sigma>\mathrm{U}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{k} \sigma<\mathrm{L}$, reject the lot go to step 3 .

Step 3: Under tightened inspection, draw a sample of size $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \mathrm{\sigma}}$ from the next lot inspect and record the measurement of the quality characteristic for each unit of the sample. Compute the sample mean $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$. Where $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}=\sum \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}$
Step 4: i) If $\bar{X}_{T}+\mathrm{k} \sigma \leq \mathrm{U}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{k} \sigma \geq \mathrm{L}$ accept the lot and repeat step 1, for the next lot.
ii) If $\bar{X}_{T}+k \sigma>U$ or $\bar{X}_{T}+k \sigma<L$, reject the lot and repeat step 3 .

Where $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}$ are the sample sizes of normal and tightened single sampling variable plans respectively and k is the acceptance constant under $\sigma$-method. Where $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\sigma$ are the average quality characteristic and standard deviation respectively. The six sigma quick switching variables sampling system as defind $\operatorname{SSQSVSS}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{k}\right)$. As the
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tightened plan sample size $n_{T}$ is greater than the normal plan sample size $n_{N}$, for designing the SSQSVS system $n_{T}$ is fixed as a multiple of $n_{N}$ i.e. $n_{T}=m n_{N}$, where $m>1$.

## Operating Characteristic Function

Based on Romboski (1969), the OC function of $\operatorname{QSVSS}\left(n_{T}, n_{N} ; k_{\sigma}\right)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{a}(p)=\frac{P_{T}}{1-P_{T}+P_{N}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{T}$ and $P_{N}$ are the proportion of lots expected to be accepted using tightened ( $n_{T}, k$ ) and normal $\left(n_{N}, k\right)$ variable single sampling plans respectively.

Under the assumption of normal approximation to the non-central $t$ distribution (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1964), the values of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{N}}=\phi\left(\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)=\mathbf{P}[(\mathbf{U}-\overline{\mathbf{X}}) / \sigma \geq \mathrm{k}]  \tag{3}\\
& \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=\phi\left(\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\mathbf{P}[(\mathbf{U}-\overline{\mathbf{X}}) / \sigma \geq \mathrm{k}] \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Equation (3) and (4) are substituted in (2) to find $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{p})$ values for given $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and k .
As the individual values of $X$ follows normal distribution with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$, the expressions given in (3) and (4) can be restated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=\int_{-\infty}^{w_{\mathrm{T}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{\left(-\mathrm{z}^{2} / 2\right)} \mathrm{dz} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}=\int_{-\infty}^{w_{\mathrm{N}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{\left(-\mathrm{z}^{2} / 2\right)} \mathrm{dz}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{T}} & =\sqrt{\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}}(\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{k} \sigma-\mu) / \sigma=(\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{k}) \sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}} \\
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{N}} & =\sqrt{\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}}(\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{k} \sigma-\mu) / \sigma=(\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{k}) \sqrt{\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { and } \quad v=(U-\mu) / \sigma
$$

For given $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \alpha$ and $\beta$ the values of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}$ and k can be determined and should satisfy the following the equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}}{1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}}=1-\alpha  \tag{5}\\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}}{1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}}=\beta \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }_{P_{T_{1}}}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\frac{\bar{X}_{T}-\bar{X}_{\mathrm{P}_{1}}}{\sigma / \sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}_{\sigma}}}} \geq\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{P}_{1}}-\mathrm{k}\right) \sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}_{\sigma}}}\right]$, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{P}_{1}}}{\sigma / \sqrt{\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\sigma}}}} \geq\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{P}_{1}}-\mathrm{k}\right) \sqrt{\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\sigma}}}\right]$,
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\bar{X}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}}{\sigma / \sqrt{\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{T}_{\sigma}}}} \geq\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}-k\right) \sqrt{\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{T}_{\sigma}}}\right], \quad$ and $\quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\bar{X}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}}{\sigma / \sqrt{\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}}}} \geq\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{P}_{2}}-k\right) \sqrt{\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\sigma}}}\right]$

## Designing SSQSVSS $\left(\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma} ; \mathbf{k}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right)$ with known $\sigma$ for given SSAQL and SSLQL

## Example

Table 1 can be used to determine $\operatorname{SSQSVSS}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$ for specified values of SSAQL and SSLQL. For example, if it is desired to have a $\operatorname{SSQSVSS}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$ for given $\operatorname{SSAQL}=0.000002$ and $\operatorname{SSLQL}=0.000007$, and $m=2, \alpha^{*}=3.4 \times 10^{-6}, \beta^{*} \geq 2 \alpha^{*}$. Table 1 gives $n_{N}=6019$, and $k=4.428$. The sample size $n_{T \sigma}=m n_{N \sigma}=(2)(6019)=$ 12038. Thus, for given requirement, the SSQSVSS $\left(n_{T \sigma}, n_{N \sigma} ; k_{\sigma}\right)$ is specified by the parameters $n_{T \sigma}=12038, n_{N \sigma}=6019$, and $\mathrm{k}=4.428$ as desired system parameters, which is associated with 4.7 sigma level.
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## Practical Explanation

For the test, lot-by-lot acceptance inspection of Cylindrical Cell it is proposed to apply the system with $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}=12038, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=6019$, and $\mathrm{k}=4.428$. The characteristic to be inspected is the Cylindrical Cell with the upper limit (U) diameter of 14.5 mm with a known standard deviation $(\sigma)$ of 0.006 mm .

Now, take a random sample of size $\mathrm{n}=6019$ and record the diameter of each Cylindrical Cell. Compute the sample mean $(\bar{X})$. If $\bar{X}+\mathrm{k} \sigma \leq \mathrm{U} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}+(4.428)(0.006) \leq 14.5$, accept the lot. Otherwise, switch to tightened inspection.

Draw a sample of 12038 from the next lot and record the results. Compute the sample mean ( $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ ) and a known standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of 0.006 . If $\bar{X}+\mathrm{k} \sigma \leq \mathrm{U} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}+(4.428)(0.006) \leq 14.5$, accept the lot. Then, switch to normal inspection. Otherwise, continue with tightened inspection process.

## IV. SELECTION OF SSQSVSS $\left(n_{T S}, n_{N S} ; k_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ UNKNOWN $\sigma$ FOR GIVEN SSAQL AND SSLQL

If the population standard deviation $\sigma$ is unknown, then it is estimated from the sample standard deviation $S$ ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ as the divisor). If the sample size of the unknown sigma variables system ( s method) is $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}}$ and the acceptance constant is k , then the operating procedure is as follows
Step 1: Draw a sample of size $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}}$ from the lot, inspect and record the measurement of the quality characteristic for each unit of the sample. Compute the sample mean $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ and standard deviation S .

$$
\text { Where } \overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}=\sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{~S}=\sqrt{\sum\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2} / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}-1}
$$

Step2: i) If $\bar{X}_{N}+k S \leq U$ or $\bar{X}_{N}+k S \geq L$ accept the lot and repeat step 1 for the next lot.
ii) ${ }_{\text {If }} \overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{kS}>\mathrm{U}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{kS}<\mathrm{L}$ reject the lot go to step 3 .

Step 3: Draw a sample of size $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ from the next lot inspect and record the measurement of the quality characteristic for each unit of the sample. Compute the sample mean $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$.
Where $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}=\sum \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{S}=\sqrt{\sum\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2} / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}-1}$
Step 4: i) If $\bar{X}_{T}+\mathrm{kS} \leq \mathrm{U}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{kS} \geq \mathrm{L}$ accept the lot and repeat step 1 for the next lot.
ii) If $\bar{X}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{kS}>\mathrm{U}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{kS}<\mathrm{L}$ reject the lot and repeat step 3 .
where $\bar{X}$ and $S$ are the average and the standard deviation of quality characteristic respectively from the sample. Under the assumptions for a six sigma quick switching system stated, the probability of acceptance $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{p})$ of a lot is given in the equations (3) and (4) and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}$ respectively are

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=\int_{-\infty}^{w_{T}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{z}^{2} / 2} \mathrm{dz} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}=\int_{-\infty}^{w_{\mathrm{N}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{z}^{2} / 2} \mathrm{dz}
$$

with

$$
w_{T}=\frac{U-k S_{T}-\mu}{S_{T}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{n_{T s}}+\frac{k^{2}}{2 n_{T s}}\right)}} \text { and } w_{N}=\frac{U-S_{N}-\mu}{S_{N}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{n_{N s}}+\frac{k^{2}}{2 n_{N s}}\right)}}
$$

The values of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{TS}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NS}}$, and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ for given $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \alpha$ and $\beta$ can be determined and should satisfy the following equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}}{1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}}=1-\alpha  \tag{7}\\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}}{1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}}=\beta \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$
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where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{N_{1}}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\bar{X}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}}{\mathrm{~S} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}}}+\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}}}}} \geq \frac{\left(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}}}+\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}}}}}\right] \\
& P_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\bar{X}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}}{\left.\mathrm{~S} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}}}+\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}}}} \geq \frac{\left(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}}}+\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}}}}}\right] \text {, and } \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~S} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}}}}+\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}}}}}} \geq \frac{\left(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}}}}+\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}}}}}}\right]}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Designing SSQSVSS ( $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{Ts}}, \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}} ; \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) with unknown $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ for given SSAQL and SSLQL

## Example 2

Table 1 can be used to determine SSQSVSS ( $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts},} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) for specified values of SSAQL and SSLQL. For example, if it is desired to have a SSQSVSS $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts},} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ for given SSAQL $=0.000003$ and $\operatorname{SSLQL}=0.00008$, and $\mathrm{m}=2, \alpha^{*}=3.4 \times 10^{-6}, \beta^{*} \geq 2 \alpha^{*}$. Table 1 gives $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NS}}=6260$, and $\mathrm{k}=4.047$. The sample size $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts}}=\mathrm{m} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}}=(2)(6260)=$ 12520. Thus, for given requirement, the SSQSVSS ( $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts},} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) is specified by the parameters $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts}}=12520, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NS}}=6260$, and $\mathrm{k}=4.047$ as desired system parameters, which is associated with 4.7 sigma level.

## Practical Explanation

For the test, lot-by-lot acceptance inspection of one liter water bottle it is proposed to apply the system with $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}=12520, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=6260$, and $\mathrm{k}=4.047$. The characteristic to be inspected is the water bottle with the upper limit (U) diameter of 7.6 cm .

Now, take a random sample of size $n_{N}=6260$, record the diameter of each water bottle. Compute the sample mean ( $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ ) and unknown standard deviation (S). If $\overline{\mathrm{X}}+\mathrm{kS} \leq \mathrm{U} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}+(4.047) \mathrm{S} \leq 7.6 \mathrm{~cm}$, accept the lot. Otherwise, switch to tightened inspection.

Draw a sample of 12520 from the next lot and record the results. Compute the sample mean $(\overline{\mathrm{X}})$ and unknown standard deviation (S). If $\bar{X}+\mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{U} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}+(4.047) \mathrm{S} \leq 7.6 \mathrm{~cm}$, accept the lot. Then, switch to normal inspection. Otherwise, continue with tightened inspection process.

Table 1: $\operatorname{SSQSVSS}\left(n_{T}, n_{N} ; k\right)$ with known and unknown $\sigma$ indexed by SSAQL and SSLQL $\left(\alpha=3.4 \times 10^{-6}\right.$ and $\beta \geq 2 \alpha)$. $\left(n_{T \sigma}=\mathrm{mn}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}\right.$, where $\mathrm{m}=2$ )

| SSAQL | SSLQL | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {T } \sigma}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\sigma}$ | $\sigma$ - Level | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {Ts }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {Ns }}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {s }}$ | $\sigma$ - Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000001 | 0.000002 | 29494 | 14747 | 4.679 | 4.9 | 352351 | 176175 | 4.679 | 5.5 |
|  | 0.000003 | 20232 | 10116 | 4.636 | 4.8 | 237650 | 118825 | 4.636 | 5.5 |
|  | 0.000004 | 17704 | 8852 | 4.606 | 4.8 | 205501 | 102751 | 4.606 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000005 | 15380 | 7690 | 4.577 | 4.7 | 176477 | 88239 | 4.577 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000006 | 13108 | 6554 | 4.556 | 4.7 | 149150 | 74575 | 4.556 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000007 | 10944 | 5472 | 4.540 | 4.6 | 123731 | 61865 | 4.540 | 5.3 |
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|  | 0.000008 | 8810 | 4405 | 4.525 | 4.6 | 99005 | 49503 | 4.525 | 5.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.000009 | 6724 | 3362 | 4.511 | 4.5 | 75138 | 37569 | 4.511 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.00001 | 4662 | 2331 | 4.499 | 4.4 | 51844 | 25922 | 4.499 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00002 | 2380 | 1190 | 4.417 | 4.2 | 25597 | 12798 | 4.417 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00003 | 2092 | 1046 | 4.368 | 4.1 | 22049 | 11025 | 4.368 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 1846 | 923 | 4.331 | 4.1 | 19159 | 9580 | 4.331 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00005 | 1788 | 894 | 4.313 | 4.1 | 18418 | 9209 | 4.313 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00006 | 1560 | 780 | 4.291 | 4.0 | 15922 | 7961 | 4.291 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1350 | 675 | 4.271 | 4.0 | 13663 | 6831 | 4.271 | 4.7 |
| 0.000002 | 0.000003 | 22255 | 11128 | 4.524 | 4.8 | 249999 | 125000 | 4.524 | 5.5 |
|  | 0.000004 | 19474 | 9737 | 4.494 | 4.8 | 216127 | 108064 | 4.494 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000005 | 16918 | 8459 | 4.465 | 4.8 | 185559 | 92779 | 4.465 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000006 | 14419 | 7209 | 4.444 | 4.7 | 156798 | 78399 | 4.444 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000007 | 12038 | 6019 | 4.428 | 4.7 | 130058 | 65029 | 4.428 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000008 | 9691 | 4846 | 4.413 | 4.6 | 104055 | 52028 | 4.413 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000009 | 7396 | 3698 | 4.399 | 4.5 | 78961 | 39481 | 4.399 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.00001 | 5128 | 2564 | 4.387 | 4.4 | 54476 | 27238 | 4.387 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00002 | 2618 | 1309 | 4.305 | 4.2 | 26878 | 13439 | 4.305 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00003 | 2301 | 1151 | 4.256 | 4.2 | 23143 | 11571 | 4.256 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2031 | 1015 | 4.219 | 4.1 | 20103 | 10051 | 4.219 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00005 | 1967 | 983 | 4.201 | 4.1 | 19322 | 9661 | 4.201 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00006 | 1716 | 858 | 4.179 | 4.1 | 16700 | 8350 | 4.179 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1485 | 743 | 4.159 | 4.0 | 14328 | 7164 | 4.159 | 4.7 |

ISSN(Online): 2320-9801
ISSN (Print): 2320-9798

## International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

## Vol. 3, Issue 3, March 2015

Table 1: (Continued...)

| SSAQL | SSLQL | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {T }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{\sigma}}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ - Level | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {Ts }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {Ns }}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {s }}$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ - Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000003 | 0.000004 | 21422 | 10711 | 4.412 | 4.8 | 229918 | 114959 | 4.412 | 5.5 |
|  | 0.000005 | 18610 | 9305 | 4.382 | 4.8 | 197282 | 98641 | 4.382 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000006 | 15861 | 7930 | 4.353 | 4.8 | 166130 | 83065 | 4.353 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000007 | 13242 | 6621 | 4.332 | 4.7 | 137496 | 68748 | 4.332 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000008 | 10660 | 5330 | 4.316 | 4.7 | 109948 | 54974 | 4.316 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000009 | 8136 | 4068 | 4.301 | 4.6 | 83389 | 41694 | 4.301 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.00001 | 5641 | 2821 | 4.287 | 4.5 | 57477 | 28739 | 4.287 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00002 | 2880 | 1440 | 4.275 | 4.3 | 29195 | 14597 | 4.275 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00003 | 2531 | 1266 | 4.193 | 4.2 | 24783 | 12392 | 4.193 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2234 | 1117 | 4.144 | 4.2 | 21413 | 10706 | 4.144 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00005 | 2163 | 1082 | 4.107 | 4.2 | 20410 | 10205 | 4.107 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00006 | 1888 | 944 | 4.089 | 4.1 | 17668 | 8834 | 4.089 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1634 | 817 | 4.067 | 4.1 | 15143 | 7571 | 4.067 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1362 | 681 | 4.047 | 4.0 | 12520 | 6260 | 4.047 | 4.7 |
| 0.000004 | 0.000005 | 20471 | 10235 | 3.655 | 4.9 | 157206 | 78603 | 3.655 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000006 | 17447 | 8723 | 4.300 | 4.8 | 178742 | 89371 | 4.300 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000007 | 14566 | 7283 | 4.270 | 4.7 | 147361 | 73680 | 4.270 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000008 | 11726 | 5863 | 4.241 | 4.7 | 117179 | 58590 | 4.241 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000009 | 8950 | 4475 | 4.220 | 4.6 | 88639 | 44320 | 4.220 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.00001 | 6205 | 3103 | 4.204 | 4.5 | 61039 | 30519 | 4.204 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00002 | 3168 | 1584 | 4.189 | 4.3 | 30961 | 15481 | 4.189 | 5.0 |
|  | 0.00003 | 2784 | 1392 | 4.175 | 4.2 | 27052 | 13526 | 4.175 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2457 | 1229 | 4.163 | 4.2 | 23748 | 11874 | 4.163 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00005 | 2380 | 1190 | 4.081 | 4.2 | 22197 | 11099 | 4.081 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00006 | 2076 | 1038 | 4.032 | 4.2 | 18954 | 9477 | 4.032 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1797 | 898 | 3.995 | 4.1 | 16136 | 8068 | 3.995 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1499 | 749 | 3.977 | 4.1 | 13351 | 6675 | 3.977 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00009 | 1198 | 599 | 3.955 | 4.0 | 10567 | 5283 | 3.955 | 4.7 |

Table 1: (continued...)

| SSAQL | SSLQL | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {T } \sigma}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{No}}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {}}$ | $\sigma$ - Level | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {Ts }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {Ns }}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {s }}$ | $\sigma$ - Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000005 | 0.000006 | 19191 | 9596 | 3.583 | 4.9 | 142380 | 71190 | 3.583 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000007 | 16023 | 8012 | 3.560 | 4.8 | 117558 | 58779 | 3.560 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000008 | 12899 | 6449 | 3.543 | 4.8 | 93857 | 46928 | 3.543 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000009 | 9845 | 4922 | 4.188 | 4.6 | 96179 | 48089 | 4.188 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.00001 | 6826 | 3413 | 4.158 | 4.5 | 65830 | 32915 | 4.158 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.00002 | 3485 | 1742 | 4.129 | 4.3 | 33188 | 16594 | 4.129 | 5.0 |
|  | 0.00003 | 3063 | 1531 | 4.108 | 4.3 | 28907 | 14454 | 4.108 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2703 | 1351 | 4.092 | 4.2 | 25331 | 12665 | 4.092 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00005 | 2618 | 1309 | 4.077 | 4.2 | 24374 | 12187 | 4.077 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00006 | 2284 | 1142 | 4.063 | 4.2 | 21136 | 10568 | 4.063 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1977 | 988 | 4.051 | 4.1 | 18195 | 9097 | 4.051 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1649 | 824 | 3.969 | 4.1 | 14634 | 7317 | 3.969 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00009 | 1318 | 659 | 3.920 | 4.0 | 11442 | 5721 | 3.920 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.0001 | 1007 | 504 | 3.883 | 3.9 | 8601 | 4301 | 3.883 | 4.6 |
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| 0.00001 | 0.00002 | 3833 | 1917 | 4.046 | 4.4 | 35206 | 17603 | 4.046 | 5.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.00003 | 3369 | 1685 | 4.017 | 4.3 | 30552 | 15276 | 4.017 | 5.0 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2973 | 1487 | 3.996 | 4.3 | 26709 | 13355 | 3.996 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00005 | 2880 | 1440 | 3.980 | 4.3 | 25687 | 12843 | 3.980 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00006 | 2512 | 1256 | 3.965 | 4.2 | 22261 | 11131 | 3.965 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00007 | 2174 | 1087 | 3.951 | 4.2 | 19144 | 9572 | 3.951 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1813 | 907 | 3.939 | 4.1 | 15882 | 7941 | 3.939 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00009 | 1449 | 725 | 3.857 | 4.1 | 12231 | 6115 | 3.857 | 4.7 |
| 0.00005 | 0.00006 | 2764 | 1382 | 3.853 | 4.3 | 23278 | 11639 | 3.853 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00007 | 2392 | 1196 | 3.839 | 4.2 | 20015 | 10008 | 3.839 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1995 | 997 | 3.827 | 4.2 | 16602 | 8301 | 3.827 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00009 | 1594 | 797 | 3.745 | 4.1 | 12775 | 6388 | 3.745 | 4.7 |
| 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 1341 | 670 | 3.584 | 4.1 | 9952 | 4976 | 3.584 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.0007 | 261 | 131 | 3.470 | 3.4 | 1833 | 917 | 3.471 | 4.2 |
|  | 0.0008 | 226 | 113 | 3.453 | 3.4 | 1574 | 787 | 3.454 | 4.1 |

## V. CONSTRUCTION OF TABLE 1

The OC function of $\operatorname{SSQSVSS}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} ; k\right)$ is given by the equation (2) for as a specified $\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}, \alpha\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}, \beta\right)$.

$$
P_{a}(p)=\frac{P_{T}}{1-P_{T}+P_{N}}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}=\phi\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)=\mathrm{P}[(\mathbf{U}-\overline{\mathbf{X}}) / \sigma \geq \mathrm{k}]
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=\phi\left(\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\mathbf{P}[(\mathbf{U}-\overline{\mathbf{X}}) / \sigma \geq \mathbf{k}]
$$

Using iterative procedure equations, (3) and (4) are solved for given values of $p_{1}, p_{2}, m, \alpha$ and $\beta$ to get the values of $n_{N \sigma}$ and $k_{\sigma}$ for the specified pair of points, say $\left(p_{1}, \alpha\right)$ and $\left(p_{2}, \beta\right)$ on the OC curve. Here, the values of $m$ can be taken $m>1$ and find the desired parameters. In Table 1, provided, if $m=2$, the values of $n_{T \sigma,} n_{N \sigma}$ and $k_{\sigma}$ are constructed. A procedure for finding the parameters of unknown $\sigma$ - method plan from known $\sigma$-method plan with parameters $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{S}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{TS}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{Ns}}\right)$, are obtained by using computer search routine through $\mathrm{C}++$ programme. The sample size $\mathrm{n}_{\text {To }}$ equals $m n_{N \sigma}$ and $n_{T s}$ equals $m n_{N s}$, and hence only $n_{N \sigma} n_{N s}$ and $k$ are tabulated.

Table 1 provided the values of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \mathrm{\sigma},} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}, \mathrm{k}_{\sigma,}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts},} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ which satisfying the equations (3) and (4). The sigma $(\sigma)$ value is calculated using the process sigma calculator for given n and k for known $\sigma$ and unknown $\sigma$ methods.

## VI. CONCLUSION

Six Sigma Quick Switching Variable Sampling System has wide potential applications in industries to ensure a higher standard of quality attainment and increased customer satisfaction. Here, an attempt made to apply the concept of Quick Switching Variable Sampling System to propose a plan designated as Six Sigma Quick Switching Variable Sampling System of type SSQSVSS in which disposal of a lot on the basis of normal and tightened plan. The concept of this article may used for assistance to quality control engineers and plan designers in the further plan development, which were useful and tailor made for industrial shop-floor situations.
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