

Research and Reviews: Journal of Agriculture and Allied Sciences

Environmental Impact on Agriculture

Nagaiah V*

Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad

Review Article

Received date: 18-08-2016

Accepted date: 26-08-2016

Published date: 30-08-2016

*For Correspondence

Nagaiah V, Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad, Tel: 9959244816.

E-mail: naggdinakar@gmail.com

Keywords: Agriculture, Pollution, Pesticides, Climate change.

ABSTRACT

At the point when cultivating operations are economically overseen, they can save and reestablish basic territories, ensure watersheds, and enhance soil wellbeing and water quality. There is a developing pattern among ranchers to bolster welfare-accommodating and practical generation in animals cultivating, with milk created by cows that nibble in fields, unfenced meat and eggs, and natural produce. Pesticides are among Canada's most exceedingly managed items. They are completely investigated by Health Canada to guarantee they can be securely utilized. The combined effects of environmental change will eventually rely on upon changing worldwide economic situations and in addition reactions to neighborhood atmosphere stressors, including ranchers conforming planting designs in light of modified product yields and harvest species, seed makers putting resources into dry spell tolerant assortments, and countries limiting exchange to ensure nourishment security.

INTRODUCTION

At the point when cultivating operations are economically overseen, they can save and reestablish basic territories, ensure watersheds, and enhance soil wellbeing and water quality [1-8].

Yet, when rehearsed without consideration, cultivating presents the best risk to species and biological communities.

The late strengthening of farming, and the possibilities of future escalation, will have major hindering effects on the nonagricultural earthly and sea-going biological communities of the world [9-14]. The multiplying of rural nourishment creation amid the previous 35 years was connected with negatively impacted by Livestock issues, Pollution, Pesticides, Climate change, Sustainability.

LIVESTOCK ISSUES ON AGRICULTURE

Concentrated domesticated animals homesteads are developing. Their sizes vary, from a large number of pigs to a huge number of chickens. Expanding computerization empowers economies of scale. Numerous ranches have computerized bolstering frameworks and atmosphere control. PC frameworks that perceive singular creatures empower ranchers to tend to their creatures all the more productively [15-23].

There is a developing pattern among ranchers to bolster welfare-accommodating and practical generation in animals cultivating, with milk created by cows that nibble in fields, unfenced meat and eggs, and natural produce. Creatures are furnished with more space, open air keeps running with safe house and light in their lodging. These measures go past legitimate benchmarks for creature welfare.

Manageability in domesticated animals cultivating is advanced through the improvement of inventive lodging for dairy animals, pigs and chickens for occurrence [24,25]. New lodging offices for chickens have day and night

convenience, open air runs and space and offices to show common conduct. Different improvements are the solace class lodging and the family lodging intended for pigs.

POLLUTION EFFECTS ON AGRICULTURE

Farming products can be harmed when presented to high convergences of different air poisons. Harm ranges from unmistakable markings on the foliage, to decreased development and yield, to sudden passing of the plant [26]. The advancement and seriousness of the damage depends not just on the centralization of the specific poison, additionally on various different variables. These incorporate the length of introduction to the poison, the plant species and its phase of advancement and also the natural components helpful for a development of the toxin and to the preconditioning of the plant, which make it either defenseless or impervious to damage [27].

Air contamination damage to plants can be apparent in a few ways. Damage to foliage might be obvious in a brief timeframe and show up as necrotic sores (dead tissue), or it can grow gradually as a yellowing or chlorosis of the leaf. There might be a decrease in development of different bits of a plant [28-32]. Plants might be murdered out and out, yet they typically don't succumb until they have endured repetitive harm.

No single cause can be credited to the across the board rural contamination we confront today. Farming is a perplexing movement in which the development of yields and animals must be adjusted impeccably [33]. The procedure of farming contamination comes from the numerous stages their development experiences.

ROLE OF PESTICIDES

Compound harvest assurance items, otherwise called pesticides, help agriculturists control creepy crawlies, weeds, organisms and other conceivably destructive irritations. The term pesticide envelops an entire scope of items including bug sprays, fungicides, herbicides and rodenticides [34-39]. One of the greatest advantages of these items is that they are intended to control bugs that debilitate farming generation, which thusly affects our sustenance supply.

Pesticides are among Canada's most exceedingly managed items. They are completely investigated by Health Canada to guarantee they can be securely utilized [40].

Pesticides are squandered in situations where the rancher has little learning or tend to the unfavorable impacts of the pesticides. Without controls and requirements these pesticides can undoubtedly be spread more distant than their planned region [41-45]. This is particularly basic in creating nations. With abuse the pesticides can without much of a stretch be gotten by the water and washed into the streams as overflow.

Pesticides can defile soil, water, turf, and other vegetation. Notwithstanding executing creepy crawlies or weeds, pesticides can be poisonous to a large group of different life forms including feathered creatures, fish, useful bugs, and non-target plants. Bug sprays are by and large the most intensely dangerous class of pesticides, however herbicides can likewise posture dangers to non-target living beings [46-52].

CLIMATE CHANGE EFFECT ON AGRICULTURE

Farming and fisheries are very reliant on particular atmosphere conditions. Attempting to comprehend the general impact of environmental change on our sustenance supply can be troublesome [53]. Increments in temperature and carbon dioxide (CO₂) can be helpful for a few yields in a few spots. Be that as it may, to understand these advantages, supplement levels, soil dampness, water accessibility, and different conditions should likewise be met. Changes in the recurrence and seriousness of dry spells and surges could posture challenges for agriculturists and farmers. Then, hotter water temperatures are prone to bring about the living space scopes of numerous fish and shellfish species to move, which could disturb environments. Generally speaking, environmental change could make it more hard to develop crops, raise creatures, and catch fish in the same ways and same spots as we have done previously [54-61]. The impacts of environmental change likewise should be considered alongside other advancing components that influence horticultural creation, for example, changes in cultivating practices and innovation.

The combined effects of environmental change will eventually rely on upon changing worldwide economic situations and in addition reactions to neighborhood atmosphere stressors, including ranchers conforming planting designs in light of modified product yields and harvest species, seed makers putting resources into dry spell tolerant assortments, and countries limiting exchange to ensure nourishment security [62-66]. Versatile activities in the territories of utilization, creation, training, and research include seizing chances to stay away from financial harms and decrease in nourishment quality, minimize dangers postured by atmosphere stress, and now and again expand gainfulness [67-75].

Atmosphere models don't benefit an occupation of anticipating how amazing climate occasions may change under an unnatural weather change. For instance, models don't concur on whether the quantity of sea tempests in a hotter world would be pretty much than ebb and flow values, however researchers by and large feel that the

quality of the biggest storms will increment [76-89]. The length of the sea tempest season could likewise increment. Observational changes in the quantity of tornadoes every year we see now might be because of expansions in the quantity of individuals watching the skies and the development of urban zones as opposed to any strict atmosphere changes [90-98]. It is not clear if watched changes in great climate occasions we see now are a piece of long normal cycles, or on the off chance that they are in light of environmental change. Regardless, these occasions can be impeding to product development [99,100].

CONCLUSION

The unintended environmental consequences of escalated agrarian practices and inputs are differed and conceivably serious. Now and again, managing or expanding agrarian profitability relies on diminishing effects to nature, for example, keeping up beneficial soils by maintaining a strategic distance from salinization from watering system water. In different cases, be that as it may, killing negative natural effects may include unsatisfactory exchange offs with giving nourishment and practical vocations, or other improvement objectives. Deciding the suitable parity of expenses and advantages from serious agrarian practices is an area particular activity requiring information about, and a valuation of, normal, monetary, and social conditions.

REFERENCES

1. El-Darier SM, et al. Detoxification of Olive-mill Solid Waste and its Probable Application as Organic Fertilizer. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:154.
2. Xiccato G, et al. Effect of dietary energy level, addition of fat and physiological state on performance and energy balance of lactating and pregnant rabbit does. *Anim Sci*. 1995;16:387-398.
3. Xiccato G, et al. Reproductive rhythm and litter weaning age as they affect rabbit doe performance and body energy balance. *Anim Sci*. 2005;81:289-296.
4. Kumar S and Singh A. Biopesticides: Present Status and the Future Prospects. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:e129.
5. Gebremedhin GH, et al. Effect of Biochar on Yield and Yield Components of Wheat and Post-harvest Soil Properties in Tigray, Ethiopia. *J Fertil Pestic*. 2015;6:158.
6. Yuan J. Research Advances on the Chemical Constituents of Lycoris and Their Extraction and Detection Methods. *Journal of Anhui Agri Sci*. 2010;38:684-692.
7. Cai J et al. A Study on the Effects of Different Fertilization Treatments on the Reproductive Capacity and Bulb Quality of Lycoris radiata. *Acta Agriculturae Universitatis Jiangxiensis*. 2009;31:911-915.
8. Kundan R, et al. Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria: Mechanism and Current Prospective. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:155.
9. Kumar S. Biopesticide: An Environment Friendly Pest Management Strategy. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:e127.
10. Devarinti SR. Pollen Allergy: Common Weeds in Telangana and Their Management Measures. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:152.
11. Gagné-Bourque F, et al. Pea protein Alginate Encapsulated Bacillus subtilis B26, a Plant Biostimulant, Provides Controlled Release and Increase Storage Survival. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:157.
12. Raja N and Masresha G. Plant Based Biopesticides: Safer Alternative for Organic Food Production. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:e128.
13. SAS. Statistical Analyse System. SAS Procedure Guide. Version 6.12 Edition. SAS Institute, INC. Cary, NC, USA. Inc, Cary, NC, 1996;USA.
14. Taranto SC, et al. Influence of age at weaning on caecal content characteristics and post-weaning performance and health of rabbits. *Asian Aust J Anim Sci*. 2003;16:1540-1544.
15. Sane SA and Mehta SK. Isolation and Evaluation of Rock Phosphate Solubilizing Fungi as Potential Bio-fertilizer. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:156.
16. Brar SK. Industrial Production of Bacillus Thuringiensis Based Bio-Insecticide: Which Way Forward?. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:e126.
17. Sreerag and Jayaprakas. Management of Two Major Sucking Pests Using Neem Oil Formulation. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:147.
18. Prasad, Hareesh, D'souza, Manjunath, Jayarama (2015) Evaluation of Bio-inoculants Enriched Marginal Soils as Potting Mixture in Coffee Nursery. *J Biofertil Biopestici* 6:148.
19. Jhala YK, et al. Biodiversity of Endorhizospheric Plant Growth Promoting Bacteria. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:151.
20. Navaneetha T, et al. Liquid Formulation of Trichoderma Species for Management of Gray Mold in Castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) and Alternaria Leaf Blight in Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:149.

21. Bindhu VR, et al. Mortality Effects of Some Medicinal Plants on the Pulse Beetle *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015;6:150.
22. Baffi C, et al. A Chemical-Physical Procedure to Reduce Levels of Potentially Toxic Elements (PTEs) in Municipal Sewage Sludges. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2015; 6:153.
23. Pandiarajan G, et al. Exploration of Different *Azospirillum* Strains from Various Crop Soils of Srivilliputtur Taluk. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2012;3:117.
24. Machiavelli and Khurana SMP. An Inoculating Potential of Phosphate-Solubilising Microbes as Biofertilizers. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2013;4:e110.
25. Rego OA, et al. The effects of supplementation with sunflower oils on the fatty acid profile of milk fat from grazing cows. *Anim Res*. 2005;54:17-24.
26. Rommers JM, et al. Effect of body weight and age at first insemination on performances during subsequent reproduction in rabbit does. *Reprod Nutr Develop*. 2004;44:321-332.
27. da Silva CV, et al. Toxicity and Residual Activity of a Commercial Formulation of Oil from Neem, *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss. (Meliaceae), in the Embryonic Development of *Diatraea saccharalis* F. (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2013;4:131.
28. Al-shannaf HM, et al. Toxic and Biochemical Effects of Some Bioinsecticides and Igrs on American Bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (hüb.) (noctuidae: lepidoptera) in Cotton Fields. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2012;3:118.
29. Maertens L, et al. Milk yield and milk composition of 2 commercial hybrids and a selected strain fed a high- energy lactation diet. *Proc. 18th Hungarian Conference on Rabbit Production, Kaposvar*. 2006;35-41.
30. Mohamed MMA and Szendrői Z. Studies on nursing and milk production of does and milk Intake and suckling behaviour of their kits. In *Proc. 5th World Rabbit Congress, Oregon*. 1992;708-716.
31. Densilin DM, et al. Effect of Individual and Combined Application of Biofertilizers, Inorganic Fertilizer and Vermicompost on the Biochemical Constituents of Chilli (Ns - 1701). *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2011;2:106.
32. Begum N, et al. Toxicity Potential and Anti AchE Activity of Some Plant Extracts in *Musca Domestica*. *J Biofertil Biopestici*. 2011;2:108.
33. Ahmad L, et al. Antifungal Potential of Plant Extracts against Seed-borne Fungi Isolated from Barley Seeds (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *J Plant Pathol Microbiol*. 2016;7:350.
34. Xiccato G, et al.. Effect of dietary energy level, addition of fat and physiological state on performance and energy balance of lactating and pregnant rabbit does. *Anim Sci*. 1995;16: 387-398.
35. Xiccato G, et al. Reproductive rhythm and litter weaning age as they affect rabbit doe performance and body energy balance. *Anim Sci*. 2005;81:289-296.
36. Abdallah RAB, et al. Soil-borne and Compost-borne *Aspergillus* Species for Biologically Controlling Post-harvest Diseases of Potatoes Incited by *Fusarium sambucinum* and *Phytophthora erythroseptica*. *J Plant Pathol Microbiol*. 2015;6:313.
37. Tomar M and Raj H. Use of Native Local Bio Resources and Cow Urine for the Effective Management of Post-harvest Diseases of Apples in Northwest Himalayan States of India. *J Plant Pathol Microbiol*. 2015;6:316.
38. Rocha-Castro A, et al. Traditional and Molecular Studies of the Plant Pathogen *Phytophthora capsici*: A Review. *J Plant Pathol Microb*. 2014;5:245.
39. Abdallah RAB, et al. Isolation of Endophytic Bacteria from *Withania somnifera* and Assessment of their Ability to Suppress *Fusarium* Wilt Disease in Tomato and to Promote Plant Growth. *J Plant Pathol Microbiol*. 2016;7:352.
40. Kaur C, et al. Characterization of a new isolate of Bean yellow mosaic virus Group-IV Associated with Mosaic disease of *Gladiolus* in India. *J Plant Pathol Microb*. 2015;6:309.
41. Darragh AJ and Moughan PJ. The composition of colostrums and milk In: Verstegen MWA, Moughan PJ, Schrama JW (eds), *The lactating sow*, WageningenPers, The Netherlands, 1988. Pp: 3-21.
42. Lebas F. Influence de la taille de la porta et de la production laitière sur la quantité de lait disponible pour l'alimentation de la lapine allaitante. *Reprod Nutr Develop*. 1987;27:207-208.
43. Selim ME. Effectiveness of *Trichoderma* Biotic Applications in Regulating the Related Defense Genes Affecting Tomato Early Blight Disease. *Essential Oil*. *J Plant Pathol Microb*. 2015;6:311.
44. Bahadar K, et al. Management of *Bipolaris sorokiniana* the Causal Pathogen of Spot Blotch of Wheat by *Eucalyptus* Extracts. *J Plant Pathol Microbiol*. 2016;7:326.
45. Geat N, et al. Effect of Non-conventional Chemicals and Synthetic Fungicide on Biochemical Characteristics of Chilli against Fruit Rot Pathogen *Colletotrichum capsici*. *J Plant Pathol Microbiol*. 2016;7:328.
46. Navi SS and Yang XB. Impact of Crop Residue and Corn-soybean Rotation on the Survival of *Fusarium virguliforme* a Causal Agent of Sudden Death Syndrome of Soybean. *J Plant Pathol Microbiol*. 2016;7:330.

47. Abdulmalik M. Rabbit production. In Okayeto PO, Ndubusi AH, AE Okeh. Advanced Animal Husbandry practice for subject Matter Specialist in the ADPs Training Manuals for FACU/NAPRI, Workshop, Zaria.
48. Ab-Elezz Z, et al. Effect of litter size and mating cycles on lactation in rabbits. *Alexandria J Agric Res.* 1981;29:75-82.
49. Al-Sobayil KA, et al. Heritabilities and genetic analysis of milk yield and components in crossing project of Saudi rabbits with Spanish V-line. *Livestock Research for Rural Development.* 2005;17.
50. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis (15th Ed.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC 1990.
51. Adewumi IO, et al. Genetic Algorithm: A Veritable Tool for Solving Agricultural Extension Agents Travelling Problem. *Agrotechnol.* 2015;5:138.
52. Soumia D, et al. Assessment of the Tolerance Level of Three Olive Intercroppings (Tomato, Eggplant and Pepper) vis-à-vis *Verticillium dahliae* Klebahn, at Seed and Cutting Stage. *J Plant Pathol Microb.* 2014;5:219.
53. Morante MC. First Report of *Passalora concors* (Casp.) Causing *Cercospora* Leaf Blotch in the Andean Region of Cochabamba, Bolivia. *J Plant Pathol Microb.* 2014;5:221.
54. Rivera JD, et al. Effects of Polymicrobial Bioinoculant on Yield, Quality and In situ Digestibility of Sorghum Sudangrass in South Mississippi. *Agrotechnol.* 2015;5:136.
55. Ul-Haq S, et al. Antifungal Properties of Phytoextracts of Certain Medicinal Plants Against Leaf Spot Disease of Mulberry, *Morus* spp. *J Plant Pathol Microb.* 2014;5:224.
56. Zhang H, et al. The Effects of DNA Methylation on the Expression of Non-imprinted Genes in Rice. *J Rice Res.* 2016;4:168.
57. Liu W. Light Environmental Management for Artificial Protected Horticulture. *Agrotechnol.* 2012;1:101.
58. Islam A, et al. Development of Component Lines (CMS, Maintainer and Restorer lines) and their Maintenance Using Diversed Cytosources of Rice. *J Rice Res.* 2015;3:140.
59. Lukefahr S, et al. Characterization of straight bred and crossbred rabbits for milk production and associative traits. *J Anim Sci.* 1983;57:1100-1107.
60. Maertens L, et al. Nutrient Value of raw Materials for Rabbits Egran Table 2002. *World Rabbit Sci.* 2003;10:157-166.
61. Vanilarasu K and Balakrishnamurthy G. Effect of Organic Manures and Amendments on Quality Attributes and Shelf Life of Banana cv. Grand Naine. *Agrotechnol.* 2014;3:119.
62. Nagaharshitha D, et al. Effect of Bagging on Chemical Properties of Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Alphonso. *Agrotechnol.* 2014;3:124.
63. Ferrari B, et al. Rc Gene Sequence and Expression Evaluation in a Red-Kernel Rice Genotype". *J Rice Res.* 2015;3:146.
64. Maiti D. Improving Phosphorus Nutrition of Upland Rice through Native Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (AM). *J Rice Res.* 2015;3:e115.
65. Ismaila U, et al. Influence of water depth and seedling rate on the performance of late season lowland rice (*Oryza sativa* L) in a Southern Guinea Savanna ecology of Nigeria. *J Rice Res.* 2014;2:122.
66. Ketata H, et al. Determination of epistatic, additive and dominance variation in winter wheat (*Triticumaestivum*L.) *Crop Sci.* 1976;16:1-4.
67. Dofing SM and Knight CW. Alternative model for path analysis of small-grain yield. *Crop Sci.* 1992;32:487-489.
68. Riaz R. Genetic Analysis for Yield and Yield Components in Spring Wheat under Drought Conditions. University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan. 2003.
69. Bhouiyan NA, et al. Inventory of Ichthyofaunal Diversity, Fishing Gear and Craft in Turag River, Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Fish Aquac.* 2016;7:165.
70. Thiaw M, et al. Shift of Small Scale Fishing Impacts on Fish Trophic Levels in Lake Iro Revealed by Species-based Indicators. *Fish Aquac.* 2016;7:167.
71. Bergmann SM, et al. Is There Any Species Specificity in Infections with Aquatic Animal Herpesviruses?– The Koi Herpesvirus (KHV): An Alloherpesvirus Model. *Fish Aquac J.* 2016;7:169.
72. Petihakis G, et al. A Tool for Ecosystem-Based Management Applied to Pagasitikos Gulf. *Fish Aquac J.* 2014;5:092.
73. Hu Y, et al. An Online Survey Study of Consumer Preferences on Aquatic Products in China: Current Seafood Consumption Patterns and Trends. *Fish Aquac J.* 2014;5:094.
74. Biobaku WO. Effects of feeding raw and cooked *delonixragia* seed bean on the performance of Rabbits. *J Agric Technol.* 1998;4:10-14.
75. Casado C, et al. Modelling the lactation curve of rabbit does: Towards a model including fit suitability and biological interpretation. *Livestock Prod Sci.* 2006;99:39-49.
76. Cheeke PR, et al. Rabbit Production. Interstate Printers & Publishers, Inc., Danville, Illinois. 1987.
77. Chrastinova L, et al. Avotan exploitation in rabbit nutrition. II. Nutrient digestibility and lactation performance of does rabbit. *J Farm Anim Sci.* 1997;30:80-86.

78. Ademe A, et al. In Vitro and In Vivo Activity of Selected Plant Extracts against Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) Anthracnose (*Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*). *J Horticulture*. 2014;1:104.
79. Suzuki S, et al. Differential Growth Responses of Rice Cultivars to an Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungus, *Funneliformis mosseae*. *J Horticulture*. 2015;2:142.
80. Ramanarivo R. The Ethical Based on the Progressive, Humanistic and Ecologic Vision. *J Horticulture*. 2015;2:e103.
81. Zhou X, et al. Optimization of Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis Analysis of Chinese Cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L. Ssp. *Pekinensis*) Buds. *J Horticulture*. 2015;2:146.
82. Zhu J. Advances in Pesticide Use in the Cocoa Belts and Perceptions of Vegetable Farmers. *J Horticulture*. 2015;2:149.
83. Rehman HU and Gill MIS. Micrografting of Fruit Crops-A Review. *J Horticulture*. 2015;2:151.
84. ChandraSekhar R, et al. Effect of Harvesting at Different Heights on Growth and Flower Yield of Carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) in Second Season Crop. *J Horticulture*. 2013;1:101.
85. Tang J. An Analysis of the Market Prospect of Galantamine. *China Pharmacy*. 2006;17:1690-1696.
86. Yang Z. Variation In Phenotypic Characteristics and Difference In Phenological Phases of Different Wild Populations of *Lycoris radiata*. *Chin J Appl Environ Biol*. 2010;16:369-375.
87. Zhao T. The Headway of Research in *Lycoris*. *Northern Horticulture*. 2008;4:65-69.
88. Zhao T. Study on the Growth Habit of *Lycoris*. *Heilongjiang Agricultural Sciences*. 2008;5: 89-91.
89. Quan Mh. A New Species of *Lycoris* (*Amaryllidaceae*) From Hunan, China. *A Journal For Botanical Nomenclature*. 2013;22:307-310.
90. Wang H. Research Progress of Alkaloids from *Lycoris*. *Natural Product Research & Development*. 2012;24:691-697.
91. Jiang Y. A Review on Plant Resources of *Lycoris* and Their Landscaping Application. *Subtropical Plant Science*. 2009;38:79-82.
92. Xiang Z. Research Progress of *Lycoris* Herb Plant. *Journal of Anhui Agri Sci*. 2010;38:1460-1467.
93. Xie K. Advance on Introduction and Breeding of Genus *Lycoris* and Its Rapid Propagation. *Resource Development & Market*. 2010;26:242-245.
94. Quan MH. Photosynthetic Characteristics of *Lycoris aurea* and Monthly Dynamics of Alkaloids Contents in its Bulbs. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2012;11:3686-3961.
95. Zhang CX. A Complex Cultivation Pattern of the Poplar and *Lycoris* Cultivation Method, Patent: Cn. 2014; 103782772a.
96. Liu H et al. The Technique of Galanthamine Hydro-Bromide Production. *Chemical Production and Technology*. 2007;14:2-34,47.
97. Cai JL et al. Advance In Developmental Biology and Cultivation Techniques of *Lycoris* Herbs. *Jiangxi Forestry Science & Technology*. 2009;5:21-24.
98. Gupta AK, et al. Association and factor analysis in spring wheat. *Ann Agri Res*. 1999; 20:481-485.
99. Larik AS. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield components in mutants of *Triticum aestivum*. *Wheat Information Service*. 1979;50:36-40.
100. Kumbhar MB, et al. Correlation, multiple correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield components in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Wheat Information Service*. 1981;53:30-35.