

# Maximum Leftover Energy-Distance routing protocol (MLE-LD) using absolute distance and Euclidean distance in WSNs

Mrs. Sweet Subhashree<sup>1</sup>, Mr. Neelamani Samal<sup>2</sup>

Asst. Prof., Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering, Bhubaneswar Engineering College, Bhubaneshwar, India<sup>1</sup> Asst. Prof., Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering, Gandhi Institute For Education and Technology,

Bhubaneshwar, India<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT**: WSN consists of a large number of sensors nodes which senses data from surrounding environment and gathers data collectively and send to aggregator which are called as clusters. As sensor nodes are deployed in sensing field, they can help people to monitor and aggregate data by consuming some energy through the process. Researchers also try to find more efficient ways of utilizing limited energy of sensor node in order to give longer life time of WSN. Network lifetime, scalability, and load balancing are important requirements for many data gathering sensor network applications. That is why many protocols are introduced for better performance and less consumption of energy. The efficient node-energy utilization is one of important performance factors in wireless sensor networks but besides this reliability is also an issue. In this paper, we proposed a cluster based routing algorithm to ensure high reliability such that the network life time will enhance and energy consumption will decrease and thereby giving better performance measure.

Keywords: wireless sensor networks, LEACH, cluster-based routing, cluster formation.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensor network (WSN) consists of hundreds and even thousands of small tiny devices called sensor nodes distributed autonomously to monitor physical or environmental conditions, such as temperature, sound, vibration, pressure and motion at different locations. Energy plays an important role in wireless sensor networks because nodes are battery operated. Consequently many protocols have been proposed in order to minimize the energy consumption of these nodes. Each node in a sensor network is typically equipped with one or more sensors, a radio transceiver or other wireless communications device, a small microcontroller, and an energy source, since in most Wireless sensor network applications the energy of each node is an important goal that must be considered when developing a routing protocol for wireless sensor networks. A wireless sensor network is typically made of many sensor nodes used to detect accuracy and scalability of sensing areas. In such a large scale networking environment, one of the most important networking factors is the self-organizing capability for adaptation to dynamic situation changes and interoperating capability between sensor nodes [1]. Many studies have shown that there are a variety of sensors used for gathering sensing information and efficiently transferring the information to the sink nodes. Sensor networks need protocols, which are specific, data centric, capable of aggregating data and optimizing energy consumption. An ideal sensor network should have the following additional features:

- Attribute based addressing is typically employed in sensor networks. The attribute-based addresses are composed of a series of attribute-value pairs, which specify certain physical parameters to be sensed.
- Location awareness is another important issue. Since most data collection is based on location, it is desirable that the nodes know their position whenever needed. The major issues stemming from these studies are protocol design in regards to battery energy efficiency, localization scheme, synchronization, data aggregation and security technologies for wireless sensor networks. In particular, researchers have shown great interest in the routing protocols in the network layer, which considers self organization capabilities, limited battery power, and data aggregation schemes[2][3]. The routing protocol of sensor networks is typically partitioned into two sub routings: (1) flat routing protocol and (2) hierarchical routing protocol. The sensor node performs a data aggregation process to avoid duplicated data transfers. Such a sequence of processes favours the hierarchical routing protocol based upon clusters due to the fact that efficient selection of cluster heads can reduce the usage of consumption power and maximize the life time of the networks.



# A. Cluster based routing:

The basic objective on any routing protocol is to make the network useful and efficient. A cluster based routing protocol group's sensor nodes where each group of nodes has a CH or a gateway. Sensed data is sent to the CH rather than send it to the BS; CH performs some aggregation function on data it receives then sends it to the BS where these data is needed. A number of routing protocols have been proposed for WSN. However, few of them are cluster based. Two of the most well known hierarchical protocols are LEACH, PAMAS and PEGASIS [6]. Both of these show significant reduction in the overall network energy over other non-clustering protocol. Hierarchical routing protocols designed to reduce energy consumption by localizing communication within the cluster and aggregate data to reduce transmissions to the BS.

#### **B.** Clustering objectives:

There are different objectives of the clustering algorithms. The clustering objective is often established to fulfil applications requirements such as low data latency or data location awareness. Some of the popular objectives are discussed.

## C. Load balancing:

The distribution of sensors among clusters in an evenly manner is a common goal where cluster heads perform data processing or a significant amount of tasks. Load balancing is a more pressing issue in WSNs where cluster heads are chosen from available sensor nodes, since it becomes crucial to avoid the exhaustion of cluster heads prematurely.

## **D.** Fault-tolerance:

Tolerating the failure of cluster heads is usually necessary in applications where WSN are operating in harsh environments in order to avoid the loss of important Initialization algorithms for wireless ad-hoc networks sensor's data. Assigning backup cluster heads is the most notable scheme pursued in the literature for recovery from a cluster head failure. Rotating the role of cluster heads among nodes in the cluster can also be a means for fault-tolerance besides to their load balancing advantage.



#### Fig.1 A Sensor Network System

Fig. 2 A cluster based architecture

# 3. Increased Connectivity and Reduced Delay

In WSNs, which the cluster heads are picked from sensor nodes, limiting the range of connectivity and enhancing intercluster heads connectivity may be more suitable than long-haul connections. On the other hand, when data latency is a concern, intra cluster connectivity becomes a design objective or constraint.

#### A. Minimal cluster count

This is a common objective when cluster heads are specialized resource-rich nodes. In these cases their deployment is more difficult or tends to be more expensive and vulnerable than sensors.

Copyright to IJIRCCE



#### **B.** Maximal network longevity

Since sensor nodes are constraint, the network's lifetime is a major concern especially for applications of WSNs placed in harsh environments. Adaptive clustering is a viable choice in order to achieve more network longevity.

#### II. RELATED WORK

There have been many studies presented for configuration and operation algorithms of a cluster based network topology in ad hoc networks. Advantages to a clustering network are the reduction of an overhead of routing establishment, minimization of the size of the routing table, and stabilization of the network topology. The clustering network can make resource management and bandwidth allocation more efficient and make node positioning management and transmitting power management possible. In the clustering algorithm, all nodes in a sensor network can become a cluster head but must belong to only one cluster. The algorithm should minimize the overhead of clustering setup messages and establishing times. Additionally, the algorithm must maintain a stable network configuration, routing, network efficiency, with a minimization of energy consumption [4].Many clustering algorithms have been proposed, most of which are based upon node identifier, node connectivity, and node weights. Some of better-known cluster based hierarchical routing protocol are LEACH, LEACH-Centralized, E-LEACH, TL-LEACH, M-LEACH and PEGASIS (Power Efficiency Gathering in Sensor Information Systems).

# A. LEACH

LEACH [5] is a clustering routing protocol in which a cluster head collects data from sensor nodes belonging to the cluster and sends the data to the sink node after data aggregation process. To make all sensor nodes in this network consume their node energy equally and extend the life time of the network, this algorithm randomly changes the cluster head, which in turn uses more energy than any other node belong to the cluster, every time period. To reduce overall communication costs, the cluster head performs data aggregation and then send the data to the sink node. The cluster head is determined by the following function :-

Where P is the desired percentage of cluster heads, r is the current round number; G is the set of nodes that have not been cluster-heads in the last 1/P rounds. A round consists of two phases; a set-up phase and a steady state phase. The former is a stage for configuration of a cluster head and a cluster, and the latter is a stage for data transfer by the TDMA schedule. When a new round starts, each sensor node generates a random number in the range of 0 and 1, computes a threshold value by using equation (1), and compares the two numbers. If the generated number is smaller than the threshold value, the node is nominated as a cluster head; otherwise it neglects the number and remains a plain node. The nominated cluster head broadcasts advertisement messages over neighbour nodes. The neighbour node that receives the advertisement messages selects one of broadcasting nodes that transmits the strongest broadcasting signal as its head cluster node, and sends a "Join-REQ" message to the head cluster. After receiving the "Join- REQ" message, the head cluster registers the node onto its own member node table. The cluster head makes a TDMA schedule for data transfer within the cluster network and broadcasts the schedule to its member nodes. It is at this point that the setup phase to select a cluster head has completed. In the next steady state phase, each node in a cluster network sends information data to its cluster head by the TDMA schedule. The cluster head send the aggregated data to the sink node, called its base station. To reduce the overhead of the cluster head selection once a cluster head has been selected; many rounds of data frame transfer are performed followed by a repeat of the cluster reconfiguration procedure. Since LEACH uses a probability in selection of cluster heads, its advantage is that all nodes have a chance to becoming a cluster head. But the stochastic process brings unbalance of node energy consumption which ultimately shortens the life time of the sensor network

#### **B. LEACH-C (LEACH – Centralized)**

As previously mentioned, the disadvantage to LEACH is that the number of cluster head nodes is little ambiguous to count. LEACH-C [6] has been proposed to clarify this problem. LEACH-C provides an efficient clustering configuration algorithm, in which an optimum cluster head is selected with minimization of data transmission energy between a cluster head and other nodes in a cluster. In LEACH- C, the base station receives information about residual node energy and node positions at the set up phase of each round. The received data can compute an average residual energy for all nodes. The nodes with less than average energy are excluded in selection of cluster heads. Among the nodes that have more than average energy, cluster heads are selected with use of the simulated annealing algorithm. The base station sends all nodes a message of the optimum cluster head IDs (Identifiers). The node, the ID of which is the same as the optimum cluster head ID, is nominated as a cluster head and prepares a TDMA schedule for data transfer. Other nodes wait for the TDMA schedule from their cluster heads.

#### C. E-LEACH Protocol

Energy-LEACH protocol improves the CH selection procedure. It makes residual energy of node as the main metric which decides whether the nodes turn into CH or not after the first round [10]. Same as LEACH protocol, E-LEACH is Copyright to IJIRCCE <u>www.ijircce.com</u> 552



divided into rounds, in the first round, every node has the same probability to turn into CH, that mean nodes are randomly selected as CHs, in the next rounds, the residual energy of each node is different after one round communication and taken into account for the selection of the CHs. That mean nodes have more energy will become a CHs rather than nodes with less energy.

#### D. TL-LEACH

In LEACH protocol, the CH collects and aggregates data from sensors in its own cluster and passes the information to the BS directly. CH might be located far away from the BS, so it uses most of its energy for transmitting and because it is always on it will die faster than other nodes. A new version of LEACH called Two-level Leach was proposed. In this protocol; CH collects data from other cluster members as original LEACH, but rather than transfer data to the BS directly, it uses one of the CHs that lies between the CH and the BS as a relay station [8].

#### E. M-LEACH protocol

In LEACH, Each CH directly communicates with BS no matter the distance between CH and BS. It will consume lot of its energy if the distance is far. On the other hand, Multihop-LEACH protocol selects optimal path between the CH and the BS through other CHs and use these CHs as a relay station to transmit data over through them [9]. First, multi-hop communication is adopted among CHs. Then, according to the selected optimal path, these CHs transmit data to the corresponding CH which is nearest to BS. Finally, this CH sends data to BS. M-LEACH protocol is almost the same as LEACH protocol, only makes communication mode from single hop to multi-hop between CHs and BS.

## F. PEGASIS

The chain formation in PEGASIS[6][7] leads to a high latency as all the data has to pass through the chain to reach the base station, if the farthest or the first node of the chain has important information which has to be passed immediately will have to travel through the entire chain. It can easily add the newly deployed nodes in the chain as it is not a fixed transmitting path. If it finds a new node which saves much more energy it adds it during the chain formation. It has high energy awareness due to formation of chain structure to reach the base station which is much more energy conserving that cluster formation in LEACH. A low overhead is seen on the network as there are no other nodes which transmit other than all nodes that form the chain and only one node is responsible which is near to the destination or the sink to transmit. The quality of service factor is low as there is a delay in the data transmission and no processing capabilities, all nodes fuse some data with the data packet while forwarding to other nodes in the chain. Network instability like a node failure or link failure or power failure can cause loss of data.

#### III. PROPOSED WORK

In the following, we will describe the deployment and method of the protocol. According to the above mentioned routing protocols, the network assumptions can be initiated as follows [4, 5, and 6].

1. Each node or sink has ability to transmit message to any other node and sink directly.

- 2. Each sensor node has radio power control node can tune the magnitude according to the transmission distance.
- 3. Each sensor node has the same initial power in WSNs.
- 4. Each sensor node has location information.
- 5. Every sensor nodes are fixed after they were deployed.
- 6. WSNs would not be maintained by humans.
- 7. Every sensor nodes have the same process and communication ability in WSNs, and they play the same role.

8. Wireless sensor nodes are deployed densely and randomly in sensor field.

- We call our proposed model as **MLE-LD** (**Maximal Leftover Energy- Least Distance**) protocol. Data is aggregated in an energy efficient manner by systematic selection of cluster head
- CH is selected based on maximum residual energy after every round of data aggregation
- If maximum residual energy is same for multiple nodes then least distance from CH of previous round is elected the new CH

The algorithm is subdivided into three parts with **SELECT-CH**, **BUILD-CLUSTER and AGGREGATE-DATA**. These three algorithms are described below.

#### SELECT CH

for round = 1
Select CH based on the center of cluster
for round > 1

Copyright to IJIRCCE



- Select CH based on optimal resultant energy
- If energy level is same for more than 1 node then least distance from CH of previous round is elected the new CH

#### **BUILD – CLUSTER**

#### If node x = CH

- Announce CH status in network
- Wait for join-req message
- Create TDMA schedule and send to cluster members

else

- Wait for CH announcements
- Send join-req message to chosen CH
- Wait for schedule from CH t=0

#### AGGREGATE – DATA

- After CH selection cluster members send data to respective CH
- Data gathered by CH is sent to sink
- This completes 1 round of data aggregation



Fig. 3 MLE-LD protocol simulation in MATLAB

#### **ENTIRE OPERATION**

The first phase is to select the cluster head and then cluster formation takes place and finally data collected by the cluster heads are aggregated and sent to the base station from where the users can access the required data. For the first round the cluster head is selected based on the center of cluster criteria and for the remaining rounds based on the residual energy criterion selection of Cluster head is done. After a suitable cluster head is chosen a user defined number of clusters are constructed based on the selection of cluster heads. The Cluster head broadcasts the **join cluster msg** in the network the nodes that receive high signal strength from the cluster head receives the **ACK message** from its neighbor nodes , it assigns the node a time slot to transmit data based on **TDMA schedule**. Then finally data aggregated it is sent to the base station functions. After the data is aggregated it is sent to the base station where the user can access the information as per their requirements. The entire set up is performed taking two different categories of distance measurements. First the protocol is simulated based on Euclidean Distance among the sensor nodes and secondly it is done based on Absolute Distance.

#### IV. RESULTS

Our Protocol was simulated for 1400 rounds with 300 nodes in the network. The simulation environment is shown in figure 3. Initial energy provided to the sensor nodes is 0.5kj each. When the entire network is executed in MATLAB environment it is observed that our protocol shows good performance since for the first 300 rounds no nodes are dead and at the end of 1250 rounds almost all nodes loses energy which proves that our proposed protocol **MLE-LD** is energy efficient and significantly enhances the network lifetime. It is also done on Euclidean distance as well as Absolute distance and it is observed that the protocol performs better in Absolute distance scenario thereby enhancing



its network lifetime. The network lifetime graph is shown in the figure below with X-axis labeled with round number and Y-axis labeled with Number of sensor nodes



Fig. 4 Network lifetime graph

Fig. 5 Network lifetime graph with Euclidean





Fig. 6 Network lifetime graph with Absolute distance

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this work, we proposed a cluster based routing protocol that considers the residual energy of sensor nodes to extend the lifetime of sensor networks. Our proposed method takes into account the optimal resultant energy of the sensing nodes as a suitable energy retention criterion which makes this scheme more fault tolerant such that a cluster lifetime also is enhanced and is not dependent on a single cluster head.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Akyildiz, I. F., W. Su, Sankarasubramaniam, Y., and Cayirci, E., "A survey on sensor networks," IEEE Communications Magazine, Vol. 40, Issue 8, pp. 102-114, Aug. 2002.
- [2] "Wireless Sensor Networks, " in the Elsevier Ad Hoc Network Journal, Vol 3/3, pp. 325-349, 2005.
  [3] Jiang, Q., and Manivannan, D. "Routing protocols for sensor networks," Proceedings of CCNC 2004, pp.93-98, Jan. 2004.
- [4] Ibriq, J., and Mahgoub, I. "Cluster-Based Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks: Issues and Challenges", Proceedings of The Symposium on Performance Evaluation of Computer Telecommunication Systems, pp.759-766, Jul. 2004.
  [5] Heinzelman, W., Chandrakasan, A. and Balakrishnan, H. "Energy-Efficient Communication Protocol for Wireless Microsensor Networks",

Proc. Hawaii Conf. System Sciences, Jan. 2000.

- [6] Lindsey, S., Raghavendra, C. and Sivalingam, K. M. "Data Gathering Algorithms in Sensor Networks Using Energy Metrics", I IEEE Transactions On Parallel and Distributed Systems, Vol . 13, No. 9, September 2002.
- [7] Lindsey, S., Raghavendra, C., "Pegasis: Power-Efficient gathering in sensor information systems", In: Proc. of the IEEE Aerospace Conference, Pp.1-6. 2002.
- [8] Morabito, V. G. And Marano, S., "A Two-Levels Hierarchy for Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy".
- [9] Dissertation, Zhou, H., Jiang, Z., and Xiaoyan, M. "Study and Design on Cluster Routing Protocols of Wireless Sensor Networks", 2006.
- [10] Xiangning, F., Yulin, S., "Improvement on LEACH Protocol of Wireless Sensor Network", 2007.



# BIOGRAPHY



Mrs. Sweet Subhashree received the Bachelor of Computer Science and Engineering degree from Trident Academy of Technology, Bhubaneswar, India. She received Master of Technology from Gandhi Engineering College, Bhubaneswar, India. She worked as a lecturer in Gandhi Institute for Education and Technology Bhubaneswar, India from August 2009 to August 2011. She has been working as a senior lecturer in Bhubaneswar Engineering College, Bhubaneswar, India since 2011. She is having more than 3 years of teaching and research experience. Her research areas include computer networking, wireless sensor networks, compiler design, Data structures, Software Engineering.



Neelamani Samal received the Bachelor of Computer Science and Engineering degree from Jagannath Institute for Technology & Management, Parlakhemundi , India. He received the Master of Technology degree in Information Technology from the College Of Engineering & Technology, Bhubaneswar, India. Since February 2010, he has been a Faculty with the Gandhi Institute For Education And Technology, Bhubaneshwar, India. He is having around 03 years of teaching and research experience. His research interests include Operating System, Data structures, Software Engineering and distributed systems.