

On Nano Generalised Pre Closed Sets and Nano Pre Generalised Closed Sets in Nano Topological Spaces

K.Bhuvaneswari¹, K.Mythili Gnanapriya²

Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Mother Teresa Women's University, Kodaikanal, Tamilnadu, India¹

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Karpagam University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.²

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this paper is to define and study a new class of sets called Nanogeneralised pre closed sets and Nanopre generalised closed sets in Nano topological spaces. Basic properties of Nanogeneralised pre closed sets and Nanopre generalised closed sets are analysed.

KEYWORDS: *Nanogeneralised pre closed sets, Nanopre generalised closed sets, Nanopre closure, Nanopre interior.*

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1970, Levine[5] introduced the concept of generalised closed sets as a generalization of closed sets in topological spaces. In [6] Maki et al introduced the concepts of gpclosed sets and pgclosed sets in an analogous manner. The notion of Nano topology was introduced by LellisThivagar[3] which was defined in terms of approximations and boundary region of a subset of an universe using an equivalence relation on it and also defined Nano closed sets, Nano-interior and Nano-closure. In this paper, we have introduced a new class of sets on Nano topological spaces called Nanogeneralised pre closed sets and Nanopre generalised closed sets and the relation of these new sets with the existing sets.

II. RELATED WORK

In General Topology, Generalised pre closed sets and Pre generalised closed sets were studied by Maki et al[6]. The concept of Nano Topology was introduced by LellisThivagar[3]. He studied about the weak forms of nano open sets such as Nano α - open sets, Nano semi open sets and Nano pre open sets. He investigated the properties of the above sets. Later Bhuvaneswari et al introduced Nano generalised closed sets and studied some of its properties. Also Nano generalised semi closed sets, Nano generalised α - closed sets and Nano generalised regular closed sets were introduced by them. Based on this, a new class of sets called Nano generalised pre closed sets and nano Pre generalised closed sets are introduced here and some of the forms are discussed

III. PRELIMINARIES

Definition:3.1[7] A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called a preopen set if $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$. The complement of a preopen set of a space X is called preclosed set in X .

Definition:3.2 [2] A pre-closure of a subset A of X is the intersection of all preclosed sets that contains A and it is denoted by $\text{pcl}(A)$.

Definition:3.3[2] The union of all preopen subsets of X contained in A is called preinterior of A and it is denoted by $\text{pInt}(A)$.

Definition:3.4 [5] A subset A of (X, τ) is called a generalised closed set (briefly g-closed) if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in X .

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 10, October 2014

Definition:3.5 [6] A subset A of (X, τ) is called a generalised pre closed set (briefly gp-closed) if $\text{gpcl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in X .

Definition:3.6 [6] A subset A of (X, τ) is called a pre generalised closed set (briefly pg-closed) if $\text{pcl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is preopen in X .

Definition:3.7[4] Let U be a non-empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as indiscernibility relation. Then U is divided into disjoint equivalence classes. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U, R) is said to be the approximation space. Let $X \subseteq U$. Then,

(i) The lower approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and is denoted by $L_R(X)$. $L_R(X) = \bigcup \{R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X, x \in U\}$ where $R(x)$ denotes the equivalence class determined by $x \in U$.

(ii) The upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and is denoted by $U_R(X)$. $U_R(X) = \bigcup \{R(x) : R(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset, x \in U\}$

(iii) The boundary region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be classified neither as X nor as not- X with respect to R and it is denoted by $B_R(X)$. $B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$

Property:3.8[4] If (U, R) is an approximation space and $X, Y \subseteq U$, then

1. $L_R(X) \subseteq X \subseteq U_R(X)$
2. $L_R(\emptyset) = U_R(\emptyset) = \emptyset$
3. $L_R(U) = U_R(U) = U$
4. $U_R(X \cup Y) = U_R(X) \cup U_R(Y)$
5. $U_R(X \cap Y) \subseteq U_R(X) \cap U_R(Y)$
6. $L_R(X \cup Y) \supseteq L_R(X) \cup L_R(Y)$
7. $L_R(X \cap Y) = L_R(X) \cap L_R(Y)$
8. $L_R(X) \subseteq L_R(Y)$ and $U_R(X) \subseteq U_R(Y)$ whenever $X \subseteq Y$
9. $U_R(X^c) = [L_R(X)]^c$ and $L_R(X^c) = [U_R(X)]^c$
10. $U_R[U_R(X)] = L_R[U_R(X)] = U_R(X)$
11. $L_R[L_R(X)] = U_R[L_R(X)] = L_R(X)$

Definition:3.9 [4] Let U be the universe, R be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. Then by property 2.8, $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms:

- (i) U and $\emptyset \in \tau_R(X)$.
- (ii) The union of the elements of any sub-collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.
- (iii) The intersection of the elements of any finite subcollection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

Then $\tau_R(X)$ is a topology on U called the Nano topology on U with respect to X . $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called the Nano topological space. Elements of the Nano topology are known as Nano open sets in U . Elements of $[\tau_R(X)]^c$ are called Nano closed sets with $[\tau_R(X)]^c$ being called dual Nano topology of $\tau_R(X)$.

Remark:3.10 [4] If $\tau_R(X)$ is the Nano topology on U with respect to X , then the set $B = \{U, L_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ is the basis for $\tau_R(X)$.

Definition:3.11 [4] If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a Nano topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$ and if $A \subseteq U$, then

- (i) The Nano interior of the set A is defined as the union of all Nano open subsets contained in A and is denoted by $NInt(A)$. $NInt(A)$ is the largest Nano open subset of A .
- (ii) The Nano closure of the set A is defined as the intersection of all Nano closed sets containing A and is denoted by $NCl(A)$. $NCl(A)$ is the smallest Nano closed set containing A .

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 10, October 2014

Definition:3.12 [4] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a Nano topological space and $A \subseteq U$. Then A is said to be

- (i) Nano semi open if $A \subseteq NCl[NInt(A)]$
- (ii) Nano semi closed if $NInt [NCl (A)] \subseteq A$
- (iii) Nanopre open if $A \subseteq NInt [NCl (A)]$
- (iv) Nano α open if $A \subseteq NInt[NCl(NInt(A))]$.

$NSO(U,X)$, $NSF(U,X)$, $NPO(U,X)$ and $\tau_{R^\alpha}(X)$ respectively denote the families of all Nano semi open ,Nano semi closed,Nano pre-open and Nano α open subsets of U .

Definition: 3.13 [1] A subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called Nanogeneralised closed set (briefly Ng-closed) if $Ncl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

IV.FORMS OF NANOGENERALISEDPRE CLOSED SETS AND NANOPRE GENERALISEDCLOSED SETS

Throughout this paper, $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a Nano topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$, R is an equivalence relation on U . Then U/R denotes the family of equivalence classes of U by R .

In this section ,we define and study the forms of Nanogeneralised pre closed sets and Nanopre generalised closed sets.

Definition:4.1 If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a Nano topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$ and if $A \subseteq U$, then

- (i) The Nano pre closure of A is defined as the intersection of all Nano pre closed sets containing A and it is denoted by $Npcl(A)$. $Npcl(A)$ is the smallest Nano pre closed set containing A .
- (ii) The Nano pre interior of A is defined as the union of all Nanopreopen subsets of A contained in A and it is denoted by $NpInt(A)$. $NpInt(A)$ is the largest Nanopre open subset of A .

Definition:4.2 A subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called Nanogeneralisedpreclosed set (briefly Ngp-closed) if $Npcl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is Nano open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Definition:4.3 A subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called Nano pregeneralised closed set (briefly Npg-closed) if $Npcl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is Nanopre open in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Example:4.4

Let $U = \{a,b,c,d\}$ with $U/R = \{ \{a\}, \{c\}, \{b,d\} \}$ and $X = \{a,b\}$.

Then $\tau_R(X) = \{ U, \Phi, \{a\}, \{a,b,d\}, \{b,d\} \}$ which are open sets.

The Nano closed sets = $\{ U, \Phi, \{b,c,d\}, \{c\}, \{a,c\} \}$.

The Nano pre closed sets = $\{ \Phi, U, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}, \{c,d\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{b,c,d\}, \{a,c,d\} \}$.

The Nanogeneralised closed sets are $\{ \Phi, U, \{c\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}, \{c,d\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{b,c,d\}, \{a,c,d\} \}$

The Nanogeneralised preclosed sets are

$\{ U, \Phi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}, \{c,d\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{a,c,d\}, \{b,c,d\} \}$

The Nanopre generalised closed sets are $\{ \Phi, U, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,d\}, \{b,c\}, \{c,d\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{a,c,d\}, \{b,c,d\} \}$

Theorem:4.5 Every Nano closed set is a Nanopre closed set.

Proof: Let A be a Nano closed set. Then we have $Ncl(A) = A$. We have to prove that $NCl(NInt(A)) \subseteq A$ which implies that A is a Nanopreclosed set. $NCl (NInt(A)) = NInt(A) \subseteq A$. Hence A is a Nanopre closed set.

Remark:4.6 The converse of the above theorem is not true. In the example 4.4, the sets $\{a,b,c\}, \{a,c,d\}$ are Nanopreclosed sets but not Nano closed sets.

Theorem: 4.7 Every Nano closed set is a Nanogeneralised pre closed set.

Proof: Let A be a Nano closed set of U and $A \subseteq V$, V is Nano open in U . Since A is Nano closed, $Ncl(A) = A \subseteq V$. That is $Ncl(A) \subseteq V$. Also, $Npcl(A) \subseteq Ncl(A) \subseteq V$, where V is Nano open in U . Therefore, A is a Nanogeneralised pre closed set.

Theorem:4.8 Every Nano closed set is a Nano pre generalised closed set.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 10, October 2014

Proof: Let A be a Nano closed set of U and $A \subseteq V, V$ is Nanopre open in U . Since A is Nanoclosed, $Ncl(A) = A \subseteq V$ That is $Ncl(A) \subseteq V$. Also, $Npcl(A) \subseteq Ncl(A) \subseteq V$ where V is Nanopre open in U . Therefore, A is a Nano pre generalised closed set.

Remark:4.9 The converse of the theorems (4.7 and 4.8) need not be true which is shown in the example 4.4

Remark :4.10 Every Ng- closed set is Nano pre closed set which is shown in the following example.

Example:4.11 Let $U = \{a,b,c,d\}$ with $U/R = \{ \{a\}, \{c\}, \{b,d\} \}$ and $X = \{a,b\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{ U, \Phi, \{a\}, \{a,b,d\}, \{b,d\} \}$ which are open sets.

The Nanogeneralised closed sets are $\{ \Phi, U, \{c\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}, \{c,d\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{b,c,d\}, \{a,c,d\} \}$

The Nano pre closed sets are $\{ \Phi, U, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}, \{c,d\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{b,c,d\}, \{a,c,d\} \}$

Theorem:4.12 Every Nanogeneralised closed set is Nanogeneralised pre closed set.

Proof: Let A be a Nanogeneralised closed set. Then $Ncl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is Nano open in U . But $Npcl(A) \subseteq Ncl(A)$ which implies that $Npcl(A) \subseteq V, A \subseteq V, V$ is Nano open in U . Hence A is Nanogeneralised pre closed set.

Remark:4.13 The converse of the above theorem is not true in general. In the example (4.4), let $A = \{b\}, V = \{a,b,d\}$ whenever $A \subseteq V, V$ is Nano open. Now $Npcl(A) = \{b\} \subseteq V$. Hence $A = \{b\}$ is Nanogeneralised pre closed set. But $Ncl(A) = \{b,c,d\} \not\subseteq V$. Hence the subset $A = \{b\}$ is not Nanogeneralised closed set. Hence every Nanogeneralised pre closed set need not be a Nanogeneralised closed set.

Theorem:4.14. Every Nanogeneralised closed set is Nano pre generalised closed set.

Proof: Let A be a Nanogeneralised closed set. Then $Ncl(A) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \subseteq V$ and V is Nano open in U . But every Nano open set is Nanopre open which implies V is Nanopre open. Also $Npcl(A) \subseteq Ncl(A) \subseteq V, A \subseteq V, V$ is Nanopre open in U . Hence A is Nanopre generalised closed set.

Remark:4.15. The converse of the above theorem is not true in general. In the example (4.4), let $A = \{a,b\}, V = \{a,b,c\}$ whenever $A \subseteq V, V$ is Nanopre open. Now $Npcl(A) = \{a,b,c\} \subseteq V$. Hence $A = \{a,b\}$ is Nanopre generalised closed set. But $Ncl(A) = U \not\subseteq V$. Hence the subset $A = \{a,b\}$ is not Nanogeneralised closed set. Hence every Nanopre generalised closed set need not be a Nanogeneralised closed set.

Theorem:4.16 Every Nanopre closed set is Nanogeneralised pre closed set and Nano pre generalised closed set. This is shown in the example 4.4

Theorem:4.17 The union of two Nanogeneralised pre closed sets in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is also a Nanogeneralised pre closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Proof: Let A and B be two Nanogeneralised pre closed sets in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Let V be a Nano open set in U such that $A \subseteq V$ and $B \subseteq V$. Then we have $A \cup B \subseteq V$. A and B are Nanogeneralised pre closed sets in $(U, \tau_R(X))$, $Npcl(A) \subseteq V$ and $Npcl(B) \subseteq V$. Now $Npcl(A \cup B) = Npcl(A) \cup Npcl(B) \subseteq V$. Thus we have $Npcl(A \cup B) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \cup B \subseteq V, V$ is Nano open set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. This implies $A \cup B$ is a Nanogeneralised pre closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Remark:4.18 The Intersection of two Nanogeneralised pre closed sets in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is also a Nanogeneralised pre closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ as seen from the following example.

Example :4.19 Let $U = \{a,b,c,d\}$ with $U/R = \{ \{a\}, \{c\}, \{b,d\} \}$ and $X = \{a,b\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{ U, \Phi, \{a\}, \{a,b,d\}, \{b,d\} \}$. The Nanogeneralised pre closed sets are $\{ U, \Phi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}, \{c,d\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{a,c,d\}, \{b,c,d\} \}$. Here $\{a,c\} \cap \{c,d\} = \{c\}$ which is again a Nanogeneralised pre closed set.

Theorem:4.20 The union of two Nanopre generalised closed sets in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is also a Nanopre generalised closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Proof: Let A and B be two Nanopre generalised closed sets in

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 10, October 2014

$(U, \tau_R(X))$. Let V be a Nanopre open set in U such that $A \subseteq V$ and $B \subseteq V$. Then we have $A \cup B \subseteq V$. As A and B are Nanopre generalised closed sets in $(U, \tau_R(X))$, $Npcl(A) \subseteq V$ and $Npcl(B) \subseteq V$. Now $Npcl(A \cup B) = Npcl(A) \cup Npcl(B) \subseteq V$. Thus we have $Npcl(A \cup B) \subseteq V$ whenever $A \cup B \subseteq V$, V is Nanopre open set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$. This implies $A \cup B$ is a Nanopre generalised closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Remark: 4.21 The Intersection of two Nano pre generalised pre closed sets in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is also a Nano pre generalised closed set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ as seen from the following example.

Example : 4.22 Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \Phi, \{a\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, d\}\}$. The Nano pre generalised closed sets are $\{\Phi, U, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Here $\{a, b, c\} \cap \{a, c, d\} = \{a, c\}$ which is again a Nanopre generalised closed set.

Theorem : 4.23 If A is Nanogeneralised pre closed sets in $(U, \tau_R(X))$, then it is Nano pre generalised closed set.

Proof: Let $A \subseteq V$ and V is Nano open in $\tau_R(X)$. Then $NpCl(A) \subseteq V$ as V is Nanogeneralised pre closed sets. Since every Nano open set is Nanopre open, $NpCl(A) \subseteq V$ where V is Nano preopen set. This implies A is Nano pre generalised closed set. The converse of the above theorem is not true as seen in the example 4.4.

Theorem: 4.24 Let A be a Nanogeneralised pre closed subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. If $A \subseteq B \subseteq Npcl(A)$, then B is also a Nanogeneralised pre closed subset of $(U, \tau_R(X))$.

Proof: Let V be a Nano open set of a Nanogeneralised pre closed subset of $\tau_R(X)$ such that $B \subseteq V$. As $A \subseteq B$, we have $A \subseteq V$. As A is a Nanogeneralised pre closed set, $Npcl(A) \subseteq V$. Given $B \subseteq Npcl(A)$, we have $Npcl(B) \subseteq Npcl(A)$. As $Npcl(B) \subseteq Npcl(A)$ and $Npcl(A) \subseteq V$, we have $Npcl(B) \subseteq V$ whenever $B \subseteq V$ and V is Nano open. Hence B is also a Nanogeneralised pre closed subset of $\tau_R(X)$.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, some of the properties of Nano Generalised pre closed sets and Nano pre Generalised closed sets are discussed. This shall be extended in the future Research with some applications.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Bhuvaneshwari, and K. Mythili Gnanapriya, Nano Generalised Closed sets in Nano topological spaces, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 4, Issue 5, May 2014.
- [2] Jiling Cao, Maxmillan Ganster, Chariklia Konstadilaki and Ivan L Reilly, On Preclosed sets and their generalizations, August 9, 1999
- [3] M. Lellis Thivagar, and Carmel Richard, Note on Nanotopological Spaces (communicated).
- [4] M. Lellis Thivagar, and Carmel Richard, On Nano forms of weakly open sets, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, Volume 1, Issue 1, August 2013, Pp. 31 -37.
- [5] N. Levine, (1970), Generalised closed sets in Topological Spaces, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, vol. 19, Pp. 89-96.
- [6] H. Maki, J. Umehara and T. Noiri, Every Topological space is pre $-T_{1/2}$, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. math., 17(1996), 33-42
- [7] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous functions, Proc. math. Phys. soc. Egypt 53(1982), 47-53