The Fundamental Factorial Foundations of the Act or Process of Creation

Cory John Carr*

Department of Independent Research, California State University, Floradora, United States

Review Article

Received: 06-Aug-2021, Manuscript No. JPAP-23-38865; Editor assigned: 11-Aug-2021, Pre QC No. JPAP-23-38865 (PQ); Reviewed: 25-Aug-2021, QC No. JPAP-23-38865; Revised: 13-September- 2023, Manuscript No. JPAP-23-38865 (R); Published: 11-October-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2320-2459.11.4.0071

*For Correspondence:

Cory John Carr, Department of Independent Research, California State University, Floradora, United States E-mail: corycarr622@gmail.com

Citation: Carr CJ. The Fundamental Factorial Foundations of the Act or Process of Creation. Rev J Pure Appl Sci. 2023:11:001.

Copyright: © 2023 Carr CJ. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

ISSN: 2320-2459

In the introduction of this paper I detail how I was able to "logically deduce" a set of "fundamental parameters", as well as a "testable hypothesis", directly and specifically related to the "fundamental act", or "process" of the creation of the "original single point" of physical/spatial reference from which our entire universe was, thereby, directly and precisely "expanded/copied" from immediately following this specific "moment of creation". I then provide in "section I" the details of how I was able to directly derive a very specific set of six odd numbered "factors of one" along with four "other factors" from the (186,300) value for the speed of light, precisely as I had "predicted" within the "testable hypothesis". Then, in "sections II and III", I use this same set of ten fundamental factors of the process of creation to, in fact, provide the precise solutions for two of the biggest and long standing problems facing the modern day physics community. A completely "unified field theory/model" for the four forces of nature, and, a precisely derived and calculated solution to, and the correct equation for, the "observed/measure value" of the cosmological constant \sim (7) × (10)-30 (g/cm)³. And therefore, given the extremely precise, directly derived, very well constructed and rather strongly validated nature of the material presented within this paper.

Keywords: Fundamental parameters; Testable hypothesis; Cosmological constant; Fundamental act

INTRODUCTION

ISSN: 2320-2459

Any "Act of creation", whether of a purely conceptual/physical, mathematical/geometrical, spatial and/or structural mechanical nature can be seen as a "process", whereby a specific set of "component elements", or "factors", are, indeed, somehow "combined" together to "produce" a specific "product", or "result; in direct and specific accordance with the underlying natures and the fundamental properties of the specific factorial components involved. From this relative point of perspective we can deduce that the specific set of factors involved in the process of the creation of the original point of physical space did, in fact, contain the necessary, purely "non-physical" component elements to, indeed, "produce" both the original circular/spherical point of spatial reference, which would thereby become the "base unit" of physical/spatial measure, as well as, all of the "other" underlying "fundamental characteristics/forces of nature" that would all have been necessarily "set" at this specific "moment of creation" or "point in time", such as the electromagnetic force. The force of gravity and the speed of light, which itself would seem to be the "result" or "total product", of all of the actual "component elements" involved in this specific "act/process/equation", of creation from a relative state of "nothingness" or "conceptual zero" [4].

LITERATURE REVIEW

Furthermore, it would seem that the process of the creation of a single point or "base unit", of "physical/spatial one" from a relative state of nothingness and the creation of a "conceptual/mathematical/numerical one" from a relative state of "zero" would quite logically be "fundamentally linked", if not "factorially speaking" the "exact same thing". Whereby a specific "numerical subset" that I call the (factors of one) are directly involved in the creation of both "conceptual/mathematical one" and the "physical/spatial/ circular/spherical manifestation of spatial one".

And thus, we can "logically derive" the following "creation equation" from a rather simple examination of the fundamental concepts and general component aspects involved in this "creation process" [2].

Whereby,

((Factors of one) × (Other factors))=(Speed of light)

And this true, correct and precise numerical value of conceptual one would, by its fundamental nature, have to be made up from a specific set of odd numbered factors, which when combined together, would in fact, produce the necessary odd numbered value for true conceptual one...the "Quintessential odd number". And this set of odd numbered factors would also be precisely representative of the necessary geometrical/spherical/structural mechanical components needed to, indeed, create the circular based, original point of spatial reference; which, itself would seem to have to be made up of at least "factorial elements" to precisely build the three fundamental dimensions of spatial reference, plus another "factor" for the "time" component and at least two more "factors" that would have to represent the two general aspects of "matter"~ it's "internal quantum structure" and it's "relative state of motion", or it's "spinstate"~ for a total of at least factors of one... which would have to all be "odd numbered" factors in order to, in fact, be able to precisely produce the required "odd numbered result" for the true, correct and precisely derived value of true conceptual one "correct...!!??"

And so, it would rather clearly seem that we should be looking for a specific set of at least 6 odd numbered factors of "conceptual/physical one" along with a few "other factors" that would account for the electromagnetic force and gravity, etc., that would, indeed, seem to be "hidden in plain sight" within the speed of light's numerical value of (186,300) mps....!!??

Thus, with this precisely derived logical conclusion about the underlying "Fundamental factorial foundations" of the process of creation itself, it would seem that we now have a very "Testable hypothesis" to investigate knowing precisely "what" to look for and exactly "where" to find it...!!! [3].

ISSN: 2320-2459

In the three sections that follow I have provided the details of how I was able to use a set of six odd numbered "factors of one" that I had subsequently developed to, indeed, precisely derive a total of ten fundamental factors of this" process of creation" directly from the (186,300) numerical value for the speed of light and how I then used these ten "keys to the kingdom" to precisely solve the two biggest problems in modern day physics~. The unified structural mechanical model of the four fundamental forces of nature~ the "holy grail" of physics... and.... The precisely derived equation for and the solution to the "cosmological constant's observed value" of (7) × (10)-30 (g/cm)³... which was predicted to be (10)⁹⁶ by the leading experts in QMT before being able to be precisely measured with advancements in technology around 1998. Given the very logically deduced and precisely derived nature' of my overall theory of creation and the fundamental factorial foundations upon which it was, indeed, rather profoundly built and precisely constructed, as well as, the undeniably precise and extremely compelling validation of my theory with the precise solutions for the two biggest problems that the modern day physics community has been trying to solve for quite some time now, I feel that I have provided herein the true, correct and precisely derived structural mechanical blueprints for the fundamental process by which our universe was, indeed, "created"!!! [4].

DISCUSSION

Section I: The fundamental factors of creation because I had recognized when I was in student n the fourth grade that there was a major problem with the decimal system's valuation of its "base unit" of measurement, "decimal one" $\sim (1.000^{\circ})^{\circ}$ which you can clearly see for yourselves with a close look at the number line below: $(1.000^{\circ})/(1.000^{\circ})/(0.999^{\circ})$ that decimal one, (1.000°) , is an "even number"... and cannot, therefore, possibly be the true, correct and precisely derived value of true conceptual one. I was uniquely able to develop a set of six odd numbered "factors of one" (3/7/9/11/13/37) that when multiplied together equals (999,999) pieces of one, suggesting that a true value for conceptual one would be equal to (0.999999). I was then able to strongly validate this specific set of odd numbered factors of one by precisely deriving this very same set of six factors of one from the numerical value for the speed of light~ (186,300) mps~ as well as, a total of four "other factors" in the following manner....

Firstly, I removed the two natural nines that are clearly suggested to be found within the (186,300) value for the speed of light and then the (22) factor related to the fundamental circular component of circumference from the "Pi" ratio as follows: (186,300) divided by (9)=(20,700) divided by

From which I then removed my set of six factors of one $\sim (3/7/9/11/13/37)$ leaving the tenth factorial component...

The 66 digit repeating sequence below: (.000104545559 ..091013636468 ..181922727377 ..272831818286 ..363740909195 ..454650~) which, as you can see, forms its own logarithmic table. As well as, contains many more structural/numerical progression patterns within its repetitious structural mechanical configuration ^[5].

Section II: The completely unified pyramidal model of creation

These six "factors of one" were developed in an attempt to calculate the true value of conceptual one by using three sets of odd numbered pairs of factors to create an "over/under average value" for conceptual one \sim (7) \times (13)=(91)... or (9) under+(9) \times (11)=(99)....or (1) under=(10) under... averaged against...(3) \times (37)=(111)...or (11) over for a net of (1) over...

Which when all multiplied together produced a total of (999,999) pieces of one....strongly suggesting that true conceptual one=(0.999999). This was the general reasoning that I used to actually look for and to then develop these six odd numbered factors for the calculation of the true value of conceptual one...

ISSN: 2320-2459

The actual underlying relevance of which may now be seen in how these three numerical pairings are, indeed, precisely reflected within the structural mechanical model that I was able to thereby "construct" with these six fundamental factorial elements that are, in fact, directly related to both the mathematical/numerical and to the spatial/geometrical manifestations of true conceptual one. And thus, I was able to very quickly recognize the following structural mechanical configuration of these ten factorial components that was being quite naturally suggested from their own relative values and from the same relative pairings that I had used in the basic development of f these six factors of one: the pyramidal structural mechanical model of the ten factors of creation. (*) \cdot : (.000104~), (3)(37) \cdot : (111), (9)(22)(9) \cdot : (1782), $(7)(9)(11)(13) \setminus (9009)^{6}$.

Whose relative values clearly reflect the precise relative differences between each of the four fundamental forces of nature... with each of the four levels of the pyramidal model being precisely representative of the underlying components of and relative total values for each of the four fundamental forces of our natural universe in this descending order [7].

Gravity: (.000104~)

Weak nuclear: (111)

Electro-magnetic: (1782)

Strong nuclear: (9009)

Which can also each be interpreted in terms of their structural mechanical forms generated from the specific structural mechanical related factorial components from which each force is clearly revealed to be "created" with on each successive level of the pyramidal model [8].

Whereby:

Gravity waveform: 66 digit repeating sequence=(.000104~)=Force of gravity

Matter: (3) spin rotations × (37) quantum particles=(111)=Weak nuclear force

EMF waveform: $(9) \times (9) = (81)$ revolutions of (22) arcs of circumference ...=(1782)=EMF force

Space-time: (7) × (11) × (13)=3 dimensions × (9) units of time=(9009)=Strong nuclear force

The precise validity of these structural mechanical models of the four forces and of the fundamental properties of spacetime, matter, the EMF and gravity we will now put to a "very precise test" to see how close my set of ten directly derived factorial components and my structural mechanical/fundamental forces model that was directly and precisely built from them can come to actually calculating the now precisely measured/observed value for the vacuum energy density of space itself, known as the cosmological constant, $(7) \times (10)^{-30} (g/cm)^3$ [9].

Section III: The precise solution for the cosmological constant

Upon looking into the general history of and the major problem that had been very clearly and surprisingly revealed within the overall theory of quantum mechanics after the precise measurement of the vacuum energy density of space or the

cosmological constant, was able to finally be made around 1998, due to the incredibly erroneous prediction for the value of this fundamental property of space of $(10)^{96}$ (g/cm)³ that was made directly from the fundamental tenets of QMT itself that was thereby shown to be 126 orders of magnitude off of the actual, now precisely measured value for the cosmological constant of $(7) \times (10)^{-30}$ (gcm)³... I became aware of the fact that nobody seemed to have a clear understanding of exactly what and how to actually and precisely calculate the correct solution for the cosmological constant in any way, shape or form whatsoever [10].

ISSN: 2320-2459

So, I started to play around with the factorial numbers from my creation model and I was able to come up with the following equation that provides the precise solution for this fundamental property of space, using nine of the ten factors of creation that I have just shown you, to be calculated accordingly [11].

Cosmological constant=(1)/8(Pi) × ((Matter)(Spacetime)(EMF))3

Whereby:

8(Pi)=Einstein's adjustment factor (from the field equations for his theory of relativity) and so, with my numbers inserted into this equation, we get:

```
(1)/8(Pi) \times ((111) \times (9009) \times (1782))^3 = (1)/8(Pi) \times ((999,999) \times (1782))^3
```

Or

 $(1)/8(Pi) \times (999.997.000.002.999.999) \times (5.658.783.768)$

Or

 $(1)/8(Pi) \times (5,658,766,791,665,672,345,645,216,232)$

Wherein:

(1) divided by $(5,658,765,791,665,672,345,645,216,232)=(0.176)\times(10)^{-27}=(1.76)\times(10)^{-28}$

And thus....

(1.76)/8(Pi)

Or

 $(176/7)=(.07) \times (10)^{-28}$

Or

 $=(7) \times (10)^{-30} (g/cm)^3$

The precise solution for the observed/measured value of the cosmological constant [12].

CONCLUSION

thus, given my precise solution for this fundamental property of the vacuum. Energy density of space, as well as, the true and correct equation for its precise calculation that conforms perfectly with my completely unified pyramidal model of the process of creation/four fundamental forces of nature and the relative descriptions of the various component factors from which each was, indeed, "precisely constructed" it would seem that the "collective weight" of the directly derived and precisely constructed material that I have herein provided would, in fact, rather strongly and precisely validate my

overall factorial based theory of the creation of the universe that I have presented within this scientific research paper.

REFERENCES

ISSN: 2320-2459

- 1. Weathington BL, et al. Understanding business research. John Wiley Sons, United States, 2012, pp. (1-506).
- 2. Rhodes M. An analysis of creativity. Phi Delta Kappan. 1961;42:305-310.
- 3. Morgan TH. The theory of the gene. Am Nat. 1917;51513-51544.
- 4. May GS, et al. Fundamentals of semiconductor manufacturing and process control. John Wiley Sons, United States, 2006, pp. (1-488).
- 5. Berry BJ, et al. Introduction: The logic and limitations of comparative factorial ecology. Econ Geo. 1971;47:209-219.
- 6. Alabugin A, et al. Mathematical foundations for modeling a zero-carbon electric power system in terms of sustainability. Mathematics. 2023;11:2180.
- 7. Mitra A. Fundamentals of quality control and improvement. John Wiley Sons, United States, 2016, pp. (1-816),
- 8. Clements DH, et al. Effects of a preschool mathematics curriculum: Summative research on the building blocks project. J Res Math Educ. 2007;38:136-163.
- 9. Taylor BJ. Factorial surveys: Using vignettes to study professional judgement. Br J Soc Work. 2006;36:1187-1207.
- 10. Ferrand A, et al. Image management in sport organisations: The creation of value. Eur J Mark. 1999;33:387-402.
- 11. Veiseh S, et al. The relation between transformational leadership and organizational culture (Case study: Medical school of Ilam). J Bus Stud Q. 2014;5:113.
- 12. Anderson JR. ACT: A simple theory of complex cognition. Am Psychol. 1996;51:355.