A Bernsteinian Analysis of the Recontextualisation of Knowledge in the 5090 Biology Syllabus in Zambia

Mafunase Mwale1*, Overson Shumba2

¹Department of Biology Education, Mukuba University, Kitwe, Zambia ²Department of Mathematics, The Coppebelt University, Kitwe, Zambia

Research Article

Received: 13-Feb-2023,

Manuscript No. JES-23-89278; Editor assigned: 15-Feb-2023, Pre QC No. JES-23-89278 (PQ); Reviewed: 01-Mar-2023, QC No. JES-23-89278; Revised: 20-Apr-2023, Manuscript No. JES-23-89278 (R); Published: 01-Jun-2023, DOI: 10.4172/JES.9.2.008

*For Correspondence: Mafunase Mwale, Department of Biology Education, Mukuba University,

Kitwe, Zambia;

Email: mafunaemwale@yahoo.com

APPENDIX

The following table shows the "Scope and Sequence" of Biology syllabus from G10 to G12.

Table 1. Scope and sequencing (Biology 5090, 2013:60).

Grade 10		Grade 11		Grade 12	
Unit/Topic	Sub-topic	Unit/topic	Sub-topic	Unit/topic	Sub-topic
Living organisms and life processes	Characteristics of living organisms	Transport and storage in Plants	Transpor t in plants	Asexual reproduction	Reproduction in fungi, amoeba and bacteria
Cells	Microscopes	Transport in Human	Blood		Vegetative Reproduction
	Cell Structure and Function		Blood Groups	Sexual Reproduction in	Reproduction in plants
	Cell Organisation		Blood disorders	Flowering Plants	Pollination
	Tissues		The heart	Reproduction in Animals	Sexual reproduction in animals
	Organs		Lymphati c system		Birth Control

Research & Reviews: Journal of Educational Studies

	Diffusion,		Circulato	Genetics	Variation in plant and
	osmosis and		ry		animal species
	Active transport		systems		
Enzymes	Characteristics	Excretion	Excretion		Cell division and
	of enzymes				Chromosomes
Nutrients	Classes of		The		Inheritance
	nutrients		kidney		
	Disorders		The		Mutation
			lungs		
	Dietary needs		The	Classification of	Classification
			human	Plants and Animals	
			skin		

Appendix 1: Indicators for analysing the 5090 biology syllabus

Grading scales used to analyse the 5090 biology syllabus. The document was analysed for the discursive rules (Selection, sequencing, pacing and criteria) and for the discursive relations (Inter-disciplinary, intra-disciplinary and inter-discursive).

Indicators for the discursive rules and discursive relations were developed to guide the analysis of the document. The indicators developed to guide the analysis are shown in the tables.

Discursive rule SELECTION

To what extent does the teacher and the learner have control in the selection of instructional knowledge? The extent to which the teacher and the learner have the control in the selection of knowledge was determined by the extent to which a sentence or a statement indicated the content or the knowledge to be taught.

F++	F+	F ⁻	F
Teachers have the control in the	Teachers have the control in the selection of the	Learners have control in the selection of the knowledge	Learners have more control in the selection of
selection of the	knowledge taught, though in	taught, though in some	the knowledge taught.
knowledge taught, learners don't decided	some instances, learners decide on the knowledge to	instances, teachers decide on the knowledge taught and	Teachers don't decide on the knowledge to be
on what to learn.	learn.	learnt.	taught.
The			
sentence/statement	The sentence/statement		
clearly indicate the	indicate the knowledge to be	The sentence/statement	The sentence/statement
knowledge to be	taught, but leaves room for	make suggestions of the	does not indicate the
taught and learnt	learners to make additions	knowledge to be taught,	knowledge to be taught

Discursive rule SEQUENCING

To what extent do the teacher and the learner have control in the sequencing of the instructional knowledge? The extent to which the teacher and the learner have the control in the sequencing of the knowledge was determined by the extent to which a sentence or a statement indicated the sequence in which the topics were to be taught.

F++	F+	F-	F
Teachers have the control in the sequencing of the knowledge.	Teachers have the control in the sequencing of the topics, thought learners sometimes also control the sequencing of the knowledge.	Learners have control in the sequencing of the knowledge though the teachers sometimes control the sequencing of the knowledge/topics	Learners have more control in the sequencing of the knowledge/topics.
The			The
sentence/statement	The sentence/statement		sentence/statement
clearly indicate the	suggests the sequence in	The sentence/statement does	does not indicate the
sequence in which	which the topics are to be	not indicate the sequence in	sequence in which
the topics are to be	taught, but leaves room for	which the topics are to be	the topics are to be
taught	learners to make additions	taught, but gives a suggestion.	taught.

Research & Reviews: Journal of Educational Studies

Discursive rule PACING

To what extent does the teacher and the learner have control in the pacing of the learning? The extent to which the teacher and the learner have the control in the pacing of the learning was determined by the extent to which a sentence or a statement indicated the time required to teach and learn the topic.

F++	F ⁺	F-	F
Teachers have the control in the pacing of the learning.	Teachers have the control in the pacing of the learning, thought learners sometimes also controlled the pace at which the knowledge was taught.	Learners have the control in the pacing of the learning, thought teachers sometimes also controlled the pace at which the knowledge was taught.	Learners have the control in the pacing of the learning.
The sentence/statemen t clearly indicate the time at which the topics are to be taught	The sentence/statement suggests the time at which the topics are to be taught, but leaves room for learners to decide on the time required for learning	The sentence/statement suggests the time at which a topic has to be taught.	The sentence/statemen t does not indicate the time required for teaching and learning.

Discursive rule EVALUATION CRITERIA

To what extent does the document explicate the criteria? The extent to which the document explicate the criteria is determined by the extent to which a sentence or a statement explicitly indicate the concepts to be learnt and assessed.

F++	F ⁺	F-	F
Evaluative criteria very	Evaluative criteria clear	Evaluative criteria mostly	Evaluative criteria not
clear and explicit	and explicit	not explicit	explicit
			The sentence/statement
The sentence/statement	The sentence/statement	The sentence/statement	does not indicate the
clearly indicates the	indicates the evaluative	mostly does not indicate	evaluative rules. The
evaluative rules by clearly	rules by clearly indicating	the evaluative rules. The	concepts to be learnt are
indicating the concepts to	the concepts to be taught	concepts to be learnt are	not indicated in the
be taught and learnt.	and learnt.	suggested.	sentence.

Discursive relations

Inter-discipline relations (Between disciplines)

The extent to which a sentence/statement indicates an integration of knowledge from other disciplines.

C++	C+	C.	C
No indication of the	Very little indication of the	little indication of the	A very clear indication of
knowledge from other	knowledge from other	knowledge from other	the knowledge from other
disciplines	disciplines	disciplines	disciplines
There is no indication of	There is little indication	There is clear indication	There is a very clear
the knowledge from other	of the knowledge from	of the knowledge from	indication of the knowledge
disciplines in the	other disciplines in the	other disciplines in the	from other disciplines in the
sentence/statement	sentence/statement	sentence/statement	sentence/statement

Inter-discursive relations (Between school knowledge and everyday knowledge)

The extent to which a sentence/statement indicates everyday knowledge

C++	C+	C-	C
No indication of everyday knowledge in a	Very little indication of everyday knowledge in a	little indication of everyday knowledge in a	Very clear indication of everyday knowledge in a
sentence/statement	sentence/statement	sentence/statement	sentence/statement
			There is a very clear
There is no indication of	There is little indication	There is clear indication	indication of everyday
everyday knowledge in	of everyday knowledge in	of everyday knowledge in	knowledge in the
the sentence/statement	the sentence/statement	the sentence/statement	sentence/statement

Intra-disciplinary relations (Between the topics)

The extent to which a topic/sentence/statement indicate a relation in knowledge between the topics

Research & Reviews: Journal of Educational Studies

C++	C+	C-	C
No relationship	Very weak relationship	Weak relationship	Very strong relationship
indicated	indicated	indicated	indicated
There is no indication of	There is a very weak	There is a weak indication	There is a very strong
a relationship in	indication of a relationship	of a relationship in	indication of a relationship
knowledge in the topics	in knowledge in the topics	knowledge in the topics in	in knowledge in the topics
in a sentence/statement	in a sentence/statement	a sentence/statement	in a sentence/statement